CSC 412/2506: Probabilistic Learning and Reasoning Week 4 - 1/2: Message Passing

Murat A. Erdogdu

University of Toronto

Prob Learning (UofT)

- Trueskill latent variable model
- Message passing

- What to do when a variable z is unobserved?
- If we never condition on z when in the inference problem, then we can just integrate it out.
- However, in certain cases, we are interested in the latent variables themselves, e.g. the clustering problems.
- More on latent variables when we cover Gaussian mixtures.

X12=2 XZE1 1 v

The TrueSkill latent variable model

- TrueSkill model is a player ranking system for competitive games.
- The goal is to infer the skill of a set of players in a competitive game, based on observing who beats who.
- In the TrueSkill model, each player has a fixed level of skill, denoted z_i .
- We initially don't know anything about anyone's skill, but we assume everyone's skill is independent (e.g. an independent Gaussian prior).
- We never get to observe the players' skills directly, which makes this a latent variable model.

TrueSkill model

- Instead, we observe the outcome of a series of matches between different players.
- For each game, the probability that player i beats player j is given by $p(i \text{ beats } j) = \sigma(z_i - z_j)$ where sigma is the logistic function: $\sigma(y) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-y)}$.

• We can write the entire joint likelihood of a set of players and games as:

$$p(z_1, z_2, \dots z_N, \text{game 1, game 2, ... game T})$$
$$= \left[\prod_{i=1}^N p(z_i)\right] \left[\prod_{\text{games}} p(\text{i beats } j|z_i, z_j)\right]$$
$$\mathcal{N} \land \mathcal{T} \land \mathcal{V}$$



- Given the outcome of some matches, the players' skills are no longer independent, even if they've never played each other.
- Computing the posterior over even two players' skills requires integrating over all the other players' skills: 2200 over and the other players' skills:

 $\bigvee_{p(z_1, z_2 | \text{game 1, game 2, ... game T})} \int_{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum$

$$= \int \cdots \int p(z_1, z_2, z_3 \dots z_N | x) dz_3 \dots dz_N$$

- Message passing can be used to compute posteriors!
- More on this model in Assignment 2.

Variable Elimination Order and Trees

- Last week: we can do exact inference by variable elimination: I.e. to compute p(A|C), we can marginalize p(A, B|C) over every variable in B, one at a time.
- Computational cost is determined by the graph structure, and the elimination ordering.
- Determining the optimal elimination ordering is hard.
- Even if we do, the resulting marginalization might also be unreasonably costly.
- Fortunately, for trees, any elimination ordering that goes from the leaves inwards towards any root will be optimal.
- You can think of trees as just chains which sometimes branch.



Inference in Trees



A graph is \$\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})\$ where \$\mathcal{V}\$ is the set of vertices (nodes) and \$\mathcal{E}\$ the set of edges

t f

- For $i, j \in \mathcal{V}$, we have $(i, j) \in \mathcal{E}$ if there is an edge between the nodes i and j.
- For a node in graph $i \in \mathcal{V}$, N(i)denotes the neighbors of i, i.e. $N(i) = \{j : (i, j) \in \mathcal{E}\}.$
- Shaded nodes are observed, and denoted by $\bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_4, \bar{x}_5$.

The joint distribution in the general case is

$$\bigvee_{12} \left(\chi_{1} \chi_{2} \right) p(x_{1:n}) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \psi(x_{i}) \prod_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{E}} \psi_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}).$$
Prob Learning (UofT)
$$CSQ412-Week 4-1/2$$

Inference in Trees

 x_1

 x_2

 x_4

 x_3

 x_5



 $p(x_3 \mid \overline{x}_2, \overline{x}_4, \overline{x}_5) = \frac{1}{Z^E} \sum_{x_1} \psi_1(x_1) \psi_3(x_3) \psi_2(\overline{x}_2) \psi_4(\overline{x}_4) \psi_5(\overline{x}_5) \psi_{12}(\overline{x}_2, x_1) \psi_{34}(\overline{x}_4, x_3) \psi_{35}(\overline{x}_5, x_3) \psi_{13}(x_1, x_3)$

• Let's write the variable elimination.



Message Passing on Trees

<u>Prob</u>Learning (UofΓ)

We perform variable elimination from leaves to root, which is the sum product algorithm to compute all marginals. Belief propagation is a message-passing between neighboring vertices of the graph.

• The message sent from variable j to $i \in N(j)$ is

$$m_{j \to i}(x_i) = \sum_{x_j} \psi_j(x_j) \psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \prod_{k \in N(j) \neq i} m_{k \to j}(x_j)$$

$$M_{j \to i}(x_i) = \psi_j(\bar{x}_j) \psi_{ij}(x_i, \bar{x}_j) \prod_{k \in N(j) \neq i} m_{k \to j}(\bar{x}_j)$$

$$M_{j \to i}(x_i) = \psi_j(\bar{x}_j) \psi_{ij}(x_i, \bar{x}_j) \prod_{k \in N(j) \neq i} m_{k \to j}(\bar{x}_j)$$
once the message passing stage is complete, we can compute our beliefs as
$$b(x_i) \propto \psi_i(x_i) \prod_{k \in N(j) \neq i} m_{j \to i}(x_i). \quad \bigcap \left(\chi_j\right) = \frac{b(x_i)}{\zeta b(x_i)}$$
once normalized, beliefs are the marginals we want to compute!

Message Passing on Trees

The message sent from variable j to $i \in N(j)$ is



Each message $m_{j\to i}(x_i)$ is a vector with one value for each state of x_i .

Prob Learning (UofT)

CSC412-Week 4-1/2

Inference in Trees: Compute $p(x_1|\bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_4, \bar{x}_5)$

$$m_{j \to i}(x_i) = \sum_{x_j} \psi_j(x_j)\psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \prod_{k \in N(j)/i} m_{k \to j}(x_j)$$

$$b(x_i) \propto \psi_i(x_i) \prod_{j \in N(i)} m_{j \to i}(x_i).$$

• $m_{5 \to 3}(x_3) = \psi_5(\bar{x}_5)\psi_{35}(x_3, \bar{x}_5)$
• $m_{2 \to 1}(x_1) = \psi_2(\bar{x}_2)\psi_{12}(x_1, \bar{x}_2)$
• $m_{4 \to 3}(x_3) = \psi_4(\bar{x}_4)\psi_{34}(x_3, \bar{x}_4)$
• $m_{1 \to 3}(x_3) = \sum_{x_1} \psi_1(x_1)\psi_{13}(x_1, x_3)m_{2 \to 1}(x_1)$
• $b(x_3) \propto \psi_3(x_3)m_{1 \to 3}(x_3)m_{4 \to 3}(x_3)m_{5 \to 3}(x_3)$
This is the same as variable elimination, so

 $p(x_3|\bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_4, \bar{x}_5) = b(x_3)$

CSC412-Week 4-1/2



Loopy Belief Propagation



- What if the graph (MRF) we have is not a tree and have cycles?
- Keep passing messages until convergence.
- This is called **Loopy Belief Propagation**.
- This is like when someone starts a rumour and then hears the same rumour from someone else, making them more certain it's true.
- We won't get the exact marginals, but an approximation.
- But turns out it is still very useful!

Loopy Belief Propagation

Loopy BP:

• Initialize all messages uniformly:

$$m_{i \to j}(x_j) = [1/k, ..., 1/k]^{\top}$$

where k is the number of states x_i can take.

• Keep running BP updates until it "converges":

$$m_{j \to i}(x_i) = \sum_{x_j} \psi_j(x_j) \psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \prod_{k \in N(j) \neq i} m_{k \to j}(x_j)$$

and normalize for stability.

- It will generally not converge, but that's generally ok.
- Compute beliefs

$$b(x_i) \propto \psi_i(x_i) \prod_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} m_{j \to i}(x_i).$$

This algorithm is still very useful in practice, without any theoretical guarantee (other than trees).

Sum-product vs. Max-product

- The algorithm we learned is called **sum-product BP** and approximately computes the **marginals** at each node.
- For MAP inference, we maximize over x_j instead of summing over them. This is called **max-product BP**.
- BP updates take the form

$$m_{j \to i}(x_i) = \max_{x_j} \psi_j(x_j) \psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \prod_{k \in N(j) \neq i} m_{k \to j}(x_j)$$

• After BP algorithm converges, the beliefs are **max-marginals**

$$b(x_i) \propto \psi_i(x_i) \prod_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} m_{j \to i}(x_i).$$

• MAP inference:

$$\hat{x}_i = \arg\max_{x_i} b(x_i).$$

Prob Learning (UofT)

CSC412-Week 4-1/2

- This algorithm is still very useful in practice, without any theoretical guarantee (other than trees).
- Loopy BP multiplies the same potentials multiple times. It is often over-confident.
- BP can oscillate, but may be still useful.
- It often works better if we normalize messages, and use momentum.
- The algorithm we learned is called **sum-product BP**. If we are interested in MAP inference, we can maximize over x_j instead of summing over them. This is called **max-product BP**.