Thomas S. Eder

China and International Adjudication

Caution, Identity Shifts, and the Ambition to Lead

Nomos

Table of Contents

Table of Cases 15
National Legislation 35
Treaties and Other International Legal Instruments 37

Table of Abbreviations 47

I. Introduction – International Adjudication and China's Rise 51

I.1. A New Ambition – 'Guiding' International Order 51

I.2. How Nations Behave – China's Growing Impact on International Adjudication 52

II. Framework – Theoretical and Methodological Approach 55

II.1. Introduction 55

II.2. Previous Approaches and New Endeavor 56

II.3. Theory and Methodology 60
II.3.1. Theory – Conceptual Framework 61
II.3.1.1. An Interdisciplinary Approach – Questions About Both the 'Engine' and the 'Driver' 61
II.3.1.1.1. Positivism – Facts of Engagement 62
II.3.1.1.2. International Law and International Relations – Reasons for Engagement 63
II.3.1.2. Neoclassical Realism – Power, Structure, and All of Those Intervening Variables 66
II.3.1.2.1. A Complicated History 67
II.3.1.2.2. Reinventing Realism 70
II.3.1.3. Image and Perception – Structure Relayed 73
II.3.1.4. The Chinese Case – Characteristics and Caveats 76
II.3.1.4.1. General Notions 76
II.3.1.4.2. Articulated Perception and Cognitive Beliefs 78
II.3.1.4.3. Published Elite Perception Since 2014 82
II.3.1.5. Final Remarks on Theory – Composite Approach 86
II.3.2. Methodology, Structure and Sources 87

III. Image – Historical Evolution of Chinese Positions on International Law 93

III.1. Introduction 93

III.2. History of Chinese Legal Thought 93

III.2.1. General Questions of Law 94

III.2.1.1. Roots – Confucianism Against Legalism 94

III.2.1.2. Imperial Era – Confucian Legal Thought 95

III.2.1.3. Modernity – Western and Soviet Influence 98

III.2.1.3.1. Late Qing and Republican Reforms 98

III.2.1.3.2. Soviet Models, Sino-Marxism and Pragmatism 99

III.2.1.3.2.1. Soviet Influence 100

III.2.1.3.2.2. Sino-Marxism 101

III.2.1.3.2.3. Pragmatism 102

III.2.1.4. Conclusion 105

III.2.2. International Law – Sovereignty, Intervention, Adjudication 106

III.2.2.1. Pre-Imperial Times – A 'Chinese Community of Nations'? 106

III.2.2.2. Imperial Era – Hierarchical Tributary System 108

III.2.2.3. Unequal Treaties – Late Empire and Republican Period 110

III.2.2.3.1. Definitional Issues 110

III.2.2.3.2. Late Qing (1839–1911): Confrontation and Lasting Attitudes 112

III.2.2.3.3. Republic (1912–1949): Struggle for Renegotiation 115

III.2.2.4. Maoism (1949–1978) 117

III.2.2.4.1. Early Years and Soviet Legal Thought (1949–1957) 117

III.2.2.4.2. Sino-Marxism Outside the UN (1957–1971) 119

III.2.2.4.2.1. Divergence from the Soviet Path 119

III.2.2.4.2.2. Five Principles of Peaceful Co-Existence 121

III.2.2.4.3. Pre-reform PRC in the UN (1971–1978) 123

III.2.2.5. Pragmatism (1978-): Reform and Opening 125

III.2.2.5.1. A new mindset 125

III.2.2.5.2. Sources of International Law and Domestic Application 128

III.2.2.5.3. Sovereignty and Human Rights 129

III.2.2.5.4. Sovereignty and Intervention 131

III.2.2.5.5. Sovereignty and Adjudication 135

III.2.2.6. Conclusion 138

IV. Object – PRC Practice in International Adjudication 140

IV.1. Introduction 140

IV.2. Economy 140

IV.2.1. Phase One – the PRC and Investment Arbitration 141

IV.2.1.1. China's Accession to the ICSID Convention 141

IV.2.1.2. China's Evolving BIT Practice 146

IV.2.1.2.1. Introduction 146

IV.2.1.2.2. First Generation – Cautious Beginnings 149

IV.2.1.2.3. Second Generation – Access to ICSID 151

IV.2.1.2.4. Third Generation – 'Any Dispute Concerning an Investment' 154

IV.2.1.2.5. Fourth Generation – Americanization 157

IV.2.1.2.6. Comparative View – Last BRIC standing: Chinese exception to the restrictive turn 163

IV.2.1.3. Investment Arbitration with Chinese Participation 175

IV.2.1.3.1. Limited Arbitration Clauses – Interpretation and MFN Use 177

IV.2.1.3.1.1. Broad or Narrow Interpretation 178

IV.2.1.3.1.2. MFN Application to Procedural Provisions 197

IV.2.1.3.2. Temporal Issues – Successive BITs and Time-limits 204

IV.2.1.3.2.1. Ping An v Kingdom of Belgium (ICSID) 205

IV.2.1.3.2.2. Ansung v People's Republic of China (ICSID) 207

IV.2.1.3.2.3. Discussion 209

IV.2.1.3.3. Geographical Scope – Application of Chinese BITs to Hong Kong and Macao 212

IV.2.1.3.3.1. Relevant case law 212

IV.2.1.3.3.2. Discussion 215

IV.2.1.3.4. Availability of the ICSID Convention to SOEs 217

IV.2.1.3.4.1. Relevant case law 217

IV.2.1.3.4.2. Discussion 218

IV.2.1.4. Conclusions on China and Investment Arbitration 220

IV.2.2. Phase Two – the PRC and the WTO DSM 223

IV.2.2.1. China's Accession to the WTO and the WTO DSM 223

IV.2.2.2. DSM Case Law with Chinese Participation 229

IV.2.2.2.1. China as a 'rule-taker' – November 2001 to February 2006 230

IV.2.2.2.1.1. China on the Complainant Side – Safeguard Measures 232

IV.2.2.2.1.2. China on the Respondent Side – National Treatment 236
IV.2.2.2.1.3. Conclusion 238
IV.2.2.2.2. China as a 'rule-shaker' – March 2006 to August 2008 238
IV.2.2.2.2.1 China on the Complainant Side – Countervailing & Anti-Dumping Duties 241
IV.2.2.2.2.2. China on the Respondent Side 242
IV.2.2.2.2.3. Conclusion 253
IV.2.2.2.3. China As a 'Rule-Maker' – Since September 2008 254
IV.2.2.2.3.1 Using the Accession Protocol Against China – Export Restrictions 255
IV.2.2.2.3.2 Reversal of Obligations – Using the Accession Protocol in China's Favor 262
IV.2.2.2.3.3 Double Remedies 269
IV.2.2.2.3.4 Countervailing Duties 276
IV.2.2.2.3.5 Anti-dumping Duties 277
IV.2.2.2.3.6 Remaining Cases – National Treatment, MFN & Non-discriminatory Administration of Quantitative Restrictions 281
IV.2.2.2.3.7 Cases at the Consultation Stage 284
IV.2.2.2.3.8 Market Economy Status – 'D-Day' Gone Wrong & China's Controlled Frustration 285
IV.2.2.2.3.9 Conclusion 289
IV.2.2.2.4. Comparative View – BRICS Lessons: Some Learn, Some Don't 293
IV.2.2.2.4.1. Introduction 293
IV.2.2.2.4.2. Brazil 295
IV.2.2.2.4.3. India 298
IV.2.2.2.4.4. South Africa 300
IV.2.2.2.4.5. Russia 302
IV.2.2.2.4.6. Concluding Remarks on BRICS Experiences in the WTO DSM 303
IV.2.2.2.5. Conclusion – China and the WTO DSM 306
IV.3. Territory 309
IV.3.1. Chinese Territory and the People's Republic of China 309
IV.3.1.1. Land Borders 310
IV.3.1.2. Maritime Borders 313

IV.3.2. Ongoing Territorial and Law of the Sea Disputes 314
IV.3.2.1. South China Sea – Of Rocks and Frontiers 314
IV.3.2.1.1. Background to the Dispute 314
IV.3.2.1.2. Significance and Limits of Jurisdiction 320
IV.3.2.1.3. Respective Claims and Legal Steps Taken 324
IV.3.2.2. East China Sea – Same, Same, But Different 330
IV.3.2.3. Sino-Indian Border Disputes – Himalayas from Kashmir to Arunachal Pradesh 333
IV.3.3. Interactions With Dispute Settlement Bodies Below the Threshold of Contentious Proceedings 334
IV.3.3.1. Advisory Proceedings 336
IV.3.3.1.1. ICJ Advisory Opinion on Kosovo 336
IV.3.3.1.2. ITLOS Advisory Opinion on Activities in the Area 338
IV.3.3.1.3. ITLOS Advisory Opinion on Illegal Fishing 340
IV.3.3.1.4. ICJ Advisory Opinion on Chagos 343
IV.3.3.2. Engagement with the CLCS 344
IV.3.4. The First Case – Philippines v China on the South China Sea 349
IV.3.4.1. Background 349
IV.3.4.2. Award on Jurisdiction and Admissibility 351
IV.3.4.2.1. China's Non-Participation 351
IV.3.4.2.2. The Tribunal's Jurisdiction 353
IV.3.4.2.3. The Chinese Government's Reaction 357
IV.3.4.3. Award on the Merits 357
IV.3.4.3.1 China's Non-participation 358
IV.3.4.3.2 Historic Rights and the Nine-Dash Line 358
IV.3.4.3.3 Status of Maritime Features 361
IV.3.4.3.3.1 Low-tide Elevations 361
IV.3.4.3.3.2 'Islands' or 'Rocks'? 362
IV.3.4.3.4 Lawfulness of Chinese Actions 364
IV.3.4.3.5 Aggravation of the Dispute and Future Conduct 368
IV.3.4.4. Implications and Aftermath 369
IV.3.4.4.1. Political Reactions and Outlook 369
IV.3.4.4.2. Criticism, Evaluation, and Legal Implications 372
IV.3.4.4.2.1. Criticism Relating to Jurisdiction 372
IV.3.4.4.2.2. Criticism Relating to the Merits 378
IV.3.4.4.2.3. Criticism Relating to the International Rule of Law 380
IV.3.4.4.2.4. Legal Implications 381
IV.3.4.4.3. Conclusions – the Way(s) Forward 382

IV.3.5. Comparative View – Last BRIC to Be Touched, Three Choices Ahead 387
IV.3.5.1. The BRICS Experience – Stocktake and Comparison 387
IV.3.5.2. Relevance for China's Future Path 396
IV.3.6. Conclusions – Territorial and Law of the Sea Disputes 397

V. Perception – Academic Debates on Engagement with International Adjudication: Chinese International Law Scholars 400

V.1. Introduction 400

V.2. Economy 401
V.2.1. Participation in the WTO DSM 401
V.2.1.1. General Attitude – From Stockholm Syndrome to Confident Offense 401
V.2.1.2. Criticism – Jurisdictional Issues, Protection of Developing States and Implementation 408
V.2.1.2.1. General Points of Criticism 408
V.2.1.2.2. Phase-Dependent Criticism – From Defense to Offense 413
V.2.1.3. Policy Recommendations – The Art of War 417
V.2.1.3.1. Observe, Study, Shape – General Approaches and Early Recommendations from the WTO Accession 2001 to 2006 417
V.2.1.3.1.1. Research, Training and Cautious Engagement 417
V.2.1.3.1.2. Demonstrating Compliance 420
V.2.1.3.1.3. Participating in Reform Negotiations 422
V.2.1.3.1.4. Using China's Position As a Developing State 423
V.2.1.3.1.5. The Role of Individuals 424
V.2.1.3.2. Engage, Defend, Shape – Recommendations Since the Shift to Active Participation in 2006 425
V.2.1.3.2.1. Confidence, Pragmatism and More Active Participation 425
V.2.1.3.2.2. Continued NME Treatment and Chinese Responses 430
V.2.2. Participation in Investment Arbitration 434
V.2.2.1. General Attitude – March Towards Liberalism 435
V.2.2.2. Criticism – Ambiguous Jurisdiction, Imbalances and 'Legitimacy Crisis' 438
V.2.2.2.1. Supposed Ambiguities and Interpretation Issues 439
V.2.2.2.2. Nature and Legitimacy of Investment Arbitration 444

V.2.2.3. Recommendations – Identity Shift: From Host State to Home State 447
V.2.2.3.1. Pre-Engagement – Chinese Views on International Investment Arbitration Ahead of the First Case in 2007 447
V.2.2.3.2. Walking a Tight Rope – Chinese Views on International Investment Arbitration as Threat and Protection from 2007 449
V.2.2.3.2.1. Research and Progress – Steady As She Goes 449
V.2.2.3.2.2. Reforms and Influence – Making the Case 449
V.2.2.3.2.3. Identity and Engagement – on What Has Changed 458
V.2.3. Preliminary Conclusions 462
V.3. Territory 465
V.3.1. General Attitude – From Imperialist Oppressor to Guarantor of Peaceful Dispute Resolution 468
V.3.2. Criticism – The Roots of Caution 472
V.3.2.1. General Criticism – Surprising Similarities 472
V.3.2.2. The South China Sea Arbitration – Recent Flare-up 477
V.3.2.2.1. General Criticism and Jurisdictional Matters 477
V.3.2.2.2. Criticism on the Merits 484
V.3.3. Policy Recommendations – How to Tame a Dragon 487
V.3.3.1. General Recommendations – Prepare Yourself and the Arena 487
V.3.3.1.1. Master the Rules – Research & Training 488
V.3.3.1.2. Have a Seat at the Table – Reform & Influence 494
V.3.3.2. Recommendations on Engagement – A Contested Field 498
V.3.3.2.1. Negotiations & Joint Development 499
V.3.3.2.2. Adjudication & Arbitration 503
V.3.3.2.2.1. Criticism of Political Declarations, Negotiations & Joint Development 503
V.3.3.2.2.2. General Preconditions for and Significance of Engagement 505
V.3.3.2.2.3. Engaging to Refute Jurisdiction 509
V.3.3.2.2.4. Advisory Opinions 510
V.3.3.2.2.5. Trial Balloons: Conciliation, Arbitration & Adjudication – New Mechanisms & Special Separate Tribunals 511
V.3.3.2.2.6. Full Embrace – Tackling the Issues Head On 512
V.3.4. Preliminary Conclusions 515

VI. Conclusion – China's Behavior in International Adjudication 519

VI.1. Imperatives of Greatness – of What 'Has to Be Done' 519

VI.2. China and International Adjudication – Different Speeds, Same Direction 521

VI.2.1. Round One – Trade Law 521

VI.2.2. Round Two – Investment Law 523

VI.2.3. Round Three – Law of the Sea and Territorial Disputes 525

VI.3. Comparison and Outlook 527

VI.3.1. A Chinese Path – Not Your Ordinary BRIC 527

VI.3.2. The Way Forward – Engaging to Rise 528

References 531