

 $Nck$   $P$   $35-17$  $5049406$ 

**KEK Preprint 93-147<br>AMY 93-3<br>November 1993**<br>H

#### Studies of e+e- Annihilation Multihadron Events Including Muons at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 57.8 GeV

The AMY Collaboration



Submitted to Phys. Rev. D.



#### National Laboratory for High Energy Physics, 1993

 $\sim$ 

KEK Reports are available from:

JAPAN Ibaraki-ken, 305 I-1 Oho, Tsukuba-shi National Laboratory for High Energy Physics Technical Information & Library



## The AMY Collaboration

B. J. Kim ", T. Kumita ", Y. K. Li ", C. Velisarris ", R. C. Walker ", S. Kobayashi ", F. Liu °, L. M. Chinitz °49, K. Abe °, R. E. Breedon °9, Y. Fujii °, Y. Kurihara °, A. Maki °, T. Nozaki <sup>a</sup>, T. Omori <sup>a</sup>, H. Sagawa <sup>e</sup>, Y. Sakai <sup>e</sup>, T. Sasaki <sup>a</sup>, Y. Sugimoto <sup>e</sup>, Y. Takaiwa <sup>e</sup>, S. Terada ", P. Kirk ", C. P. Cheng ", W. X. Gao ", W. G. Yan ", M. H. Ye ", A. Abashian ", K. Gotow<sup>d</sup>, M. E. Mattson <sup>d</sup>, L. Piilonen <sup>d</sup>, K. L. Sterner <sup>d</sup>, S. Lusin <sup>e</sup>, C. Rosenfeld <sup>e</sup>, S. Wilson ", L. Y. Zheng ", C. A. Fry ', R. Tanaka ', Winston Ko ', R. L. Lander ', J. Rowe ', J. R. Smith 9, D. Stuart 9, S. Kanda h, S. L. Olsen h, K. Ueno h, F. Kajino i, R. Poling i, T. Thomas <sup>2</sup>, T. Aso k, K. Miyano k, H. Miyata k, K. Okubo k, M. Oyoshi k, M. Shirai k, Y. Yamashita ', M. H. Lee ", F. Sannes ", S. Schnetzer ", R. Stone ", J. Vinson ", A. Bodek ", A. Murakami °, S. K. Sahu °, M. E. Zomorrodian °, Y. S. Chung ", D. K. Cho ", J. S. Kang ", D. Y. Kim  $P$ , K. B. Lee  $P$ , K. W. Park  $P$ , S. K. Kim  $9$ , S. S. Myung  $9$ , S. K. Choi  $7$ , D. Son  $7$ , Ebara <sup>2</sup>, S. Matsumoto <sup>2</sup>, N. Takashimizu <sup>2</sup>, and T. Ishizuka <sup>1</sup>

<sup>4</sup> Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA · KEK, National Laboratory for High Energy Physics, Ibaraki 305, Japan r Kyungpook National University, Taegu 702-701, South Korea b Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA <sup>c</sup> Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing 100039, China e University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA <sup>5</sup> University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA 9 Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, South Korea n University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627, USA " Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA 9 University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA <sup>h</sup> University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA <sup>p</sup> Korea University, Seoul 136-701, South Korea 1 SSC Laboratory, Dallas, TX 75237, USA k Niigata University, Niigata 950-21, Japan <sup>1</sup> Nihon Dental College, Niigata 951, Japan <sup>1</sup> Saitama University, Urawa 338, Japan <sup>i</sup> Konan University, Kobe 658, Japan ° Saga University, Saga 840, Japan <sup>3</sup> Chuo University, Tokyo 112, Japan

#### Abstract

he  $e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$  process, and the heavy quark fragmentation function parameters for the Peterson model. For the analysis of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$  process, we use a method in which the behavior of the c quark and lighter quarks is assumed, with only that of the b quark left indeterminate. The cross section and asymmetry for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$  are found to be  $R_b = 0.57 \pm 0.06(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.08(\text{sys.})$  and  $A_b = -0.59 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.09$  respectively. They are consistent with the Standard Model predictions. For the study of the fragmentation function we use the variable  $\langle x_E \rangle$ , the fraction of the beam energy carried by the heavy of 57.8 GeV, we extract the cross section and forward-backward charge asymmetry for hadrons. We obtain  $(x_E)_{c} = 0.56^{+0.04}_{-0.05-0.03}$  and  $(x_E)_{b} = 0.65^{+0.04}_{-0.04-0.05}$ , respectively. These Using 773 muons found in hadronic events from 142 pb<sup>-1</sup> of data at a c.m. energy are in good agreement with previously measured values.

## 1 Introduction

quark pairs is strongly suppressed in jet evolution, such muons emerge mainly from In eter annihilation multihadron events, the presence of muons is a good marker for the production of heavy quarks. Moreover, since secondary production of heavy the original annihilation. The electroweak sector of the Standard Model classifies quarks and leptons into to verify that the properties of the b quark are consistent with the interpretation of the b quark as a  $T_3 = -1/2$  member of a  $(t b)$ <sub>L</sub> weak isospin doublet. The presence of This relation must be investigated experimentally. Any deviation from the combined prediction of electroweak theory and the  $B^0\bar{B}^0$  mixing can be an important signal of a metry for the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow f\bar{f}$  (where f is either a quark or a lepton). While these ments of quark-pair production suffer from the experimental difficulty of identifying the quark species. In addition, we still are at a stage where the validity of the electroweak intental evidence for the t quark, it remains an important test of the Standard Model  $B^0\bar{B}^0$  mixing reduces the observable asymmetry from the prediction of only Born term. eft-handed doublets and right-handed singlets in the weak isospin representation, and makes absolute predictions for the cross section and forward-backward charge asympredictions have been tested with good precision in lepton-pair production, measuretheory cannot be established in the quark sector alone. In the absence of direct expernew phenomenon

fraction of the original quark's energy. Even though this 'hard fragmentation' of the gluon radiation which make it impossible to determine directly the energies of heavy quarks. Further measurements are useful in this investigation. The data reported here are based on a total integrated luminosity of 142pb<sup>-1</sup>. Results from earlier data have annihilation are in the domain of non-perturbative QCD and are still far from being treated in a rigorous framework. This is especially true for the case of the lighter quarks. On the other hand, it is well-established experimentally that hadrons formed from heavy quarks after they are pair-produced in an e<sup>+e-</sup> annihilation carry a large heavy quarks is in good qualitative agreement with QCD-inspired models, a fundamental difference exists between experimentally observable quantities and theoretically tion processes, the observed multiliadron events contain initial state radiation and hard meaningful quantities. While theoretical models treat only the primordial fragmenta-The details of how the quarks turn into hadrons after being pair-produced in e<sup>+e-</sup> been presented previously [1].

TRISTAN using multihadron events including muons. We present a measurement of This paper discusses the studies of heavy quarks done at the AMY detector at the cross section and asymmetry for the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ , and a measurement of the fragmentation functions for b and c quarks.

## $e^+e^- \rightarrow b\overline{b}$  Cross Section and Asymmetry  $\sim$

The differential cross section for  $e^+e^-\to b\bar b$  is given as

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta} = \frac{\pi\alpha'}{2s} [3(\frac{1}{2}\beta_0(3-\beta_0^2)R_b^V'(1+C_b^Vc_b^V)
$$
  

$$
+\beta_0^3 R_b^{\lambda 1}(1+C_b^{\lambda 2}c_b)](1+\cos^2\theta) + \frac{8}{3}\beta_0^2 R_b^V^{\lambda}(1+C_b^Vc_b^{\lambda}c_b^Vc_b^{\lambda})
$$
 (1)  
is the line structure constant; *s* is the square of the c.m. energy; *Q<sub>b</sub>* is the

Le charge of the  $b$  quark;  $\beta_b$  is the velocity of  $b$  quark; the  $Rs$  are cross sections in units of  $\sigma(e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-)$  for  $VV$ , AA and  $V$ A couplings; and  $\chi$  is the contribution from the where  $\alpha$  is the line structure Z<sup>o</sup> peak. They are given by

$$
R_b^{VV} = Q_b^2 - 8Q_b v_x v_b Re(\chi) + 16(v_c^2 + a_c^2)v_b^2 |\chi|^2
$$
  
\n
$$
R_b^{A,4} = 16(v_c^2 + a_c^2)a_b^2 |\chi|^2
$$
  
\n
$$
R_b^{V,4} = 3(-6Q_b a_e a_b Re(\chi) + 48v_e v_b a_e a_b |\chi|^2)
$$
  
\n(4)

$$
= 3(-6Q_b a_e a_b Re(\chi) + 48v_e v_b a_e a_b |\chi|^2)
$$

 $-3-$ 

$$
X = \frac{1}{16\sin^2\theta_W \cos^2\theta_W} \left(s - \frac{s}{M_Z^2 + i1zM_Z}\right)
$$
\nThe QCD corrections are expanded in terms of  $\alpha_s/\pi$  as

$$
C_{QCD}^{VV(AA)} = C_1^{VV(AA)} \left[ \frac{c_2}{\pi} \right] + C_2^{VV(AA)} \left[ \frac{c_2}{\pi} \right]^2
$$
\n(6)

$$
\sim
$$
  $QCD$   $\sim$   $1$   $+$   $^{\prime\prime}$   $^{\prime\prime}$   $^{\prime\prime}$   $^{\prime\prime}$   $^{\prime\prime}$   $^{\prime\prime}$   $\sim$   $^{\prime\prime}$   $\sim$   $^{\prime\prime}$   $\sim$   $^{\prime\prime}$   $\sim$   $\sim$ 

for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$  is at most 1.5% and can safely be ignored. Thus, the coefficients are At TRIST given by

$$
C_1^{VV(AA)} = 1.0
$$
  
\n
$$
C_1^{VV(AA)} = 1.0
$$
  
\n
$$
-1.086
$$
 0.115M. - 1.41

 $\widehat{\infty}$ 

$$
C_2^{VV(AA)} = 1.986 - 0.115N_f = 1.41
$$
\n(9)  
\n
$$
C_1^{VA} = 0.
$$
\n(10)

contribution, so that the results can be directly compared with the pure electroweak In our analysis, we correct the resulting R ratio and the asymmetry for the QCD predictions. They are given by

$$
a_{b} = 3[R_{b}^{VV} + R_{b}^{AA}] = 3[Q_{b}^{2} - 8Q_{b}v_{c}v_{b}Re(\chi) + 16(v_{c}^{2} + a_{c}^{2})(v_{b}^{2} + a_{b}^{2})] \times [1]
$$
  
\n
$$
b_{b} = R_{b}^{X}A/B_{b}.
$$
 (12)

With these definitions of  $R_b$  and  $A_b$ , the differential cross section

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta} = \frac{\pi\alpha^2}{2s} R_b[1 + \cos^2\theta + \frac{8}{3}A_b \cos\theta] \tag{13}
$$

is for the pure electroweak process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ . As can be seen in Equations 4 and 12, the asymmetry  $A_b$  at TRISTAN energies, where the  $Re(\chi)$  term dominates, strongly depends on a<sub>b</sub>, which in the Standard Model is simply T<sub>3L</sub>. However, at the LEP energy,  $\mid \chi \mid^2$  dominates and the asymmetry is a measure of  $v_5a_6$  and is sensitive to  $sin^2\theta_W$ .

## c and b quark Fragmentation Functions …<br>က

Heavy quark systems are the simplest systems in which to study the hadronization mechanism because the production of heavy quark pairs during jet formation is strongly

suppressed so they mainly originate directly from the annihilation. This constrains the kinematics of the fragmentation processes. In the fragmentation of a heavy quark  $Q$ 

$$
Q \rightarrow (Q\bar{q}) + q,
$$
 (14)

the distribution of the fraction of the parent quark's energy that is transferred to the primary hadron ( $Q\bar{q}$ ) is parametrized by a fragmentation function  $f_Q(z)$ , where z is the energy fraction defined as

$$
z = \frac{(E + p_{\parallel})_{\text{hadron}}}{(E + p_{\parallel})_Q}.
$$
 (1)

 $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}$ 

Fragmentation functions are expected to scale with energy and depend only on the quark flavor.

One of the most commonly used fragmentation function is that of Peterson et al.,  $[2]$ 

$$
f_Q(z) \propto \frac{1}{z[1-1/z - \epsilon_Q/(1-z)]^2},
$$
 (16)

naively, one expects a relation  $\epsilon_b/\epsilon_c \approx 1/10$  to hold. However this is only valid provided the value of z can be determined for each event. Since this is not possible, we have instead used the variable  $x_E = E_{\text{hadron}}/E_{\text{beam}}$  in this analysis. The values of  $x_E$  and z Furthermore, our use of the Parton Shower event generator instead of the Matrix Element method in LUND 7.3 makes an interpretation of the e's in terms of QCD not straightforward. In spite of this disadvantage, we used the Parton Shower event generator because a better agreement between the data and the Monte Carlo can be where  $\epsilon_Q$  is a free parameter to be determined experimentally for each heavy quark. This parameter is expected to scale among heavy flavors like  $\epsilon_{Q} \sim 1/M_Q^2$ . Thus, can differ significantly for events with initial state radiation or hard gluon radiation. achieved for various event-shape distributions of multihadron events when the Parton Shower event generator rather than the Matrix Element method is used.

## 4 AMY Detector

AMY is a compact cylindrical detector based on a 3-Tesla solenoid superconducting magnet and is optimized for lepton identification. Figure 1 shows a cross-sectional view of the detector. Charged particles are detected by a vertex chamber (VTX) and an inner tracking chamber (ITC), both made of straw tubes, and a cylindrical drift

cylindrical shower counter (SHC) over the angular range of  $| \cos \theta | < 0.75$  with an energy resolution of  $\sigma_E/E = 23\% / \sqrt{E(\text{GeV}) + 6\%}$ . The SHC is located between (14.5/sin0 radiation lengths; 0.46 nuclear absorption lengths.) Surrounding the coil is an iron flux return yoke, which together with the coil and SHC constitutes a 1.65 m iron-equivalent hadron filter (9.8 nuclear absorption lengths.) Outside the iron is the thamber (CDC) over the polar angle range  $|\cos\theta| < 0.87$  with a momentum resolution of  $\Delta p_t/p_t \sim 0.7\% \times p_t({\rm GeV}/c)$  [4]. Neutral particles are detected by a finely-segmented he CDC and the superconducting magnet coil and contains a total of 8 cm of lead muon detector system (MUO), details of which are described in the next section.

covers from  $\theta = 12^{\circ}$  to 25°. Besides the ESC, another small angle counter (SAC) is ocated in the extremely forward direction, covering from 2° to 3°, mainly for tagging Calorimeters mounted on the magnet pole tips in both end-cap regions (ESC) measure forward Bhabha events which are used to determine the luminosity. The ESC wo-photon processes.

### Muon Detection System n<br>C

The muon detector is composed of six sextants, covering all of  $2\pi$  in  $\phi$  and spanning and thus provide z-tracking information, while the outer two layers, 3 and 4, have  $\cos \theta$  from about  $-0.74$  to  $+0.74$ . Each sextant contains a muon tracking chamber and an array of scintillation counters. Tracking is performed by four layers of drift chambers. The inner two layers, 1 and 2, have wires running along the  $\hat{\phi}$  direction, wires running in the  $\hat{z}$  direction, and thus provide  $\phi$ -hit information. The scintillation counters are located on the extreme outside of the detector (away from the beam) and provide time of flight information for the muon hits. The sextants are 6.5 meters long, with widths of 2.8 meters (sextants 4 and 6), 3.6 meters (sextants 1 and 3) and 4.1 meters (sextants 2 and 5). The drift chambers are made of extruded aluminum tube modules. A module contains eight cells, each 10 cm wide and 5 cm high, arranged in two layers of four by half a cell width (5 cm) to allow for the resolution of left/right ambiguity and also cells each as shown in Figure 2. The cells of layer 1 and 2, and layer 3 and 4, are offset to improve efficiency. Layers 1 and 2 have 64 cells each, while for layers 3 and 4, the number of cells in a sextant vary from 28 to 40.

and the tube walls are at ground. P10 gas (90% Ar, 10% CH4) is used, and is kept above atmospheric pressure by 4 to 5 cm of water. The average drift velocity under The 100 µm diameter gold-plated tungsten anode wires are maintained at 3.1 kV,

these conditions is about 40 mm/usec. The position resolution obtained by this device is about 1 mm.

which completely cover the drift chambers and provide the same angular acceptance. The time of flight information which the muon counters provide is effective in removing the cosmic ray background from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  selection. However, for inclusive muon events, the cosmic ray background is negligible, and we did not use the counters in this There are a total of 158 scintillation counters of various sizes, slightly overlapping, analysis We studied the efficiency of the muon drift chambers by collecting a large number of clean cosmic ray tracks. We determined that for  $|\cos \theta| < 0.74$ , the overall tracking efficiency (averaged over the sextants), is never less than 99.5%, and is usually  $99.7\% - 99.8\%$ 

# 6 Analysis of Inclusive Muon Events

from either c or b quarks. The charge of each muon reflects the sign of the parent quark The presence of prompt micons within hadronic jets indicates that the jets originated charge. In selecting inclusive muon events, we first imposed the following standard AMY hadronic event criteria.

- 1. Five or more charged tracks with  $\vert \cos \theta \vert \leq 0.85$  originating from points within  $r=5$  cm and  $\mid z\mid=15$  cm of the interaction point.
- 2. Total visible energy  $(E_{vis})$  more than half of the total c.m. energy.
- 3. Momentum imbalance along the beam direction with a magnitude less than  $0.4E_{vis}$ .
- 4. More than 5 GeV deposited in the SHC.
- Then additional requirements were imposed for the presence of the muon.
- 1. At least one muon hit is present. A muon hit requires a track in the muon drift chamber consisting of at least three out of a possible total of four layers
- The distance between a muon hit and the extrapolated position of one of the CDC tracks (matching distance) is less than 100 cm.  $\mathbf{a}$
- 3. Momentum of the muon track is greater than 1.9 GeV/c and  $|\cos\theta| \leq 0.74$ .

the debris reaches the muon chamber (punchthroughs), or from the decay of  $\pi^{\pm}$  and This requirement reduced the inclusive muon sample to 773 events. Figure 3 shows the A total of 1254 events passed the above requirements. Backgrounds to the muon signal (hadron fakes) arise principally from hadron showers in the hadron filter, where  $K^{\pm}$  mesons, before they are absorbed in the hadron filter, to muons that reach the muon chamber (decay). In order to remove these backgrounds we further require that the matching distance be less than 20 of that allowed by multiple coulomb scattering. muon monnentum versus matching distance up to 100 cm for the data sample. The 20 cut is indicated as the solid line curve in this figure. We used Monte Carlo events to determine the CDC reconstruction efficiency for a track within a hadronic jet to be 95%. According to a study of true muons (from the  $e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-$  data sample and those in the prompt muon fraction originating from the c are reconstructed by the CDC and the muon chamber system satisfy the 20 matching and b flavored hadrons in a Monte Carlo simulation), about 88% of the true muons that distance cut. The overall detection efficiency for muons with momenta above 3 GeV/c in the angular region of  $|\cos\theta\>|\!\leq\!0.74$  is  $83\%$ 

# $7 e^+e^- \rightarrow b\overline{b}$  Asymmetry Analysis

While such a procedure may not be strictly valid, we are justified in applying it to kinematics of the b quark and not on the dynamics of the  $b\bar{b}$  pair production. The the data. Estimation of the fraction of hadron fakes coming from b flavored hadrons the present case because the fraction of hadron fakes coming from b flavored hadrons Carlo is also used for estimating the ratio of muons from b quark cascade decays to those from direct decays. This is justified because this ratio depends only on the decay dominant cascade decay produces muons with charge opposite to those produced by we assume that the yield and asymmetry of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow c\bar{c}$  is correctly described by the Standard Model. We estimate the contributions from the  $c\bar{c}$  production and the hadron fake background by using a Monte Carlo simulation, where five flavors are generated according to the Standard Model using the LUND 7.3 Parton Shower event generator [5]. As the inputs to the generator, we used the default values. We obtained the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$  sample by subtracting the simulated  $c\bar{c}$  signal and hadron fakes from requires prior knowledge of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ , which is what we are trying to determine. The Monte ιŚ, For the determination of the forward-backward charge asymmetry for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ is only  $\sim$  1/10 of those originating from u,d,s and c flavored hadrons.

direct decay, and thus contributes oppositely to the asymmetry. We use our estimated ratio of the cascade decay to the direct decay to correct for this effect.

data. The muons from  $b\bar{b}$  extend toward higher  $p_T$  values compared with those from  $c\bar{c}$ as the transverse component of its momentum with respect to the thrust axis. The by distribution of the muons that passed the 20 matching distance cut is shown in Figure 4, together with the estimated contributions of  $c\bar{c}$  and hadron fakes, and the  $b\overline{b}$  contribution obtained by subtracting the  $c\overline{c}$  estimation and hadron fakes from the and hadron fakes. This is expected because the muons from the semileptonic decays of **b** flavored hadrons tend to have larger pr values, reflecting the fact that these hadrons are heavier than those of other flavors. A Monte Carlo study indicates that we can We define the  $\theta_{\rm th}$  angle of the event as the direction of the thrust axis associated with the  $\mu^-$  with respect to the incoming  $e^-$  direction. The  $p_T$  of the muon is defined maximize the  $b$  quark fraction by applying a cut of  $pr$  greater than 0.7 GeV/c.

Figure 5 shows the cosO<sub>th</sub> distributions for the data, the calculations for ce and hadron fakes, and the  $b\overline{b}$  signal which was obtained by subtracting the ce and hadron fakes from the data, for  $p_T$  (a) above and (b) below  $0.7~{\rm GeV/c}.$ 

ce contribution is not negligible and was included in our estimate of the systematic uncertainty. The estimated hadron fakes amount to about 25% of the data sample Even though our punchthrough calculation, which is based on the GHEISHA program [6], agrees well with available experimental data for pions, data for kaons are not available in our energy range. We estimate that about half of the punchthroughs are induced by K+ mesons, mainly because of its smaller absorption cross section in the iron. This is a main source of systematic uncertainty in this analysis. Both the ce The  $c\overline{c}$  and hadron fake contributions were calculated using a set of Monte Carlo data about five times larger than the real data. Yet statistical fluctuation of the after the 20 cut, of which about 60% are punchtliroughs and about 40% are decays. contribution and the hadron fake background in Figure 5 are shown as smooth curves. However the actual subtractions were performed using un-smoothed numbers.

and fit to Equation 13. We applied the latter method in this study. The conversion We can obtain the cross section  $R_b$  and asymmetry<br>  $A_b$  of  $e^+e^-\to b\bar b$  from observed  $dN/dcos\theta_{\rm th}$  in the region  $p_T \geq 0.7$  GeV/c by two methods. One method is to perform a  $\chi^2$  fit on the  $dN/dcos\theta_{\rm th}$  with  $R_b$  and  $A_b$  in the Monte Carlo generator as free parameters. The other method is to convert the observed  $dN/dcos\theta_{\rm th}$  into the  $d\sigma/d\Omega$ is done by first correcting for, i) the effect of different  $\theta$  definitions, ii) the efficiency for detecting the b quark by requiring a muon, iii) the effect of the cascade decays,

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow b\overline{b}$  angular distribution, where  $\theta$  is defined as the angle between the outgoing the correction factor from the relation between the input asymmetries in the Monte It should be noted that the output asymmetries used here have significance only as a parameter for indicating how the input asymmetries affect the dN/dcos0<sub>th</sub>. A fit to We obtained a 0-dependent correction factor by dividing a Monte Carlo generated b quark and the incoming  $e^-$  direction, by a Monte Carlo distribution equivalent to The distribution in the numerator was generated with only the Born term except the input asymmetry was varied, and with initial state radiation turned off. The distribution in the denominator was obtained from a detector simulation that alkes into account full electroweak processes and QCD effects using the same input asymmetry as used in the numerator. The correction factor has a  $\theta$  dependence that depends on an input asymmetry. We determined the input asymmetry for calculating Carlo generator and the resulting output asymmetries in the  $dN/dcos\theta_{\rm th}$  distribution. the observed  $dN/dcos\theta_{\rm th}$  gave an output asymmetry of  $-0.32$ . This corresponds to an input asymmetry of -0.52. The correction factor obtained using this asymmetry value (v) the muon detection efficiency of 83%, and then normalizing to the luminosity. s shown in Figure 6.  $dN/dcos\theta_{\rm th}$ .

riplying dN/dcos0<sub>th</sub> by the correction factor bin-by-bin, is shown in Figure 7. Also plotted in the figure is the result of a fit to Equation 13 in the region of  $|cos\theta_{th}| \leq 0.7$ , and the Standard Model prediction that includes the effect of B<sup>o</sup>B<sup>o</sup> mixing. The The resulting differential cross section for  $e^+e^-\rightarrow b\bar{b}$ , which was obtained by mulparameters obtained in the fit are  $A_b = -0.59 \pm 0.09$  and  $R_b = 0.57 \pm 0.06$ . The systematic errors in this analysis arise from uncertainties of the amount of hadron fake background and  $c\overline{c}$  events which must be subtracted, from the  $p_T$  cut dependence, and from the uncertainty in determining the correction factor. In order to the analysis changing the luminosity by it uncertainty of ±1.5%, the hadron fake punchthroughs), and the ce contribution by la of the Monte Carlo statistics. The effect of the pr cut was studied by varying the pr cut values from 0.5 GeV/c to 1.0 GeV/c. We recalculated the correction factor by varying the measured asymmetry value by o estimate the systematic error due to the correction factor. The estimated systematic estimate the contribution due to hadron fake background and  $c\bar{c}$  events, we repeated contribution by  $\pm 30\%$  (corresponding to the fraction contributed by the K<sup>+</sup>-induced ±1 $\sigma$ , and using them as the Monte Carlo inputs, calculated the  $d\sigma/d\Omega$ . This was used grors are summarized in Table 1.

Our final results measured at a c.m. energy of  $\sqrt{s}$ =57.8 GeV are  $A_b$  = -0.59  $\pm$ 

the dynamics of the fragmentation. We used JETSET 7.3 in this determination. We also checked the result by using both LUND 6.2 Matrix Element and LUND 6.3 Parton Shower Models, and found that these probabilities do not depend on which Monte for  $\epsilon_c = 0.21^{+1.3}_{-0.07}$  and  $\epsilon_b = 0.08^{+0.6}_{-0.04}$ . These correspond to the average values of  $x_E$ which is quite different from the naive prediction of 0.1 for the primordial fragmentation ( $p < 4$  GeV/c and  $p_T < 0.5$  GeV/c) where the background is the largest and the muon detection efficiency is near the threshold. The effect of the first bin was estimated by repeating the analysis with the first bin eliminated. Estimated systematic errors are listed in Table 2. Including the systematic errors, the results we obtained are,  $\epsilon_c = 0.21^{+134}_{-0.07}$  and  $\epsilon_b = 0.08^{+0.05}_{-0.01}$ , where the first error is statistical and the second ystematic errors were added in quadrature [9]. The bottom quark result is a recent will yield muons in  $(p, pr)$  space are given by  $P_Q(x_E, p, pr)$ . In the case of cascade cascade decays and yield muons in  $(p, p_T)$ . These probabilities, including the efficiency of detecting the muons, were estimated from a Monte Carlo calculation with a flat fragmentation function. They represent only the kinematic effects of the decays, not Carlo is used. Physics effects are added by a weighting factor,  $W_Q(x_E)$ , which is an The parameters  $\epsilon_c$  and  $\epsilon_b$  of the Peterson fragmentation function were varied to obtain the best  $\chi^2$  fit in the comparisons of the observed  $(p, pr)$  distribution with the expected ones. The result of the fit is shown in Figure 8. The best  $\chi^2$  was obtained of  $(x_E)_c = 0.56^{+.08}_{-.08}$  and  $(x_E)_b = 0.65^{+.08}_{-.04}$ . The ratio  $\epsilon_b/\epsilon_c$  in this analysis gives  $\approx 0.4$ fake background; 2) statistical fluctuations of  $b\bar{b}$  and  $c\bar{c}$  events in the Monte Carlo; 3) incertainties in the average semi-leptonic branching ratios; 4) the effect of the first bin is the systematic. These epsilon values correspond to average values of the scaling JADE, MARIK II and ARGUS results, where for each experiment the statistical and Probabilities that heavy hadrons carrying the fractions xE of the beam energy decay,  $P_{bc}(x_E, p, pr)$  gives the probability that beauty hadrons carrying  $x_E$  go through functions. Use of the scaling variable  $x_E$  rather than z in this analysis makes any QCDbased interpretation of  $\epsilon$  parameters meaningless. Instead the results presented here As sources of systematic errors, we considered 1) a  $\pm 30\%$  uncertainty in the hadron We also carried out an alternative analysis of the fragmentation functions. Be-0.60%, respectively. The charm result is an average of CELLO, MARK J, TASSO, are experimentally straightforward with minimum theoretical biases. integration of the Peterson fragmentation functions for each  $x_E$  bin. variable  $x_E$  of  $(x_E)_{c} = 0.56\pm\frac{0.4}{0.05\pm}$  and  $(x_E)_{b} = 0.65\pm\frac{0.64\pm0.65}{0.65\pm}$ measurement by CLEO [10]. 0.09  $\pm$  0.09 and  $R_b = 0.57 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.08$ , where the first and the second errors are  $(17)$ Model predicts the observed asymmetry to be  $A_b = -0.43$ . Thus our new result is The two-dimensional momentum spectrum  $(p, pr)$  of the prompt muons depends on the ratio of  $b\overline{b}$  and  $c\overline{c}$  events and on the momentum distribution that their parent heavy hadrons have before they decay semi-leptonically. Thus, we compare the (p, pr) spectrum of the data with that of Monte Carlo events generated with varying parameters of the Peterson fragmentation functions. We used three p bins ( $\leq 4$ ,  $4 \sim 6$ ,  $(18)$ Here N<sub>BG</sub> are the numbers of background events in each bin, and were estimated from the Monte Carlo calculation. The first term in the brackets describes prompt muons from  $c\overline{c}$  events, the next describes muons from  $b\overline{b}$  events, and the last describes muons from  $b \rightarrow c \rightarrow \mu$  cascade decay.  $N_{\bar{c}}$  and  $N_{b\bar{b}}$  are the expected number of  $c\bar{c}$ and bb hadronic events in the data. The factors of 2 indicate that the prompt muons can come from either Q or  $\overline{Q}$  decay.  $B_{R_k}$  and  $B_{R_k}$  are the semileptonic branching ratios for charm and bottom hadron decay, taken to be 8.9%  $\pm$  0.86% and 10.8%  $\pm$ statistical and systematic respectively. The effect of B<sup>o</sup>B<sup>o</sup> mixing is to reduce the  $\chi_{d(s)} = \Gamma(B_{d(s)} \to l^+)/\Gamma(B_{d(s)} \to l^{\pm})$ , and the fractions that a  $b\bar{b}$  pair produced in the  $\chi$  using the production rate of same-sign dilepton events [7]. A weighted mean of the four measurements gives  $\chi = 0.126 \pm 0.014$ . Taking this into account, the Standard about 1.30 larger than the prediction. The result of  $R_b$  is in good agreement with the The 773 inclusive muon sample were binned in  $3 \times 5$  (p, pr) bins. The expected Here  $\chi = f_{4} \chi_{4} + f_{4} \chi_{6}$  contains the mixing parameters for  $B_{4}$  and  $B_{5}$  mesons,  $e^+e^-$  annihilation forms a  $B_dB_d(B_s\bar{B}_s)$  pair,  $f_d(f_s)$ . LEP experiments have measured  $\geq$  6 GeV/c) and five  $p_T$  bins (  $\leq$  0.5, 0.5  $\sim$  1.0, 1.0  $\sim$  1.5, 1.5  $\sim$  2.0,  $\geq$  2.0 GeV/c).  $+ 2 N_{b\bar{b}} B_{h} \sum_{x} W_b(x_E) P_b(x_E, p, p_T) + 2 N_{b\bar{b}} B_{h} \sum_{x_E} W_b(x_E) P_{b*}(x_E, p, p_T) ].$ asymmetry given by the Born term into the observed asymmetry according to  $N_{\mathrm{expected}}(p,p_T) = N_{\mathrm{BG}}(p,p_T) + [2N_{\mathrm{c}}B_{R_{\mathrm{c}}}\sum W_{\mathrm{c}}(x_E)P_{\mathrm{c}}(x_E,p,p_T)$ Fragmentation Function Analysis  $A_b^{\rm obs}=A_b^{\rm Born}(1-2\chi)$ Standard Model prediction of 0.56. distributions are described as  $\infty$ 

cause of the charge asymmetry,  $b\bar{b}$  events are more likely to lead to positively-charged muons in the forward hemisphere (direction of e<sup>-</sup>), and negatively-charged muons in the backward hemisphere. Exactly the opposite is true for  $c\bar{c}$  events. We used this information by subdividing our meon sample into two categories: one containing forward-going  $\mu^-$  and backward-going  $\mu^+$ , and the other containing forward-going  $\mu^+$ and backward-going  $\mu^-$ . Each category was binned into 15  $(p_1p_T)$  bins as the previous method. Therefore we used a total of 30 bins in this method. The former category gory. Without subdividing the data sample, the prompt muons are 52% from c and from c and 47% from b, whereas the second category contains 63% from c and 21% from b. The fractions of cascade decay muons in the subdivided samples do not change contains more  $b\overline{b}$  events and less  $c\overline{c}$  events. The opposite is true for the second cate-33% from b with the rest from cascade decays. In the first category, we expect 38% substantially. The results we obtained from this nethod are  $\langle x_k \rangle_c = 0.57\pm 04\pm 03$  and  $(x_E)_b = 0.611^{+.001+.04}_{-.031}$ , in good agreement with the 15-bin method.

#### Discussion  $\circ$

Figure 9 compares this result with previous measurements[8]. The solid line in the represents the expectation without mixing. It can be noticed that all three TRISTAN and  $\langle x_E \rangle$ , that we measured are in good agreement with the previous measurements We have extracted the cross section and charge-asymmetry of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ , and the average  $x_E$  in the fragmentation function for  $c$  and  $b$  quarks from  $e^+e^-$  annihilation results reported here are based on the total integrated luminosity of 142pb<sup>-1</sup>. While the  $R_b$  value agrees well with the Standard Model, we observe a small discrepancy in  $A_b$ . Our newly-observed value is about 1.30 larger than the Standard Model prediction. figure is the Standard Model prediction with the effect of  $B^0\bar{B}^0$  mixing. The dotted line measurements yield a somewhat larger asymmetry. When they are combined, the value of  $A_b$  becomes -0.58  $\pm$  0.09, which is about  $2\sigma$  larger than the Standard Model. At this multihadron events including muons at a center-of-mass energy of 57.8 GeV/c. The monnent we are unable to conclude whether or not this is a significant effect. The  $(x_E)$ at PEP, PETRA, and LEP energies [11]. They are summarized in Figure 10.

We thank the TRISTAN staff for the excellent operation of the storage ring. In addition we acknowledge the strong support and enthusiastic assistance provided by the staffs of our home institutions. This work has been supported by the Japan Ministry of Education, Science and Culture (Monbusho) and Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, the U.S. Department of Energy and National Science Foundation, the Korean

Science and Engineering Foundation and Ministry of Education, and the Academia Sinica of the People's Republic of China.



 $-15-$ 

#### **Figure Captions**

equivalent hadron filter, four layers of drift chambers, and an array of scintillation Figure 1 AMY Detector. The muon detection system consists of a 1.65 m ironcounters.

Figure 2 A module of the muon drift chamber.

- Figure 3 Muon momentum versus matching distance for all candidate muons within a matching distance below 100 cm. The solid line curve indicates the 20 matching distance cut.
- passed the 2 $\sigma$  matching distance cut (white dots).  $c\bar{c}$  (dashed line) and hadron Figure 4 The muon pr distribution for the 773 inclusive muon candidate events that fakes (dotted line) contributions estimated by a Monte Carlo calculation, and the  $b\overline{b}$  contribution (dark dots) obtained by subtracting  $c\overline{c}$  and hadron fakes from the data, are shown separately.
- **Figure 5** The angular distribution  $dN/dcos\theta_{\rm th}$  for the 773 inclusive muon events, (a) for  $p_T$  above 0.7 GeV/c, and (b) for below 0.7 GeV/c. Contributions from  $c\bar{c}$ , hadron fakes, and  $b\bar{b}$ , were obtained as described in Figure 4.
- Figure 6 Correction factor which converts  $dN/dcos\theta_{\rm th}$  for inclusive muon events, for pr above 0.7 GeV/c into  $d\sigma/d\Omega$  for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ .
- **Figure 7** Differential cross section  $d\sigma/d\Omega$  of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow b\overline{b}$  with the results of the fit. The Standard Model prediction including the effect of  $B^0\bar{B^0}$  mixing is also shown.
- Figure 8 The muon pr distribution for three different muon p-bins. The solid line is the result of the fit. The dotted line is the Monte Carlo estimation of hadron fake background.
- **Figure 9** The forward-backward charge asymmetry for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$  as a function of the center-of-mass energy. The result of this experiment at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 57.8 GeV is compared with previous measurements. The solid curve is the Standard Model prediction including the effect of  $B^0\bar{B}^0$  mixing. For comparison, the prediction without mixing is also shown as the dotted line.
- ergy carried by the heavy hadrons,  $(x_E)_c$  and  $(x_E)_b$  are compared with previous Figure 10 The result of this experiment for the average fractions of the beam enmeasurements.



 $-17-$ 

 $-18-$ 







Figure3

 $-20-$ 



Figure 5

 $-22-$ 



Figure 4

 $-21-$ 







 $-23-$ 

 $-24-$ 







 $-25-$ 

 $-26-$ 

يتبينه ليستلبث لتبينا ولينت	$\Phi$ OPAL D <sup>*</sup>	ALEPHD <sup>*</sup>	ALEPH <sub>L</sub> ه. ط	Į AMY 4	$TASSO D^* \circ T$	$\circ$ HRS D <sup>*</sup>	$\Phi$ DELCO <sup>e</sup>	$\frac{1}{2}$ TPC H	ىسىلىسىلىسلىسا 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8	$\langle x_{\rm E} \rangle_{\rm c}$
<del>- Thurch the Librarie</del>			ALEPH $\mu$ ,e how	Ō $L3 \mu$ ,e	<b>AMY 4</b>	$\frac{1}{2}$ JADE µ	$\frac{1}{2}$ DELCO e	$\frac{1}{2}$ TPC H	0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 0.0 0.0 0.8	$\langle x_{\mathsf{E}} \rangle_{\mathsf{b}}$

Figure 10

-