

CONTENTS

List of Tables	viii
Acknowledgments	ix
Abbreviations	x
Introduction	xii
1. SOURCES FOR THE COMPOSITION OF THE APOCALYPSE	1
I. A Source-Critical Analysis of the Apocalypse	1
II. Interpretive Benefits of OT Source Material	1
III. Interpretive Benefits of NT Source Material	3
IV. Recent Studies on Synoptic Traditions in the Apocalypse	3
V. Methodological Direction for Studies on the Relationship of the Synoptic Traditions and John's Apocalypse	11
VI. Conclusion: A Common Source Behind John's Apocalypse and Synoptic Sayings of Judgment	16
2. FIXED AND IDENTIFIABLE NATURE OF ORAL TRADITIONS	18
I. A Brief History of Studies in Oral Transmission	19
II. Characteristics of Oral Transmission	27
III. Oral and Written Tradition	30
IV. Stability in Oral Tradition Transmission	33
V. Common Oral Tradition Versus Literary Dependence	34
VI. The Difficulty of Demonstrating Literary Dependence	35
VII. Conclusion: Grounds for a Source-Critical Study	37
3. THE INFLUENCE OF THE OLD TESTAMENT ON THE COMPOSITION OF THE APOCALYPSE	38
I. State of the Conversation	38
II. Textual Allusion or Illusion	41
III. Theory of Direct Manuscript Contact	43
IV. Working from Memory Not Manuscripts	46
V. The Combination of OT Allusions	49
VI. John and Exegetical Traditions of the OT	50
VII. Jewish-Christian Communal <i>Relecture</i>	55
VIII. Tracing Synoptic Traditions in the Apocalypse Based on John's Use of OT Traditions	59

IX. Conclusion: Characteristics of Compositional Influence in John's Apocalypse	60
4. A COMMON TRADITION BEHIND THE SEQUENCE AND TIMING OF JUDGMENT IN THE SYNOPTIC ESCHATOLOGICAL DISCOURSE AND JOHN'S APOCALYPSE	62
I. The Eschatological Discourse and John's Apocalypse	63
II. Conclusion: Eschatological Discourse and John's Apocalypse	72
III. The Time Is Near	74
IV. Conclusion: The Time Is Near	87
5. A COMMON TRADITION BEHIND LUKE'S REDACTION OF THE ESCHATOLOGICAL DISCOURSE AND THE APOCALYPSE	89
I. Luke's Eschatological Discourse and John's Apocalypse	89
II. The Gentiles Will Trample Jerusalem	90
III. 'All those who live on the surface of the whole land'	99
IV. Did the Same Tradition Influence Luke's Redaction of the Discourse and John's Composition of Revelation 11?	120
V. Possible OT Prophetic Tradition Behind Luke 21 and Revelation 11	122
VI. Conclusion: Luke 21 and Revelation	123
6. A COMMON TRADITION BEHIND MATTHEAN REDACTION OF MARK, L MATERIAL, AND THE APOCALYPSE	125
I. Rev. 1.7b, Zech. 12.10, and Mt. 24.30a	125
II. Rev. 1.7a, Dan. 7.13, and Mt. 24.30b	128
III. Conclusion: The Framing Relationship of Mt. 24.30 and Rev. 1.7	130
IV. Rev. 6.16 and Lk. 23.30	131
V. Conclusion: Rev. 6.16 and Lk. 23.30	135
7. A COMMON TRADITION BEHIND LUKE'S AND MATTHEW'S REDACTION OF THE DOUBLE TRADITION AND THE APOCALYPSE	136
I. Does Mt. 23.34-39 Indicate that Lk. 11.49-51 and 13.34-35 were an Original Unit?	137
II. Redaction and Preservation of the <i>Logion</i> in Lk. 11.49-51 and Mt. 23.34-36	139
III. Avenging the Martyred Prophets in Revelation	146
IV. Conclusion: The Common Vengeance <i>Logion</i>	153
8. A HERMENEUTICAL KEY TO JOHN'S APOCALYPSE	155
I. Cumulative Case for a Common Tradition	155
II. The Character of the Common Tradition in Transmission	157
III. The Role of OT Prophetic Traditions in Shaping Sayings of Judgment in John's Apocalypse and in the Synoptics	160

IV. Intertexture of John's Apocalypse and Interpretive Traditions in the Synoptic Sayings of Judgment	163
V. Going Beyond Scriptural Exegesis to Christian Appropriation of OT Prophetic Traditions	168
VI. Conclusion: An Adaptable Prophetic Interpretive Tradition	169
Bibliography	171
Scripture Index	182
Author Index	185