EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

CERN-EP/98-134 12 August 1998

Measurement of the Effective Weak Mixing Angle by Jet-Charge Asymmetry in Hadronic Decays of the Z Boson

L3 Collaboration

Abstract

The coupling of the Z boson to quarks is studied in a sample of about 3.5 million hadronic Z decays collected by the L3 experiment at LEP from 1991 to 1995. The forward-backward quark charge asymmetry is measured by means of a jet charge technique. From the measured asymmetries, the effective weak mixing angle is determined to be

 $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.2327 \pm 0.0012(stat.) \pm 0.0013(syst.).$

Submitted to Phys. Lett. B

1 Introduction

The Standard Model of electroweak interactions [1] predicts a mixing between the two mediators of neutral currents. Consequently, the coupling constants of the Z boson acquire a dependence on the weak mixing angle $\sin^2\theta_W$. Measuring the forward-backward asymmetries in Z boson decays therefore allows to determine $\sin^2\theta_W$.

In the process $e^+e^-\to qq$ the distribution of the quark production angle, θ , relative to the e beam direction, can be parametrised by

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta} \sim 1 + \cos^2\theta + \frac{8}{3}A_{\text{fb}}^q\cos\theta \,. \tag{1}
$$

At lowest order, for a centre-of-mass energy, \sqrt{s} , equal to $m_{\rm Z}$ the forward–backward asymmetry becomes

$$
A_{\text{fb}}^q(m_Z) = \frac{3}{4} A_{\text{e}} A_q, \qquad A_f = \frac{2v_f a_f}{v_f^2 + a_f^2} \,. \tag{2}
$$

Higher-order weak corrections are taken into account by replacing the couplings v_f and a_f by effective couplings \bar{v}_f and \bar{a}_f which are related to the effective weak mixing angle, sin² $\bar{\theta}_W$ [2], by

$$
\bar{v}_f/\bar{a}_f = 1 - 4|Q_f|\sin^2\overline{\theta}_W. \tag{3}
$$

In contrast to the leptonic final states, the analysis of hadronic final states is complicated by the fragmentation process, which obscures a charge measurement of the original quarks. In addition hadronic final states are a mixture of up- and down-type quark pairs, reducing the sensitivity of the forward-backward asymmetry measurement to the value of $\sin^2 \overline{\theta}_W$. Previous measurements of the quark charge asymmetry have been performed at LEP $[3-5]$.

This paper describes the measurement of the quark charge asymmetry in a sample of about 3.5 million hadronic Z decays. The data were collected between 1991 and 1995 by the L3 experiment at centre-of-mass energies around the Z peak, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 135 pb¹. A jet-charge technique [6] is used to identify the quark charge signs.

The analysis is performed as follows: a flavour-averaged probability to correctly identify the charge sign of the quarks is determined from the data. This is used to extract raw asymmetries. These raw asymmetries are fitted, in the Standard Model framework, to determine the value of $\sin^2 \overline{\theta}_W$. For the data taken in 1994 and 1995 the identification of heavy flavours is used to increase the sensitivity to sin² θ_W and to measure the bb forward–backward asymmetry, A_fb^z , in a more model-independent way.

2 Event Selection

The data is collected with the L3 detector [7] and is analysed separately for each year and centre-of-mass energy point. Hadronic events are selected by cuts similar to those used in Reference [8]:

- visible energy: $0.5 \le E_{\rm vis}/\sqrt{s} \le 1.5$
- transverse energy impact the energy \equiv transported in \equiv visits \equiv . The energy indicate \sim
- longitudinal energy impact impact the Ω imbalance: Elonge Evis Elonge Evis Elonge Evis Elonge Evis Elonge E
- number of calorimetric energy deposits: NCl > 133 metric energy deposits: N
- polar angle of the thrust axis: ^j cos t ^j < 0:85.

The events are further divided into two hemispheres separated by the plane perpendicular to the thrust axis. For the analysis only events are considered which have at least two tracks reconstructed in one hemisphere and at least one track in the other one. Tracks in the central tracker are required to have a measured transverse momentum between 1 and 50 GeV and to originate from the interaction point.

In Table 1 the centre-of-mass energy, the collected luminosity and the number of events used in the following analysis are listed for each period.

The Monte Carlo samples of hadronic Z decays used for fragmentation studies are generated using the PYTHIA/JETSET program 191. The samples of e+e $\;\rightarrow$ τ + τ (γ) and e+e $\;\rightarrow$ \rm{e} \rm{e} \it{q} \it{q} events used in the evaluation of the background contamination are generated with the KORALZ [10] and the DIAG36 [11] programs, respectively. These backgrounds contributions are small: 0.3% and 0.2%. The response of the L3 detector is modelled with the GEANT [12] detector simulation program which includes the effects of energy loss, multiple scattering and showering in the detector materials and in the beampipe.

3 Measurement Techniques

3.1 Jet–Charge Measurement

The thrust axis is used to estimate the direction of flight of the quark-pair produced in the Z decay. For each hemisphere, defined by the thrust axis, the jet charge is given by

$$
Q_h = \frac{\sum_i q_i w_i}{N_{\text{trk}}} \qquad \qquad h = \text{F}, \text{B}, \tag{4}
$$

where forward (F) and backward (B) are dened with respect to the direction of the e beam. The index *i* runs over all tracks per hemisphere, N_{trk} . The charge and weight per track are q_i and w_i , respectively. The weight is determined from the track momentum component along the thrust direction, $p_i^{\scriptscriptstyle\!}$, and the track charge confusion, $C,$ as

$$
w_i = |p_i^{\parallel}|^{\kappa} \times (1 - C(\phi^i)). \tag{5}
$$

The track charge confusion depends on the azimuthal angle, ϕ^i , of the track, and is estimated using leptonic contract and state data. And is called forward in the parameter \mathcal{A} if \mathcal{A} is larger than \mathcal{A} is larger than \mathcal{A} κ , which is a free parameter of the method [6], is set to 0.4 to maximise the probability, $P_{\rm S}$, for a correct assignment of the event being forward or backward.

The jet charge defined in Eqn. (4) is used to discriminate between jets originating from positively and negatively charged quarks. Denoting the jet charge of positively and negatively α and α and α and α and α and α and α are Gaussians and have mean values differing by a charge separation δ_Q , variances σ_Q and a correlation . The probability PS is given by PS is given by the probability P

$$
P_{\rm S} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{x_0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} dx, \qquad x_0 = \frac{-\delta_Q}{\sigma_Q \sqrt{2(1-\rho)}}.
$$
 (6)

The quantities δ_Q and σ_Q are extracted from the data in the following way: A distribution Q is constructed, equal to the sum of the sum of the μ distribution sum of the variance of distribution, $V(Q)$, and the correlation coefficient, ρ , the following relations hold:

$$
V(Q) = \sigma_Q^2 + \frac{1}{4}\delta_Q^2,
$$

\n
$$
\rho \sigma_Q^2 = \langle Q_+ Q_- \rangle - \langle Q_+ \rangle \langle Q_- \rangle .
$$
\n(7)

The brackets denote the mean value of the distribution. From these relations the charge separation is determined to be

$$
\delta_Q^2 = \frac{4(\rho V(Q) + \langle Q \rangle^2 - \langle Q_+ Q_- \rangle)}{1 + \rho}.
$$
\n(8)

 $\mathbf v$ the distribution also represents the sum of the sum of the sum of the $\mathbf v$ and $\mathbf v$ distributions, and as assumed to the sum of the s $<\!Q_{\rm F}Q_{\rm B}\!> = <\!Q_+Q_-\!>,\,\delta_Q$ and σ_Q can be calculated using these quantities, measured on data, and the correlation coefficient ρ , which is the only quantity that is determined from Monte Carlo events. In Figure 1 the Monte Carlo distributions of the jet charges of the positive and negative quark hemispheres are shown; also the Q distribution is compared to the data.

Applying the same method to a sample of fully simulated Monte Carlo events, the estimated PS values are shown to reproduce well the fractions of ^a correct charge assignment, Pgen, as determined using generator-level information. This is shown in Figure 2 which includes also the distribution obtained for the data taken in 1993. A good agreement between the Monte Carlo values is obtained, except for the first bin. The discrepancy observed here is an artefact of the comparison, caused by the migration of events between forward and backward directions due to the thrust axis angular resolution. This affects the evaluation made using generator-level information, but not the procedure used to extract $\sin^2 \theta_\mathrm{W}$ where the resolution is accounted for in the raw asymmetries. The raw asymmetries of Ω are 64.0% in 1991 in and 66.5% in 1994.

These low values of Γ are mainly due to fragmentation entries of Γ using a jet charge technique assumes that the highest-energy particles produced in the hadronisation carry the information of the sign of the charge of the original quark. This charge information is diluted for several reasons:

- Primary mesons¹⁾ may be neutral, which is more likely for down-type quarks than for up-type ones. This is due to the possibility to create ss pairs in the fragmentation process, in addition to uu and dd.
- Up-type quarks are more likely to produce charged than neutral baryons; the converse is true for down-type quarks. This reduces the correlation between jet charges and quark charges for down-type quarks relative to up-type quarks.
- The decay of mesons, in particular in case of heavy flavour mesons, distributes the momentum among several particles.

 \Box and dierences in PS among the estimated using \Box Carlo event samples. The relevant parameters of the JETSET hadronisation model on which these estimates depend are given in Section 4.2.

¹⁾The primary meson of a jet is a bound state of the original quark/antiquark and the antiquark/quark of the first quark pair produced in the hadronisation.

3.2 Flavour Tagging Method

For the data taking periods where the silicon microvertex detector was operational (1994 and 1995) an impact parameter flavour tagging method, described in detail in Reference [13], is used to distinguish decays of the Z into heavy quarks. The distance of closest approach in the transverse plane to the primary vertex of the event is calculated for each track. The corresponding error is evaluated taking into account both the uncertainties on the vertex and those on the track parameters. A sign is given to the impact parameter, according to the position of the intersection between the track and the jet direction with respect to the primary vertex. The tracks with negative impact parameters are likely to be fragmentation tracks. From the impact parameters and their errors the probability of the individual tracks to originate from the interaction point is estimated. The probability, $P_{\rm E}$, that there is no secondary vertex is evaluated by combining all the the event. The the event, The discriminator, Dtag , US , Dtag , US , D $\mathcal O$ and $\mathcal O$ and $\mathcal O$ is shown for $\mathcal O$ in the Distribution is shown for $\mathcal O$ in $\mathcal O$ in Figure 3(a).

4 Measurement of Asymmetries

4.1 Extraction of Raw Asymmetries

The data taken in each year and at each centre-of-mass energy point are considered separately. In addition, the data taken on the Z peak in 1994 and 1995 are split into 8 samples, corresponding to dierent values of Dtag . Bins are chosen in order to contain similar numbers of events per bin.

In each of these samples an unbinned maximum likelihood fit is performed to the distribution of

$$
x = \text{sign}(Q_F - Q_B) |\cos \theta_t| \,. \tag{9}
$$

The variable \mathbf{r} represents with a probability PS the positive quark positive quark of the positive quark posit originating from the Z decay with respect to the e beam direction. The likelihood function is then calculated from the expected angular distribution for a given $A_{\rm fb}^{\rm ac}$: \sim

$$
\mathcal{L}(A_{\text{fb}}^{\text{raw}}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N_{\text{evt}}} f(x_i, A_{\text{fb}}^{\text{raw}}), \quad f(x, A_{\text{fb}}^{\text{raw}}) = 1 + ax^2 + \frac{6 + 2a}{3} A_{\text{fb}}^{\text{raw}} (2P_S(x) - 1)x. \tag{10}
$$

The coefficient $a = 0.952 \pm 0.009$ is introduced to account for the deformation of the polar angular distribution due to QCD corrections [14], and is flavour-independent to a good approximation. The thrust axis angular resolution is accounted for by convoluting expression (10) with a Gaussian.

The raw asymmetries, $A_{\text{fb}}^{\text{max}}$, which result from the fit, correspond to a linear combination of the forward–backward asymmetries A_{fb}^* introduced in Eqn. (1):

$$
A_{\text{fb}}^{\text{raw}} = \sum_{q} \frac{Q_q}{|Q_q|} f_q \xi_q (1 - C_q) A_{\text{fb}}^q, \quad f_q = \varepsilon_q R_q / \sum_{q'} \varepsilon_{q'} R_{q'} \tag{11}
$$

where the C_q are flavour-dependent QCD corrections [14], the ε_q are the selection efficiencies of the various flavours in the sample, and the $R_q = \sigma(e^+e^- \to q\bar{q})/\sum_{q'}\sigma(e^+e^- \to q'q')$ are the relative cross sections. The flavour biases, ξ_q , take into account the difference in the probability for correct charge assignment between the flavours and are given by

$$
\xi_q = \frac{2P_q - 1}{2P_S - 1},\tag{12}
$$

where the P_q are scaled to yield the same average P_s as obtained from the data. A Monte Carlo study showed that the polar angular dependence of the ξ_q can be neglected.

The raw asymmetries measured in 1994 and 1995 for the 8 bins of the tagging variable D_{tag} are listed in Table 2. Also given are the fractions of understanding α events for each Dtag bin.

The flavour biases depend on the performance of the tracking and are therefore determined for each year separately. For example, values found for the five quark flavours in 1994 are: ξ_u =1.41, ξ_d = 0.88, ξ_s = 1.07, ξ_c = 0.85 and ξ_b = 0.87.

4.2 Experimental Systematic Errors

The detector introduces uncertainties in the measurements both because of possible intrinsic asymmetries and because of the systematic uncertainty on the determination of P_S . The following contributions are studied, and the results are summarised in Table 3:

- Angular acceptance: The uncertainty introduced by the detector acceptance is largely reduced by the use of an unbinned maximum likelihood technique. Due to this technique the selection efficiency as a function of x is irrelevant provided it is symmetric in x, and thus does not introduce systematic uncertainties. In order to study residual effects at the edges of the tracking devices the measurement is repeated for different values of the acceptance cut.
- Tracking performance and detector material: Asymmetries in the detector response can only bias the measurement if the performance of the tracking is different in the forward and backward regions and for positively and negatively charged tracks. Studies of the tracking system, in particular of the alignment of the ladders of the silicon microvertex detector, show no significant effect.

The quark flavours may be affected by the track selection criteria in different ways, as they fragment with different momentum spectra and different secondary decay characteristics. Therefore the raw asymmetry measurements are repeated varying the selection criteria for tracks used in the jet charge calculation. The following track parameters are studied, and statistically signicant variations in the raw asymmetries are considered as systematic uncertainties:

- The cut on the minimum transverse momentum is varied between 800 MeV and 1.2 GeV.
- $-$ The cut on the maximum transverse momentum is lowered from 50 to 30 GeV.
- ${\rm -}$ The requirements on the minimal track length, on the track quality and the matching to the interaction point are tightened.

Positively and negatively charged particles are known to have different interaction cross sections with the detector materials. To investigate this effect, the measurement is repeated with positively charged tracks only. No significant differences are found.

For 1994 and 1995 data the difference in b tagging efficiency between data and Monte Carlo is taken into account by using the average value. The systematic uncertainty, estimated to be half the difference, has no significant effect.

- Event selection: The effect of background contamination from other processes is negligible. The uncertainties due to the event selection cuts are evaluated by cut variations.
- Vertex position displacements and beam tilts: For the data taken in 1994 and 1995 the directions of calorimetric clusters used to determine the thrust axis refer to the event vertex position as measured using the silicon microvertex detector. For the data taken before 1994 the longitudinal event vertex position was not available, and its nominal position was assumed; the corresponding error on the thrust axis calculation leads to a systematic uncertainty of 0.008% on the raw asymmetries.

The effects of the slight tilts of the LEP beams with respect to the z axis of the L3 detector were investigated and found to be negligible.

 Errors on correlations: The main source of uncertainty on the calculation of the probability PS is the error on the correlation between the jet charges in the two hemispheres. The correlation coefficient ρ is extracted from Monte Carlo simulation. The variation of its value with the fragmentation model parameters is taken into account. A relative error of 30% on the values of ρ is estimated.

The values of PS are determined from data. Consequently they are known with limited statistical accuracy only. Their statistical errors cause uncertainties on the raw asymmetry measurements, which are also included in Table 3.

4.3 Theoretical Uncertainties

Theoretical uncertainties enter the determination of $A_{\text{fb}}^{\text{}}$ and $\sin^2 \theta_W$ through Eqn. (11) by uncertainties on the QCD corrections, C_q , and on the flavour biases, ξ_q .

To evaluate the effect due to the uncertainty on the QCD corrections, C_q , the prescriptions given in Reference [14] are followed. The full corrections given therein are applied since no requirement on the shape of the event is used. The resulting error on sin^{- $\theta_{\rm W}$ is negligible.}

The probability of correct charge assignment of the individual flavours is sensitive to parameters describing the hadronisation process [9]. All of these parameters are tuned on LEP data and are known with limited precision only. They are varied in ranges similar to those in References $[3, 15-19]$ and the resulting changes in the flavour biases are considered as systematic uncertainties. Parameters influencing the flavour biases are:

- The QCD scale parameter QCD (varied between 240 and 325 MeV);
- The invariant mass cut-o of parton showers Mmin (varied between 0.55 and 1.0 GeV);
- Baryon production: the rate of di-quark relative to single quark production $P(qq)/P(q)$ (varied between 0.09 and 0.12), and the parameter in the so-called popcorn model [20] describing how often baryon production is accompanied by the production of high-momentum mesons (varied between 0 and 1);
- General fragmentation parameters: the ratio of vector $/$ (vector + pseudoscalar) meson production P_q (varied between 0.4 and 0.8 for u and d quarks, between 0.5 and 0.7 for s quarks, and between 0.65 and 0.85 for c and b quarks), the width of the transverse momentum distribution σ_q (varied between 360 and 420 MeV), and the rate of ss pairs produced in the fragmentation process relative to uu and dd pairs, s (varied between 0.27 and 0.32);
- The a and b parameters in the Lund symmetric fragmentation function for light flavours (varied between 0.14 and 0.28, and 0.73 and 0.82, respectively);
- The average energy fraction carried by hadrons fragmented from heavy flavours, $\langle x_E \rangle_c$ and $\langle x_E \rangle$ _b (varied between 0.476 and 0.492 and 0.705 and 0.713, respectively);
- The B oscillation parameter x_d (varied between 0.6 and 0.7).

To evaluate the effect of these uncertainties, a simplified Monte Carlo simulation is used. The flavour biases ξ_q are calculated for the values of the parameters listed.

To ensure that the simplied simulation gives reliable results, a reweighting technique is alternatively applied to a sample of fully simulated Monte Carlo events in case of variations of Λ_{QCD} , $\langle x_E \rangle_c$ and $\langle x_E \rangle_b$. The uncertainties obtained from the full simulation are in agreement with those derived from the simplied simulation. The resulting uncertainties on the $A_{\rm fb}^e$ and sin² by measurements (see the following Section) are given in Table 4.

The covariance matrix of the ξ_q originating from the parameter uncertainties is derived. The errors and correlations are found to be the same for all data periods. The sum of the individual covariance matrices is listed in Table 5.

5 Results

5.1 Forward{Backward b Quark Asymmetry

For the data taken in 1994 and 1995, when the silicon microvertex detector was operational, the b-quark forward-backward asymmetry, $A_{\rm fb}$ is determined using Eqn. (11). To obtain $A_{\rm fb}$ from the raw asymmetry, the contributions from the remaining flavours are subtracted:

$$
A_{\rm fb}^{\rm b} = -\frac{A_{\rm fb}^{\rm raw} - \sum_{q \neq b} Q_q / |Q_q| f_q \xi_q (1 - C_q) A_{\rm fb}^q}{f_{\rm b} \xi_{\rm b} (1 - C_{\rm b})} \,. \tag{13}
$$

The values for $R_{\rm b},\,R_{\rm c},$ and $A_{\rm fb}^{\rm c}$ at $\sqrt{s}=m_{\rm Z}$ are taken from Reference [21], and only their small energy dependence is inferred from the Standard Model; the branching ratios and asymmetries for the light flavours are taken from the Standard Model.

The measurement is performed on b-quark enriched sample requiring Dtag > 1:5, corresponding to a purity function for μ = 18% and 3(b) shows the b ecoelection of the b ecoelect and purity as a function of the cut value.

The measured forward-backward asymmetries at the three different center of mass energies are

$$
A_{\text{fb}}^{\text{b}} = 4.95 \pm 5.23 \pm 0.40\% \qquad \sqrt{s} = 89.45 \text{ GeV} \tag{14}
$$

$$
A_{\text{fb}}^{\text{b}} = 9.31 \pm 1.01 \pm 0.55\% \qquad \sqrt{s} = 91.24 \text{ GeV} \tag{15}
$$

 A_{fb}^{b} = 11.37 $\pm 3.99 \pm 0.65\%$ $\sqrt{s} = 92.99$ GeV (16)

Figure 4 compares the measurements to the Standard Model expectations for sin⁻ $\theta_{\rm W}$ $=$ 0:2315 [15]. The measurements are combined, following Reference [15], to give a determination of the pole asymmetry

$$
A_{\text{fb}}^{0,b} = 9.55 \pm 1.07(stat.) \pm 0.55(syst.)\% \,. \tag{17}
$$

This corresponds to a value of $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.2329 \pm 0.0019 \pm 0.0010$, consistent with the combined value given below.

The correlations with the other forward-backward asymmetries and branching ratios and the central values used for the measurement are listed in Table 6.

5.2 Effective Weak Mixing Angle

To determine sin² W a χ^2 nt to the raw asymmetries is performed using the MINUIT program [22], the χ^2 being defined as:

$$
\chi^2 = (\overrightarrow{A} - \overrightarrow{A^{th}})\hat{V}^{-1}(\overrightarrow{A} - \overrightarrow{A^{th}}).
$$
\n(18)

where A and A^{th} denote the measured and predicted raw asymmetries, respectively. A^{th} is obtained using Eqn. (11), where the R_q and $A_{\rm fb}^*$ are calculated using the ZFITTER program [23]. The covariance matrix V takes into account the statistical errors and the experimental and theoretical uncertainties with their correlations. The result of the fit for the effective weak mixing angle is

$$
\sin^2 \overline{\theta}_W = 0.2327 \pm 0.0012(stat.) \pm 0.0013(syst.) \tag{19}
$$

Acknowledgements

We wish to express our gratitude to the CERN accelerator divisions for the good performance of the LEP machine. We acknowledge the efforts of all engineers and technicians who have participated in the construction and maintenance of this experiment.

References

- [1] S.L. Glashow Nucl. Phys. 22 (1961) 579; S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19 (1967) 1264; A. Salam, Elementary Particle Theory, ed. N. Svartholm, Stockholm, Almquist & Wiksell (1968) 367.
- [2] A. Sirlin, Phys. Rev. D 22 (1980) 971; A.A. Akhundov, D. Bardin and T. Riemann, Nucl. Phys. B276 (1986) 1; D. Bardin et al., Electroweak Working Group Report, CERN Report CERN 95-03, eds. D. Bardin, W. Hollik and G. Passarino, and references therein.
- [3] ALEPH Collab., D. Buskulic et al., Z. Phys. C 71 (1996) 357.
- [4] DELPHI Collab., P. Abreu *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B 277** (1992) 371.
- [5] OPAL Collab., P.D. Acton *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B 294** (1992) 436.
- [6] R.D. Field and R.P. Feynman, Nucl. Phys. B136 (1978) 1.
- [7] L3 Collab., B. Adeva et al., Nucl. Inst. Meth. A 289 (1990) 335; M. Acciarri et al., Nucl. Inst. Meth. **A 351** (1994) 300; M. Chemarin et al., Nucl. Inst. Meth. **A 349** (1994) 345; I.C. Brock et al., Nucl. Inst. Meth. A 381 (1996) 236; A. Adam et al., Nucl. Inst. Meth. A 383 (1996) 342.
- [8] L3 Collab., M. Acciarri et al., Z. Phys. C 62 (1994) 551.
- [9] T. Sjöstrand, PYTHIA 5.7 and JETSET 7.4 Physics and Manual, CERN-TH/7112/93 (1993), revised August 1995; Comp. Phys. Comm. 82 (1994) 74.
- [10] KORALZ version 4.01 is used. S. Jadach, B.F.L. Ward and Z. Was, Comp. Phys. Comm. 79 (1994) 503.
- [11] F.A. Berends, P.H. Daverveldt and R. Kleiss, Nucl. Phys. B 253 (1985) 441.
- [12] The L3 detector simulation is based on GEANT Version 3.15. R. Brun *et al., GEANT 3,* CERN-DD/EE/84-1 (Revised), 1987. The GHEISHA program (H. Fesefeldt, RWTH Aachen Report PITHA 85/02 (1985)) is used to simulate hadronic interactions.
- [13] J. Alcaraz et al., "Measurement of the Z Branching Fraction into Bottom Quarks Using Double Tag Methods", L3 Internal Note 2114, 1997.
- [14] D. Abbaneo et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 4 (1998) 185.
- [15] The LEP Experiments ALEPH, DELPHI, L3 and OPAL, the LEP Electroweak Working Group and the SLD Heavy Flavour Group, "A Combination of Preliminary Electroweak Measurements and Constraints on the Standard Model", Preprint CERN-PPE/97-154 (1997).
- [16] OPAL Collab., R. Akers *et al.*, Z. Phys. **C 67** (1995) 365.
- [17] L3 Collab., M. Acciarri et al., Phys. Lett. B 416 (1998) 220.
- [18] The LEP Electroweak Working Group, "Presentation of LEP Electroweak Heavy Flavour Results for Summer 1996 Conferences",Internal Note LEPHF/96-01,ALEPH Note 96- 099,DELPHI 96-67 PHYS 627,L3 Internal Note 1969,OPAL Technical Note TN391, July 1996.
- [19] The LEP B Oscillation Group, "Combined Results on B^0 Oscillations: Update for the Summer Conferences", Internal Note, LEP-BOSC 97/2, ALEPH 97-083 PHYSIC 97-073 CDF 4297, DELPHI 97-135 PHYS 722, L3 Internal Note 2161, OPAL Technical Note TN502, SLD Physics Note 62, August 1997.
- [20] B. Andersson, G. Gustafson and T. Sjostrand, Phys. Scr. 32 (1985) 574.
- [21] Particle Data Group, C. Caso *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. **C 3** (1998) 1.
- [22] F. James, CERN Program Library Long Writeup D506 MINUIT, CERN, 1993.
- [23] ZFITTER version 5.0 is used. D. Bardin et al., Preprint CERN-TH/6443/92; Z. Phys. C 44 (1989) 493; Nucl. Phys. B 351 (1991) 1; Phys. Lett. B 255 (1991) 290.

These L3 Internal Notes are freely available upon request from The L3 Secretariat, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland. Internet: http://l3www.cern.ch.

The L3 Collaboration:

M.Acciarri,²⁷ O.Adriani,¹⁶ M.Aguilar-Benitez,²⁶ S.Ahlen,¹¹ J.Alcaraz,²⁶ G.Alemanni,²² J.Allaby,¹⁷ A.Aloisio,²⁹ $M.G.Alvigei²⁹, G.Ambrosi¹⁹, H.Anderhub⁴⁸, V.P.Andreev³⁷, T.Angelescu¹³, F.Anselmo⁹, A.Areflev²⁸, T.Azenoon³,$ T.Aziz, P.Bagnaia, L.Baksay, R.C.Ball, S.Banerjee, Sw.Banerjee, K.Banicz, A.Barczyk, K.Barillere, L.Barone,20 P.Bartalini,22 A.Baschirotto,27 M.Basile, R.Battiston,23 A.Bay,22 F.Becattini,2 U.Becker,22 F.Behner, J.Berqugo, P.Berges, B.Bertucci, B.L.Betev, S.Bhattacharya, M.Biasini, A.Biland, G.M.Bilei, J.J.Blaising,5.C.Blyth,34. G.J.Bobbink,R.Bock,A.Bohm,L.Boldizsar,B.Borgia,17;3D.Bourilkov, M.Bourquin,19 D.Boutigny,4 S.Braccini,19 J.G.Branson,39 V.Briglievic,41 U.Brock,44 A.Bumni,4 A.Buijs, J.D.Burger,15 W.J.Burger,33 J.Busenitz,43 X.D.Cai,15 M.Campanelli,48 M.Capell,15 G.Cara Romeo,9 G.Carlino,29 A.M.Cartacci,16 J.Casaus,26 G.Castellini,16 F.Cavallari,36 N.Cavallo,29 C.Cecchi,19 M.Cerrada,26 F.Cesaroni,23 M.Chamizo,26 Y.H.Chang,20 U.K.Chaturvedi,25 M.Chemarin,25 A.Chen,35 G.Chen,50 G.M.Chen,7 H.F.Chen,20 H.S.Chen,7 M.Chen,15 G.Chiefari,20 C.Y.Chien,5 L.Charelli,28 F.Cindolo,9 C.Civinini,2 I.Clare,15 R.Clare,15 R. G.Coignet,⁴ A.P.Colijn,² N.Colino,²⁶ S.Costantini,⁸ F.Cotorobai,¹³ B.de la Cruz,²⁶ A.Csilling,¹⁴ T.S.Dai,¹⁵ $R.D'Alexandro¹⁶, R.de Asmundis²⁹, A.Degré⁴, K.Deiters⁴⁶, P.Denes³⁵, F.DeNotaristefani³⁶, M.Diemoz³⁶,$ D.van Dierendonck,² F.Di Lodovico,⁴⁸ C.Dionisi,^{17,36} M.Dittmar,⁴⁸ A.Dominguez,³⁹ A.Doria,²⁹ M.T.Dova,^{18,#} E.Drago, D.Duchesneau,4 P.Duinker,1.Duran,5.Easo,4.El Mamouni,4.Engler,4.J.Eppling,4.C.Erne, P.Extermann, M.Fabre, R.Faccini, M.A.Falagan, S.Falciano, A.Favara, J.Fav, O.Fedin, M.Felcini, T.Ferguson,34 F.Ferroni,36 H.Fesefeldt,1 E.Fiandrini,33 J.H.Field,19 F.Filthaut,17 P.H.Fisher,15 I.Fisk,39 G.Forconi,15 L.Fredj,19 K.Freudenreich,48 C.Furetta,27 Yu.Galaktionov,28;15 S.N.Ganguli,10 P.Garcia-Abia,6 M.Gataullin,32 S.S.Gau,12 S.Gentile,36 J.Gerald,5 N.Gheordanescu,13 S.Giagu,36 S.Goldfarb,22 J.Goldstein,11 Z.F.Gong,20 A.Gougas,5 G.Gratta,32 M.W.Gruenewald,8 R.van Gulik,2 V.K.Gupta,35 A.Gurtu,10 L.J.Gutay,45 D.Haas,6 B.Hartmann,1 A.Hasan,"D.Hatzifotiadou, T.Hebbeker, A.Herve, P.Hidas, J.Hirschfelder, W.C.van Hoek, H.Hofer," H.Hoorani, S.R.Hou, G.Hu, Hashvili, B.N.Jin, L.W.Jones, P.de Jong, T.Josa-Mutuberria, A.Kasser, 2 R.A.Khan,18 D.Kamrad,47 J.S.Kapustinsky,24 Y.Karyotakis,4 M.Kaur,18;} M.N.Kienzle-Focacci,19 D.Kim,36 D.H.Kim,73 J.K.Kim,72 S.C.Kim,72 W.W.Kinnison,24 A.Kirkby,72 D.Kirkby,32 L.Kirkby,17 D.Kiss,14 W.Kittel,17 D.K A.Klimentov,1974, A.C.Konig,11 A.Kopp,47 I.Korolko,28 V.Koutsenko,197 R.W.Kraemer,1 W.Krenz,1 A.Kunin,1971,15; P.Lacentre,47;\;] P.Ladron de Guevara,26 G.Landi,16 C.Lapoint,15 K.Lassila-Perini,48 P.Laurikainen,21 A.Lavorato,38 M.Lebeau,17 A.Lebedev,15 P.Lebrun,25 P.Lecomte,48 P.Lecoq,17 P.Le Coultre,48 H.J.Lee,8 C.Leggett,3 J.M.Le Go,17 R.Leiste,47 E.Leonardi,36 P.Levtchenko,37 C.Li,20 C.H.Lin,20 W.T.Lin,20 F.L.Linde,2;17 L.Lista,20 Z.A.Liu, W.Lohmann,48.Longo,36 W.Lu,32 Y.S.Lu,48 K.Lubelsmeyer,1 C.Luci,17:36 D.Luckey,15 L.Luminari,15 L.Lu W.Lustermann,² W.G.Ma,² M.Maity,² G.Ma jumder,² L.Malgeri,17 A.Malinin,² C.Mana,21 D.Mangeol,31 P.Marchesini, G.Marian, "A.Marin, J.P.Martin, P.Marzano, G.G.G.G.Massaro, K.Mazumdar, S.Mele, L.Merola,29 M.Meschini,16 W.J.Metzger,31 M.von der Mey,1 Y.Mi,22 D.Migani,9 A.Mihul,13 A.J.W.van Mil,31 H.Milcent,17 G.Mirabelli,1.Mnich,17 P.Molnar,18 Monteleoni,16 R.Moore,1.Moulik,116 R.Mount,10 G.S.Muanza,25 G. F.Muheim,19 A.J.M.Muijs,2 S.Nahn,15 M.Napolitano,29 F.Nessi-Tedaldi,48 H.Newman,32 T.Niessen,1 A.Nippe,22 A.Nisati, H.Nowak, Y.D.Oh, G.Organtini, R.Ostonen, C.Palomares, D.Pandoulas, S.Paoletti, 27;17 ... P.Paolucci, H.K.Park, I.H.Park, G.Pascale, G.Passaleva, S.Patricelli, I.Paul, M.Pauluzzi, C.Paus, $F.Pauss₁⁴⁸ D.Peach₁⁷ M.Pedace₁³⁶ Y.J.Pei₁¹ S.Pensotti₁²⁷ D.Perret-Gallix₁⁴ B.Petersen₁³¹ S.Petrak₁⁸ A.Pevsner₁$ $D.Piccolo²⁹ M.Pier¹⁶ P.A.Pirou³⁵ E.Pistolesi²⁷ V.Plyaskin²⁸ M.Pohl⁴⁸ V.Pojidaev^{28,16} H.Postema¹⁵ J.Pothier¹⁷$ N.Produit,¹⁹ D.Prokofiev,³⁷ J.Quartieri,³⁸ G.Rahal-Callot,⁴⁸ N.Raja,¹⁰ P.G.Rancoita,²⁷ M.Rattaggi,²⁷ G.Raven,³⁶ P.Razis,³⁰D.Ren,⁴⁸ M.Rescigno,³⁶ S.Reucroft,¹² T.van Rhee,⁴⁴ S.Riemann,⁴⁷ K.Riles, P.Razis, D.Ren,48 M.Rescigno,45 Reucroft,12 T.van Rhee,45 S.Riemann,47 K.Riles, O.Rind,47 K.Robohm, J.Rodin,43 B.P.Roe,3 L.Romero,26 S.Rosier-Lees,4 Ph.Rosselet,22 S.Roth,1 J.A.Rubio,17 D.Ruschmeier,8 H.Rykaczewski,48 S.Sakar,46 J.Salicio,17 E.Sanchez,20 M.P.Sanders,20 M.E.Sarakinos,21 G.Sauvage,4 C.Schafer,4 V.Schegelsky,37 S.Schmidt-Kaerst,1 D.Schmitz,1 M.Schneegans,4 N.Scholz,48 H.Schopper,49 D.J.Schotanus,31 J.Schwenke,1 G.Schwering,1 C.Sciacca,29 D.Sciarrino,19 L.Servoli,33 S.Shevchenko,32 N.Shivarov,41 V.Shoutko,28 J.Shukla,24 E.Shumilov,28 A.Shvorob,32 T.Siedenburg,1 D.Son,42 V.Soulimov,29 B.Smith,15 P.Spillantini,16 M.Steuer,15 D.P.Stickland,35 H.Stone,35 B.Stoyanov,41 A.Straessner,1 K.Sudhakar,10 G.Sultanov,18 L.Z.Sun,20 G.F.Susinno,19 H.Suter,⁴ J.D.Swain,² X.W.Tang,² L.Tauscher, L.Taylor,² C.Timmermans, Samuel C.C.Ting,15 S.M.Ting,15 S.M.T S.C.Tonwar,10 J.Toth,14 C.Tully,35 K.L.Tung,7Y.Uchida,15 J.Ulbricht,48 E.Valente,36 G.Vesztergombi,14 I.Vetlitsky,28 G. Viertel, 5 Villa, M. Vivargent, 5 Vlachos, H. Vogel, H. Vogt, 1. Vorobiev, 27 A.A. Vorobyov, A. Vorvolakos, M.Wadhwa,6 W.Wallraff, J.C.Wang,15 X.L.Wang,12 Z.M.Wang,1 A.Weber,1 S.X.Wu,15 Nyynhoff,J.Xu,11 Z.Z.Xu,11 Z.Z. B.Z.Yang,20.G.Yang,1 H.J.Yang,7 M.Yang,7 J.B.Ye,20 S.C.Yen,31 M.You,34 An.Zante,37 U.Zalite,37 P.Zemp, Y.Zeng,1 Z.P.Zhang,20 B.Zhou,11 Y.Zhou,3 G.Y.Zhu,3 G.Y.Zhu,7 A.Zichichi,19;17;18 F.Ziegler,47 G.Zilizi.43;

- 1 I. Physikalisches Institut, RWTH, D-52056 Aachen, FRG[§]
- III. Physikalisches Institut, RWTH, D-52056 Aachen, FRG[§]
- National Institute for High Energy Physics, NIKHEF, and University of Amsterdam, NL-1009 DB Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA
- Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules, LAPP,IN2P3-CNRS, BP 110, F-74941 Annecy-le-Vieux CEDEX, France
- Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA
- Institute of Physics, University of Basel, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland
- 7 Institute of High Energy Physics, IHEP, 100039 Beijing, China^{\triangle}
- 8 Humboldt University, D-10099 Berlin, FRG³
- University of Bologna and INFN-Sezione di Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy
- Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay 400 005, India
- Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA
- Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115, USA
- Institute of Atomic Physics and University of Bucharest, R-76900 Bucharest, Romania
- 14 Central Research Institute for Physics of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-1525 Budapest 114, Hungary[†]
- Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
- INFN Sezione di Firenze and University of Florence, I-50125 Florence, Italy
- European Laboratory for Particle Physics, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland
- 18 World Laboratory, FBLJA Project, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland
- University of Geneva, CH-1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland
- 20 Chinese University of Science and Technology, USTC, Hefei, Anhui 230 029, China $^{\triangle}$
- SEFT, Research Institute for High Energy Physics, P.O. Box 9, SF-00014 Helsinki, Finland
- University of Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland
- INFN-Sezione di Lecce and Universita Degli Studi di Lecce, I-73100 Lecce, Italy
- Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87544, USA
- Institut de Physique Nucleaire de Lyon, IN2P3-CNRS,Universite Claude Bernard, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France
- 26 Centro de Investigaciones Energeticas, Medioambientales y Tecnologicas, CIEMAT, E-28040 Madrid, Spainb
- INFN-Sezione di Milano, I-20133 Milan, Italy
- Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, ITEP, Moscow, Russia
- INFN-Sezione di Napoli and University of Naples, I-80125 Naples, Italy
- Department of Natural Sciences, University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus
- University of Nijmegen and NIKHEF, NL-6525 ED Nijmegen, The Netherlands
- California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
- INFN-Sezione di Perugia and Universita Degli Studi di Perugia, I-06100 Perugia, Italy
- Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA
- Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA
- INFN-Sezione di Roma and University of Rome, \La Sapienza", I-00185 Rome, Italy
- Nuclear Physics Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia
- University and INFN, Salerno, I-84100 Salerno, Italy
- University of California, San Diego, CA 92093, USA
- Dept. de Fisica de Particulas Elementales, Univ. de Santiago, E-15706 Santiago de Compostela, Spain
- Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Central Lab. of Mechatronics and Instrumentation, BU-1113 Soa, Bulgaria
- Center for High Energy Physics, Adv. Inst. of Sciences and Technology, 305-701 Taejon, Republic of Korea
- University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL 35486, USA
- Utrecht University and NIKHEF, NL-3584 CB Utrecht, The Netherlands
- Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA
- Paul Scherrer Institut, PSI, CH-5232 Villigen, Switzerland
- DESY-Institut fur Hochenergiephysik, D-15738 Zeuthen, FRG
- Eidgenossische Technische Hochschule, ETH Zurich, CH-8093 Zurich, Switzerland
- University of Hamburg, D-22761 Hamburg, FRG
- National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan, China
- Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Taiwan, China
- § Supported by the German Bundesministerium für Bildung, Wissenschaft, Forschung und Technologie
- Supported by the Hungarian OTKA fund under contract numbers T019181, F023259 and T024011.
- { Also supported by the Hungarian OTKA fund under contract numbers T22238 and T026178.
- [Supported also by the Comision Interministerial de Ciencia y Technologia.
-] Also supported by CONICET and Universidad Nacional de La Plata, CC 67, 1900 La Plata, Argentina.
- \ Supported by Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst.
- \diamondsuit Also supported by Panjab University, Chandigarh-160014, India.
- \triangle Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

year	\sqrt{s} (GeV)	\mathcal{L} (nb ⁻¹)	$N_{\rm sel}~(10^3)$
	88.48	739.3	3.1
	89.47	766.1	6.1
	90.23	748.4	10.6
1991	91.24	7258	172.5
	91.97	648.1	12.5
	92.97	731.0	8.2
	93.72	750.1	5.8
1992	91.29	20473	480.1
	89.44	8538	67.6
1993	91.24	15172	363.8
	93.03	8806	96.8
1994	91.22	44550	967.8
	89.45	7391	53.4
1995	91.31	10101	234.9
	92.99	8275	89.4

Table 1: Centre-of-mass energy, \sqrt{s} , integrated luminosity, \mathcal{L} , and number of selected events, Nsel , for the 15 data periods. The 15 data periods is the 15 data period of the 15 data periods. The 15 data p

D_{tag} range	1994	1995	ε _{usd}	$\varepsilon_{\rm c}$	$\varepsilon_{\rm b}$
	$A_{\text{fb}}^{\text{raw}}(\%)$	$A_{\text{fb}}^{\text{raw}}(\%)$			
< 0.06	-2.70 ± 0.79	-1.33 ± 1.53	0.245	0.134	0.0328
$0.06 - 0.18$	-3.47 ± 0.86	-3.68 ± 1.70	0.201	0.132	0.0364
$0.18 - 0.30$	-3.48 ± 0.96	-1.32 ± 1.95	0.147	0.114	0.0379
$0.30 - 0.54$	-1.64 ± 0.80	0.62 ± 1.64	0.183	0.180	0.0777
$0.54 - 0.78$	-3.26 ± 1.00	0.35 ± 2.05	0.0985	0.129	0.0772
$0.78 - 1.26$	-1.27 ± 0.93	1.14 ± 1.95	0.0833	0.158	0.148
$1.26 - 2.20$	-3.50 ± 1.00	-1.57 ± 2.03	0.0347	0.117	0.240
>2.20	-7.17 ± 1.10	-6.71 ± 2.14	0.0047	0.0349	0.348

Table 2: Measured asymmetries, $A_{\rm fb}^{\ldots}$, and fraction of $Z \to qq$ decays, ε_q , in 8 bins of the tagging discriminant for the 1994 and 1995 peak data.

Source	Contribution to $\Delta A_{\text{fb}}^{\text{raw}}(\%)$				
	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
$P_{\rm S}$ statistical error	0.06	0.026	0.033	0.012	0.012
ρ uncertainty	0.008	0.009	0.008	0.007	0.004
Acceptance cut	0.15	0.057	0.012	0.012	0.028
Tracking performance	0.34	0.072	0.20	0.081	0.13
Event selection	0.10	0.076	0.082	0.064	0.030
Vertex uncertainties	0.008	0.008	0.008		
Total	0.40	0.12	0.22	010	0.14

Table 5: Details of the experimental systematic errors on the raw asymmetry $A_{\rm fb}^{\rm sc}$.

Parameter	$\Delta A_{\rm fb}^{\rm b}$ (%)	$\Delta \sin^2 \overline{\theta}_W$ (×10 ⁴)	
$\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$	0.07	1.8	
$M_{\rm min}$	0.08	1.8	
Baryon production	0.17	4.0	
Fragmentation: general	0.19	4.9	
Fragmentation: light flavours	0.21	1.6	
$\langle x_E \rangle_c$	0.08	4.0	
$\langle x_E \rangle$	0.19	5.1	
B oscillations	0.12	0.1	
Total	0.43	9.5	

Table 4: Effect of the uncertainties on parameters of the hadronisation process on A_{fb} (for $\sqrt{s} = 91.24$ GeV) and $\sin^2 \overline{\theta}_{\text{W}}$.

	σ_q			$V_{qq'}$		
q/q'		u	$\mathbf d$	S	\mathbf{c}	b
$\mathbf u$	0.062	1.00	0.15 0.14 0.42			0.44
$\rm d$	0.059		1.00		0.49 0.15	0.25
S	0.046			$1.00\,$	-0.03	0.20
\mathbf{c}	0.060				1.00	0.56
b	0.045					1.00

Table 5: Errors, σ_q , assigned to the flavour biases ξ_q and their correlations, $V_{qq'}$.

Quantity	Value	Derivative $(\%)$
$A_{\rm c}$	0.0681	16.8
$A_{d,s}$	0.0940	-4.5
$A_{\rm u}$	0.0602	4.7
$R_{\rm b}$	0.2170	-42.6
$R_{\rm c}$	0.1733	6.3

Table 6: Input parameters assumed for the measurement of the b-quark forward-backward asymmetry. For each quantity $x,$ the partial derivative $\mathcal{O} A_{\text{fb}}^z/\mathcal{O} x$ is also given.

 Γ and Γ and Γ and Γ and Γ and Γ their sum is compared to Γ sum is compared to Γ the sum of the $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{B}}$ distributions for 1994 data. In the 1994 data.

Figure 2: Probability of a correct charge assignment versus $|cos\theta_t|$. The solid and open points represent the calculated PS values for 1993 data and Monte Carlo, respectively; the histogram represents the fraction of a correct charge assignment, P_{gen} , as evaluated using the Monte Carlo generator information.

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{(1)} & \text{($ MC. The contribution of the events with b quarks is indicated by the hatched histogram. (b) eciencies (") for the different function of the Dtag cut value based on \log_2 cut value based on Monte Carlos Carlos calculations. The index l denotes the light flavours. For b quarks also the efficiency obtained from data is given, as well as the purity (f_b) obtained from Monte Carlo.

f igure 4: $A_{\rm fb}^*$ measurements as a function of centre-of-mass energy. The points represent the measurements made on 1994 and 1995 data; the error bars indicate the statistical uncertainties only. The line represents the Standard Model prediction for $\text{sin}^2 \theta_\text{W} = 0.2315.$