WHITNEY STRATIFICATION OF ALGEBRAIC BOUNDARIES OF CONVEX SEMI-ALGEBRAIC SETS

ZIHAO DAI, ZIJIA LI, ZHI-HONG YANG, LIHONG ZHI

Abstract. Algebraic boundaries of convex semi-algebraic sets are closely related to polynomial optimization problems. Building upon Rainer Sinn's work, we refine the stratification of iterated singular loci to a Whitney (a) stratification, which gives a list of candidates of varieties whose dual is an irreducible component of the algebraic boundary of the dual convex body. We also present an algorithm based on Teissier's criterion to compute Whitney (a) stratifications, which employs conormal spaces and prime decomposition.

1. Introduction

Let K be a convex semi-algebraic compact set with 0 in its interior. Let ∂K be the Euclidean boundary of K. The algebraic boundary of K, denoted $\partial_a K$, is the Zariski closure of ∂K . The convex hull of a real algebraic variety has important applications in optimization [\[1,](#page-14-0) [20,](#page-15-0) [7,](#page-15-1) [8,](#page-15-2) [31\]](#page-16-0).

The algebraic boundary of the convex hull of a compact real algebraic variety

$$
V = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f_j(x) = 0, \ f_j \in \mathbb{R}[x], \ j = 1, \dots, r\}
$$

has been studied by Ranestad, Rostalski, and Sturmfels [\[21,](#page-15-3) [22,](#page-15-4) [26\]](#page-15-5). If V is an irreducible and smooth compact variety, by [\[21,](#page-15-3) Theorem 1.1], the algebraic boundary of its convex hull can be computed by biduality. Guo et al. extended this result to non-compact or non-smooth real algebraic variety [\[9\]](#page-15-6). In [\[27\]](#page-15-7), Sinn studied the algebraic boundary of a convex semi-algebraic set. In [\[27,](#page-15-7) Corollary 3.4], he proved that the dual of an irreducible component of $Ex_{a}(K)$ (the Zariski closure of extreme points of K, see Definition [2.9\)](#page-4-0) is an irreducible component of $\partial_a K^{\circ}$ (K° is the dual convex body of K, see Definition [2.2\)](#page-3-0). However, the converse may not hold. Namely, the dual of an irreducible component of $\partial_a K^{\circ}$ may not be an irreducible component in $Ex_a(K)$. In [\[27,](#page-15-7) Theorem 3.16], Sinn showed that the iterated singular locus of $\partial_a K$ gives a list of candidates of irreducible subvarieties \overline{Z} (the projective closure of Z, see Definition [2.7\)](#page-4-1) of $\overline{\mathrm{Ex}_a(K)}$, whose dual variety \overline{Z}^* is an irreducible component of $\overline{\partial_a K^{\circ}}$. There is a condition contained in [\[27,](#page-15-7) Theorem 3.16] that requires every point on the boundary of the convex set to be regular on each irreducible component of the algebraic boundary containing it, and Sinn gave a counterexample [\[27,](#page-15-7) Example 3.20] when the condition is removed.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 52A99, 14N05, 14P10, 51N35, 14Q15.

Key words and phrases. Dual variety, Conormal space, Normal cone, Convex semialgebraic set, Extreme point, Whitney Stratification.

Example 1.1. [\[27,](#page-15-7) Example 3.20] Let

$$
f = (z2 + y2 - (x + 1)(x - 1)2)(y - 5(x - 1))(y + 5(x - 1)) \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y, z].
$$

The real variety of $I = \langle f \rangle$ is shown in Figure [1.](#page-1-0) The *teardrop* defined by

$$
K = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid z^2 + y^2 - (x + 1)(x - 1)^2 \le 0, \ x \le 1\}
$$

is a convex semi-algebraic set. The convexity of K can be checked by its Hessian matrix.

 (A) The real variety of f which contains the algebraic boundary of the teardrop K. (b) The dual convex body of the teardrop K.

Figure 1. Example [1.1.](#page-1-1)

Let $g = f + \frac{1}{10}(x-1)yz^2$ and $\mathbf{V}(g)$ be the real variety defined by the polynomial g. The singular locus of $\partial_a K'$ is the union of $\mathbf{V}(I_1), \mathbf{V}(I_2), \mathbf{V}(I_3)$ where

$$
I_1 = \langle y, x - 1 \rangle,
$$

\n
$$
I_2 = \langle z, -115 + y, x - 24 \rangle,
$$

\n
$$
I_3 = \langle z, 115 + y, x - 24 \rangle.
$$

The 2nd singular locus of $V(g)$ is an empty set. The extreme point $p =$ $(1,0,0)$ of K' lies on the line $V(I_1)$, but p is not contained in any irreducible component of the iterated singular loci. On the other hand, as pointed out by Sinn, the normal cone of the point $(1,0,0)$ relative to K' is of dimension 3. The dual of $Z = \{(1,0,0)\}\$ is the hyperplane $\mathbf{V}(x+1)$, which is an irreducible component of $\partial_a (K')^{\circ}$ [\[27,](#page-15-7) Corollary 3.9].

Sinn's $[27, Example 3.20]$ $[27, Example 3.20]$ shows that the point $(1, 0, 0)$ can be discovered by checking Whitney's condition (a) for the pair

$$
(\mathrm{Reg}(\mathbf{V}(g)), \mathbf{V}(I_1)).
$$

Hence, it would be interesting to ask the following question:

Qusetion. [\[27,](#page-15-7) Remark 3.17(b)] Is Whitney's condition (a) sufficient for discovering all subvarieties $\overline{Z} \subseteq \overline{Ex_a(K)}$ whose dual is an irreducible component in $\overline{\partial_a K^{\circ}}$?

We give a positive answer to this question by proving the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem [4.2\)](#page-6-0). Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a semi-algebraic convex body with $0 \in \text{int}(K)$. Let $Z \subseteq \text{Ex}_{a}(K)$ be an irreducible subvariety with $Z \cap$ $\text{Ex}(K)$ dense in Z such that \overline{Z}^* is an irreducible component of $\overline{\partial_a K^o}$. Then Z is an irreducible component of one of F_i , which is defined by induction:

$$
F_0 := \partial_a K, \ F_1 := \text{Sing}(F_0),
$$

$$
F_i := \text{Sing}(F_{i-1}) \cup \bigcup_{j=0}^{i-2} S(F_j \setminus F_{j+1}, \text{Reg}(F_{i-1})),
$$

where $S(X, Y)$ is the set of points in which the pair (X, Y) does not satisfy Whitney's condition (a) (see Definition [3.2](#page-5-0) (a)).

The canonical Whitney stratification was introduced independently by Teissier [\[28\]](#page-15-8) and Henry and Merle [\[14\]](#page-15-9). The computation of Whitney stratifications for affine varieties is a challenging problem in computational geometry. Quantifier Elimination can be used to compute Whitney stratifications of complex algebraic sets in \mathbb{C}^n and semi-algebraic sets in \mathbb{R}^n [\[18,](#page-15-10) [23\]](#page-15-11). The algorithm introduced in [\[15\]](#page-15-12) represents Whitney's condition (b) using new variables and eliminates them to compute the stratifications. Another algorithm in [\[12\]](#page-15-13) focuses on computing Whitney (b) irregular points through primary decomposition techniques. Since Theorem 1.3 only concerns Whitney's condition (a), we present an algorithm, based on Teissier's criterion in [\[5,](#page-15-14) Remark 4.11], to compute Whitney (a) stratifications for equi-dimensional projective varieties using conormal spaces and prime decomposition.

Structure of the paper. In Section [2,](#page-2-0) we introduce some basic concepts in convex analysis and duality theory. In Section [3,](#page-4-2) we introduce Whitney stratification of an algebraic variety. In Section [4,](#page-6-1) we prove Theorem [4.2.](#page-6-0) In Section [5,](#page-7-0) we give algorithms based on Teissier's criterion to compute Whitney stratifications using conormal spaces and prime decompositions. In Section [6,](#page-11-0) we illustrate our main theorem with two examples: Xano and Teardrop.

2. Duality of Varieties and Convex Sets

In this section, we introduce some basic concepts in convex algebraic geometry, based on [\[24,](#page-15-15) [25,](#page-15-16) [26,](#page-15-5) [27\]](#page-15-7).

2.1. Conormal Spaces, Dual Varieties and Duality of Convex Sets.

Definition 2.1. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be a complex analytic space of pure dimension. The conormal space $C(X)$ of X is the closure of

$$
\{(x,l)\in\mathbb{P}^n\times(\mathbb{P}^n)^*\mid x\in\mathrm{Reg}(X),\ \langle l,T_xX\rangle=0\},\
$$

where $\langle l, T_x X \rangle = 0$ means that the linear operator l maps all vectors of $T_x X$ to 0. Here, we use $\text{Reg}(X)$ to represent the regular (smooth) points in X and $\operatorname{Sing}(X) := X \setminus \operatorname{Reg}(X)$.

The projection of the conormal space $C(X)$ onto the second factor $(\mathbb{P}^n)^*$ is called the dual variety of X, denoted by X^* . More precisely, X^* is the closure of

$$
\{l \in (\mathbb{P}^n)^* \mid \exists x \in \text{Reg}(X), \ \langle l, T_x X \rangle = 0\}.
$$

We have the biduality theorem for projective varieties [\[6,](#page-15-17) Theorem 1.1]: if $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is an irreducible projective variety, then $(X^*)^* = X$.

Definition 2.2. A subset $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called convex if for all pairs $x, y \in D$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in D$.

If $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a compact set of full dimension, that is, of dimension n, we call D a convex body. If $0 \in \text{int}(D)$ the Euclidean interior of D, we define the dual convex body

$$
D^{\circ} := \{ l \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^* \mid \forall x \in D, \ \langle l, x \rangle \ge -1 \}.
$$

For a point $x \in \partial D$, a hyperplane $l \in \partial D^{\circ}$ satisfying $\langle l, x \rangle = -1$ is called a supporting hyperplane of x .

For a semi-algebraic convex body D and a point x on the boundary, there is at least one hyperplane l supporting x by [\[24,](#page-15-15) Theorem 18.7].

Definition 2.3. A subset $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is called a cone if for all $\lambda \geq 0$ and $x \in C$, $\lambda x \in C$. A closed cone is called pointed if it does not contain a line, namely $C \cap (-C) = \{0\}.$

Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a full-dimensional closed pointed convex cone. We define the dual convex cone

$$
C^{\vee} := \{ l \in (\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^* \mid \forall x \in C, \ \langle l, x \rangle \ge 0 \}.
$$

The following remark shows that convex bodies and convex cones can be converted into each other.

Remark 2.4. Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex set. Define $\text{co}(D) := \{(\lambda, \lambda x) \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \lambda \geq 0, x \in D$, which is a pointed convex cone corresponded to D. One can verify that if $0 \in \text{int}(D)$, then we have

$$
co(D^{\mathrm{o}}) = co(D)^{\vee}.
$$

In fact, for $\lambda, \mu > 0$, $\langle (\lambda, \lambda l), (\mu, \mu x) \rangle \geq 0 \iff \lambda \mu (1 + \langle l, x \rangle) \geq 0 \iff$ $\langle l, x \rangle \geq -1.$

If $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex body with $0 \in \text{int}(D)$, then we have

$$
(D^{\mathrm{o}})^{\mathrm{o}} = D.
$$

If $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a full-dimensional closed pointed convex cone, then we have

$$
(C^{\vee})^{\vee} = C.
$$

2.2. Boundary of Convex Semi-Algebraic Sets. In this subsection, we introduce the notations of algebraic boundaries, normal cones, and some of their properties.

Definition 2.5. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a semi-algebraic set and ∂S be the Euclidean boundary of S. The algebraic boundary of S, denoted $\partial_{\alpha}S$, is the Zariski closure of ∂S.

Proposition 2.6. [\[27,](#page-15-7) Corollary 2.1] Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a nonempty semialgebraic convex body. Then, each irreducible component of $\partial_a K$ has codimension one in \mathbb{A}^n .

Definition 2.7. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ be an affine variety. We define its projective closure $\overline{X} \in \mathbb{P}^n$ as the Zariski closure of the image of X under the embedding $n \rightarrow$

(1)
$$
\mathbb{A}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n, (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \longmapsto (1 : x_1 : \ldots : x_n).
$$

For convenience, we will briefly use the term "the dual X^* to an affine variety X" instead of "the dual \overline{X}^* to the projective closure \overline{X} of an affine variety X ".

Proposition 2.8. [\[27,](#page-15-7) Proposition 2.12] Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a semi-algebraic convex body with $0 \in \text{int}(K)$, and let $M := \partial K \cap \text{Reg}(\partial_a K)$ be the smooth points on the boundary. Then, for any $x \in M$, there is exactly one hyperplane in $l \in K^{\circ}$ supporting x. Moreover, we have $\langle l, T_xM \rangle = 0$.

Definition 2.9. Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex set. A point $x \in D$ is called an extreme point if for any $y, z \in D$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$ with $x = \lambda y + (1 - \lambda)z$, it holds that $x = y = z$. The set of extreme points is denoted by $Ex(D)$. We denote the Zariski closure of $\operatorname{Ex}(D)$ in \mathbb{A}^n by $\operatorname{Ex}_a(D)$.

Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a pointed convex cone. A ray in C is denoted by \mathbb{R}_{+} x, and $\mathbb{R}_+x = \mathbb{R}_+y$ if and only if $x = \lambda y$ for some $\lambda > 0$. A ray $\mathbb{R}_+x \subseteq C$ is called an extreme ray if for any $y, z \in C$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$ with $x =$ $\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)z$, it holds that $\mathbb{R}_+ x = \mathbb{R}_+ y = \mathbb{R}_+ z$. The set of extreme rays is denoted by $\text{Ext}(C)$. We denote the Zariski closure of the union of all the extreme rays by $\text{Exr}_a(C)$.

Lemma 2.10. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a semi-algebraic convex body with $0 \in \text{int}(K)$. Let $l \in \text{Ex}(K^{\text{o}})$ be a supporting hyperplane to a point $x \in \text{Ex}(K)$. Let ${l_i} \subseteq \partial K^{\circ}$ be an infinite sequence converging to l and $x_i \in \partial_a K$ be a point supported by l_i . Then the sequence $\{x_i\}$ converges to x.

Proof. By Definition [2.2,](#page-3-0) we have $\langle l_i, x_i \rangle = -1$ and $\langle l, x \rangle = -1$. If $\{x_i\}$ does not converge to x , by the assumption that K is compact, we can assume there is a subsequence of $\{x_i\}$, denoted by $\{x_{k_i}\}$, converging to $x' \in K$. So $\langle l, x' \rangle = \lim_{i \to \infty} \langle l_{k_i}, x_{k_i} \rangle = -1$. This shows that l is a supporting hyperplane to x' . As

$$
\langle l, x \rangle = \langle l, x' \rangle = -1,
$$

we conclude that x and x' are in the same face. By $x \in \text{Ex}(K)$, we have $x = x'$. Hence, the sequence $\{x_i\}$ converges to x.

Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex set, $x \in \partial D$, we define

$$
N_D(x) = \{l \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^* \mid \forall y \in D, \ \langle l, y - x \rangle \ge 0\}
$$

as the normal cone of x.

3. Stratification on Varieties

The idea of stratification in algebraic geometry comes from topology, where an important approach is to divide the topological space into smaller and simpler parts. In [\[32\]](#page-16-1), Whitney proved that an algebraic variety can be decomposed into several smooth submanifolds. In [\[33,](#page-16-2) [34\]](#page-16-3), Whitney refined the stratification of iterated singular loci into a stratification satisfying Whitney's condition (a) or (b). This section briefly introduces stratifications on varieties based on [\[3,](#page-14-1) [30,](#page-16-4) [23,](#page-15-11) [29\]](#page-16-5).

Definition 3.1. Let F be an algebraic set of \mathbb{C}^n or \mathbb{R}^n . A stratification of F is a family of subsets ${F_\alpha}$ such that

- (i) $\{F_{\alpha}\}\$ are pairwise disjoint;
- (ii) For each pair F_{α} and F_{β} , either $F_{\beta} \subseteq \text{cls}(F_{\alpha})$ or $\text{cls}(F_{\alpha}) \cap F_{\beta} = \emptyset$, here $cls(F_{\alpha})$ means the Euclidean closure of F_{α} ;

(iii) Each F_{α} is smooth.

We call each F_{α} a stratum.

Definition 3.2 (Whitney's Conditions). [\[2,](#page-14-2) Definition 9.7.1] Let X, Y be two strata of a real algebraic set F.

- (i) The pair (X, Y) satisfies Whitney's Condition (a) at a point $y \in Y$ if for every sequence $\{x_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $x_i \in X$ and $\lim_{i\to\infty} x_i = y$, it holds that $T_yY \subseteq \lim_{i \to \infty} T_{x_i}X$ if the latter limit exists.
- (ii) The pair (X, Y) satisfies Whitney's Condition (b) at a point $y \in Y$ if for every sequences $\{x_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\subset X$ and $\{y_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\subset Y$ with $\lim_{i\to\infty}x_i=$ $\lim_{i\to\infty} y_i = y$, it holds that $\lim_{i\to\infty} \mathbb{R}(x_i - y_i) \subseteq \lim_{i\to\infty} T_{x_i}X$ if these two limits both exist.

In fact, Whitney's condition (b) implies condition (a), see e.g. [\[17,](#page-15-18) Propo-sition 2.4. We use the terminology from [\[15\]](#page-15-12): The pair (X, Y) is said to be Whitney (a) regular (resp. Whitney regular) if (X, Y) satisfies Whitney's Condition (a) (resp. Whitney's Condition (b)) at every point of Y . The limit in Definition [3.2](#page-5-0) is taken with respect to the Euclidean topology of Grassmannians $\mathbb{G}_n^k(\mathbb{R})$, which is described in detail in [\[2,](#page-14-2) Section 9.7].

Teissier [\[28\]](#page-15-8) and Henry and Merle [\[14\]](#page-15-9) independently introduced the canonical Whitney stratification. Rannou and Mostowski [\[18\]](#page-15-10) gave an algorithm based on quantifier elimination to calculate it.

Definition 3.3. Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be an algebraic set. We define the Whitney (a) stratification of F

$$
F = F_0 \supsetneq F_1 \supsetneq F_2 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq F_r \supsetneq F_{r+1} = \varnothing
$$

where

(i) $F_1 := \text{Sing}(F_0)$. (ii) For $i \geq 2$, let $F_i := \operatorname{Sing}(F_{i-1}) \cup$ *i*−2
| | $j=0$ $S(F_j \setminus F_{j+1}, \text{Reg}(F_{i-1}))$

where $S(X, Y)$ is the set of points in which the pair (X, Y) does not satisfy Whitney's condition (a).

(iii) $F_r \neq \emptyset$.

Let F_i^j ¹/_i be the j-th connected component of $F_i \backslash F_{i+1}$. One can verify that by this definition, $\{F_i^j\}$ \mathbb{S}_i^j forms a stratification for which Whitney's condition (a) is satisfied for every pair of strata. Note that the stratification in Definiton [3.3](#page-5-1) is the minimum of the ones that satisfy Whitney's condition (a). In practice, for two strata $X = F_{j_1} \setminus F_{j_1+1}$ and $Y = F_{j_2} \setminus F_{j_2+1}$ with $Y \subseteq X$, we compute the Zariski closure of the set of the points where (X, Y) does not satisfy Whitney's condition (a), denoted as $\text{Zar}(S(X, Y))$. Then $(X, Y \setminus \text{Zar}(S(X, Y))$ is also Whitney (a) regular.

By [\[18,](#page-15-10) Section 3] and [\[2,](#page-14-2) Theorem 9.7.5], the set $S(X, Y)$ has dimension strictly less than Y. Therefore, $\dim(F_{i+1} < \dim(F_i)$ for all $i \geq 0$, so the sequence (F_0, F_1, \ldots, F_r) has length no more than $\dim(F_0) + 1$.

4. Stratification of Algebraic Boundaries of Convex Semi-Algebraic Sets

A point x on a real projective X is called *central* if it is the limit of a sequence of regular real points of X [\[2,](#page-14-2) Definition 7.6.3]. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a semi-algebraic convex body with $0 \in \text{int}(K)$. For an extreme point $x \in$ $\operatorname{Ex}(K)$, the embedding image $(1 : x) \in \mathbb{P}^n$ is a central point of \overline{Y}^* which is the dual variety of an irreducible component \overline{Y} of $\overline{\partial_a K^{\circ}}$ [\[27,](#page-15-7) Corollary 3.14].

Lemma 4.1. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a semi-algebraic convex body with $0 \in \text{int}(K)$. Let $Z \subseteq Ex_a(K)$ be an irreducible subvariety such that \overline{Z}^* is an irreducible component of $\overline{\partial_a K^o}$, and $Z \cap Ex(K)$ is dense in Z. Let $x \in Z$ be a general point and $N_K(x)$ be its normal cone. Then we have $\text{span}(N_K(x)) = (T_x Z)^{\perp}$.

Proof. Let $x \in Z \cap Ex(K)$. Considering x as a supporting hyperplane of K^{o} , it has a nonempty intersection with $Ex(K^{\text{o}})$. Therefore, there exists an $l \in \text{Ex}(K^{\text{o}})$ with $\mathbb{R}_{+}l \in \text{Exr}(N_{K}(x))$ and l is a supporting hyperplane to x , By [\[27,](#page-15-7) Corrollary 3.14], there exists an irreducible component X of $\partial_a K$ such that l is a central point of X^* . Namely, there exists a sequence $\{l_i\} \subseteq \text{Reg}(X^*)$ converging to l. Let $x_i \in X$ be the point supported by l_i , then $l_i = (T_{x_i}X)^*$. By [\[2,](#page-14-2) Theorem 9.7.5], Whitney's condition (a) is satisfied for the pair $(\text{Reg}(X), Z)$ for a general point $x \in Z$, i.e. $T_x Z \subseteq \lim_{i \to \infty} T_{x_i} X$. Hence we have $l = \lim_{i \to \infty} l_i \in (T_x Z)^*$. For any ray $\mathbb{R}_+ l \in \text{Ext}(N_K(x)),$

$$
\mathbb{R}_+l\subseteq\mathbb{R}\cdot(T_xZ)^*=(T_xZ)^{\perp},
$$

Then, we have $N_K(x) \subseteq (T_xZ)^{\perp}$.

As \overline{Z}^* is an irreducible component of $\overline{\partial_a K^o}$, according to [\[27,](#page-15-7) Corollary 3.9], we get the following equations:

$$
\dim(N_K(x)) = \text{codim}(Z) = \text{codim}(T_x Z) = \dim((T_x Z)^{\perp}).
$$

Because $N_K(x) \subseteq (T_xZ)^{\perp}$ and $\dim(N_K(x)) = \dim((T_xZ)^{\perp})$, we conclude that span $(N_K(x)) = (T_x Z)^{\perp}$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a semi-algebraic convex body with $0 \in \text{int}(K)$. Let $Z \subseteq Ex_a(K)$ be an irreducible subvariety with $Z \cap Ex(K)$ dense in Z such that \overline{Z}^* is an irreducible component of $\overline{\partial_a K^o}$. Then Z is an irreducible component of one of F_i , which is defined by induction:

$$
F_0 := \partial_a K, \ F_1 = \text{Sing}(F_0),
$$

$$
F_i := \text{Sing}(F_{i-1}) \cup \bigcup_{j=0}^{i-2} S(F_j \setminus F_{j+1}, \text{Reg}(F_{i-1})),
$$

where $S(X, Y)$ is the set of points in which the pair (X, Y) does not satisfy Whitney's condition (a).

The proof below follows the main idea in the proof of [\[27,](#page-15-7) Theorem 3.16].

Proof. Let ${F_i}$ be a stratification of $\partial_a K$ satisfying Whitney's condition (a). Assume that

$$
(2) \t\t Z \subset F_k, Z \not\subset F_{k+1}.
$$

Let Y be the irreducible component of F_k that contains Z. We assume that $Z \neq Y$, i.e. $Z \subsetneq Y \subseteq F_k$.

Let $x \in Z \cap Ex(K)$ be an extreme point of K. For a general point $x \in \text{Reg}(Y)$, we have $T_x Z \subsetneq T_x Y$. By Lemma [4.1,](#page-6-2) we have

$$
(T_xY)^{\perp} \subsetneq (T_xZ)^{\perp} = \text{span}(N_K(x)).
$$

Hence, there exists $\mathbb{R}_+l \in N_K(x)$ with $l \in \text{Ex}(K^{\text{o}})$ such that

$$
\langle l, T_x Y \rangle \neq 0.
$$

Since l is an extreme point of K° , by [\[27,](#page-15-7) Corollary 3.14], there exists an irreducible component of $\partial_a K$, denoted by X, satisfying that l is a central point of its dual X^* , i.e. there exists a sequence $l_i \to l$ with $l_i \in \text{Reg}(X^*)$. By Lemma [2.10,](#page-4-3) there exists a sequence $x_i \to x$ with $x_i \in \text{Reg}(X)$, and each l_i is the supporting hyperplane to x_i . Then, by Proposition [2.8,](#page-4-4) we have $\langle l_i, T_{x_i} X \rangle = 0$. Therefore,

$$
\langle l, \lim_{i \to \infty} T_{x_i} X \rangle = \lim_{i \to \infty} \langle l_i, T_{x_i} X \rangle = 0.
$$

Because the Grassmannian \mathbb{G}_n^k is compact, without loss of generality, we can assume that $\lim_{i\to\infty} T_{x_i}X$ exists. Since $\langle l, T_xY \rangle \neq 0$, we have

$$
T_xY \not\subset \lim_{i \to \infty} T_{x_i}X.
$$

This implies that for any $x \in Z \cap Ex(K)$, we have

$$
x \in S(\text{Reg}(X), \text{Reg}(Y)).
$$

Since $Z \cap \text{Ex}(K)$ is dense in Z and $S(\text{Reg}(X), \text{Reg}(Y))$ is closed, we conclude that

$$
Z \subset \text{Sing}(Y) \cup \left(\bigcup_{X \in \text{irr}(\partial_a K)} S\left(\text{Reg}(X), \text{Reg}(Y)\right)\right) \subset F_{k+1},
$$

which is a contradiction to the assumption (2) .

5. Computing Whitney (a) stratifications via conormal spaces

In this section, we fix \mathbb{P}^n as the *n*-dimensional projective space over the complex number field C. We show that the algorithm originally proposed to compute Whitney (b) stratification by Helmer and Nanda in [\[11\]](#page-15-19), which was subsequently found to contain an error in regards to the output satisfying condition (b) and corrected by Helmer and Nanda in [\[12\]](#page-15-13), does in fact correctly compute a Whitney (a) stratification. Along with a proof of correctness relative to condition (a) we present a slightly altered version of the algorithm of [\[11\]](#page-15-19) which is better suited to our application. The algorithm is based on an algebraic description of Whitney's conditions given in [\[5\]](#page-15-14).

$$
\sqcup
$$

Definition 5.1. Let $C(X)$ be the conormal space of X. The conormal map κ_X is defined as

(3)
$$
\kappa_X : C(X) \to X
$$

$$
(x, l) \mapsto x.
$$

The conormal space $C(X)$ is closed and reduced (see e.g. [\[28,](#page-15-8) Proposition 4.1] or [\[5,](#page-15-14) Proposition 2.9]), therefore, the sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{I}_{C(X)}$ defining $C(X)$ corresponds to the vanishing ideal of $C(X)$. The computation of $\mathcal{I}_{C(X)}$ is also given in the proof [\[28,](#page-15-8) Proposition 4.1].

In this section, all ideals are homogeneous since we only consider projective varieties. Although "homogeneous ideal" is more precise in this context, we will simply use "ideal" for brevity.

Lemma 5.2. [\[5,](#page-15-14) Remark 4.11] Let X be an irreducible variety in \mathbb{P}^n and let Y be a smooth irreducible subvariety of X. Let $\mathcal{I}_{C(X) \cap C(Y)} = \mathcal{I}_{C(X)} + \mathcal{I}_{C(Y)}$ be the sheaf of ideals defining $C(X) \cap C(Y)$, and $\mathcal{I}_{\kappa_X^{-1}(Y)} = \mathcal{I}_{C(X)} + \mathcal{I}_Y$ be the sheaf of ideals defining $\kappa_X^{-1}(Y)$. Then $(\text{Reg}(X), Y)$ satisfies Whitney's condition (a) if and only if

(4)
$$
\mathcal{I}_{\kappa_X^{-1}(Y)} \subset \mathcal{I}_{C(X) \cap C(Y)} \subset \sqrt{\mathcal{I}_{\kappa_X^{-1}(Y)}},
$$

and $(\text{Reg}(X), Y)$ satisfies Whitney's condition (b) if and only if

(5)
$$
\mathcal{I}_{\kappa_X^{-1}(Y)} \subset \mathcal{I}_{C(X) \cap C(Y)} \subset \overline{\mathcal{I}_{\kappa_X^{-1}(Y)}},
$$

where $\mathcal{I}_{\kappa_X^{-1}(Y)}$ is the integral closure of $\mathcal{I}_{\kappa_X^{-1}(Y)}$.

In Lemma [5.2,](#page-8-0) Y is closed as it is a subvariety of X . We observe that the closedness of Y is necessary: if Y is not closed, then the first inclusion in (4) and [\(5\)](#page-8-2) may not hold as shown in Example [5.3,](#page-8-3) and the second inclusion in [\(5\)](#page-8-2) may not hold either [\[16,](#page-15-20) Example 3.2].

Example 5.3. Let $f = x^2t^2 - y^2z^2 + z^3t \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, z, t]$ be a homogeneous polynomial. Let

$$
X = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{C}}(f) \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \text{ and } Y = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{C}}(x, z) \setminus \mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{C}}(y) \subset \text{Sing}(X).
$$

Although $(\text{Reg}(X), Y)$ is Whitney (a) regular, $\mathcal{I}_{\kappa_X^{-1}(Y)} \not\subset \mathcal{I}_{C(X) \cap C(Y)}$. Here the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\kappa_X^{-1}(Y)}$ is computed by [\[12,](#page-15-13) Eq. (5)], which is a result of the remarks below [\[19,](#page-15-21) Theorem 3.12].

Nonetheless, we show that the second inclusion of [\(4\)](#page-8-1) still holds even if Y is Zariski open.

Corollary 5.4. Let X be an irreducible variety in \mathbb{P}^n and Y be an irreducible (not necessarily smooth) subvariety of X. Let $\text{Reg}(X) = X \setminus \text{Sing}(X)$, and $\hat{U} \subset Y \setminus \text{Sing}(Y)$ be a Zariski open subset of Y. Let $\mathcal{I}_{C(X) \cap C(U)}$ be the sheaf of ideals defining $C(X) \cap C(U)$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\kappa_X^{-1}(U)}$ be the sheaf of ideals defining $\kappa_X^{-1}(U)$. Then $(\text{Reg}(X), U)$ satisfies Whitney's condition (a) if and only if

(6)
$$
\sqrt{\mathcal{I}_{C(X)\cap C(U)}} \subset \sqrt{\mathcal{I}_{\kappa_X^{-1}(U)}}.
$$

Proof. By Hilbert Nullstellensatz, the condition [\(6\)](#page-8-4) is equivalent to

(7) Zariski closure of
$$
(\kappa_X^{-1}(U)) \subset C(X) \cap C(U)
$$
.

Since $C(X) \cap C(U)$ is Zariski closed and

$$
\kappa_X^{-1}(U) = C(X) \cap \left(U \times (\mathbb{P}^n)^* \right),
$$

the inclusion in [\(7\)](#page-9-0) is equivalent to

(8)
$$
C(X) \cap (U \times (\mathbb{P}^n)^*) \subset C(X) \cap C(U).
$$

In the following, we prove that $(\text{Reg}(X), U)$ is Whitney (a) regular if and only if [\(8\)](#page-9-1) holds.

First, we show that [\(8\)](#page-9-1) leads to $(\text{Reg}(X), U)$ being Whitney (a) regular. Let $y \in U$, and let $(x^{(k)})$ be a sequence in $Reg(X)$ such that $x^{(k)} \to y$ and $T_{r(k)}\text{Reg}(X) \to T$ as $k \to \infty$. Then [\(8\)](#page-9-1) implies that

$$
\forall l \in (\mathbb{P}^n)^* : \langle l, T \rangle = 0 \Longrightarrow \langle l, T_y U \rangle = 0,
$$

which concludes $T_yU \subset T$.

Conversely, we show that (8) holds if $(Reg(X), U)$ is Whitney (a) regular. Let (y, l) be a point in $C(X) \cap (U \times (\mathbb{P}^n)^*)$. Since $C(X)$ is the closure of the following set:

$$
\{(x,l)\in\mathbb{P}^n\times(\mathbb{P}^n)^*\mid x\in \text{Reg}(X),\ \langle l,T_xX\rangle=0\},\
$$

there exists a sequence $(x^{(k)}, l^{(k)}) \in \text{Reg}(X) \times (\mathbb{P}^n)^*$ such that

$$
(x^{(k)}, l^{(k)}) \rightarrow (y, l)
$$
 as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Then the compactness of \mathbb{P}^n implies that $(T_{x^{(k)}} \text{Reg}(X))$ has a convergent subsequence $(T_{x^{(k_i)}} \text{Reg}(X))$, and let $T \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be the limit of $T_{x^{(k_i)}} \text{Reg}(X)$. Consequently, $\langle l, T \rangle = 0$ due to the continuity of the inner product. As $(\text{Reg}(X), U)$ is Whitney (a) regular, we have $T_y U \subset T$, which leads to $\langle l, T_u U \rangle = 0$, and hence $(y, l) \in C(U)$.

With the notation in Corollary [5.4,](#page-8-5) if

(9)
$$
\mathcal{I}_{\kappa_X^{-1}(U)} \not\subset \sqrt{\mathcal{I}_{C(X) \cap C(U)}} \subsetneq \sqrt{\mathcal{I}_{\kappa_X^{-1}(U)}},
$$

then there is some subvariety W of Y such that $(Reg(X), U \cup W)$ is also Whitney (a) regular. In other words, if we are trying to stratify X and we get a relation as [\(9\)](#page-9-2), then we know that we have removed too many points from Y while getting U .

Lemma 5.5. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be an irreducible variety and $Y \subsetneq X$ be an irreducible subvariety of X. Let $Q = \mathcal{I}_{\kappa_X^{-1}(Y)}$: $\mathcal{I}_{C(X) \cap C(Y)}^{\infty}$ and $U = \text{Reg}(Y) \setminus$ $\kappa_X(\mathbf{V}_\mathbb{C}(Q))$. Then

(10)
$$
\sqrt{\mathcal{I}_{C(X)\cap C(U)}} \subset \sqrt{\mathcal{I}_{\kappa_X^{-1}(U)}},
$$

where $\kappa_X : C(X) \to X$ is the conormal map from $C(X)$, the conormal space of X, to X. Moreover, $(\text{Reg}(X), U)$ satisfies Whitney's condition (a).

Proof. By Hilbert Nullstellensatz, it is equivalent to prove

(11)
$$
\kappa_X^{-1}(U) \subset C(X) \cap C(U).
$$

According to [\[11,](#page-15-19) Lemma 4.2], U is Zariski dense in Y . Consequently, $C(U) = C(Y)$ by [\[11,](#page-15-19) Proposition 2.2]. Then the inclusion [\(11\)](#page-10-0) follows from

$$
\kappa_X^{-1}(U) \subset \kappa_X^{-1}(Y) \setminus \mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{C}}(Q) \subset C(X) \cap C(Y) = C(X) \cap C(U),
$$

where the second inclusion holds because $V_c(Q)$ is equal to the Zariski closure of $\left(\kappa_X^{-1}(Y)\backslash C(X)\cap C(Y)\right)$ (see e.g. [\[4,](#page-14-3) Chapter 4, §4, Theorem 10 (iii)]). We conclude that $(\text{Reg}(X), U)$ satisfies Whitney's condition (a) by Corol-lary [5.4.](#page-8-5) \Box

Given two irreducible projective varieties X and Y , where Y is contained in the singular locus of X , we present Algorithm [1,](#page-10-1) based on Corollary [5.4](#page-8-5) Lemma [5.5,](#page-9-3) to compute a subvariety W of $Reg(Y)$ such that $(Reg(X), Reg(Y))$ W) satisfies Whitney's condition (a), i.e., $W = S(\text{Reg}(X), \text{Reg}(Y))$ as in Definition [3.3.](#page-5-1) Next, we utilize Algorithm [1](#page-10-1) as a subroutine to compute Whitney (a) stratifications of projective varieties.

The algorithms in [\[15,](#page-15-12) [12\]](#page-15-13) are for computing Whitney (b) irregular points of (X, Y) , which can also be used for computing Whitney (a) irregular points because Whitney's condition (b) implies condition (a). However, with the sufficient and necessary condition in Corollary [5.4](#page-8-5) and the fact that Whitney's condition (a) is weaker than condition (b), our Algorithm [1](#page-10-1) is simpler and should run faster than the algorithms in [\[15,](#page-15-12) [12\]](#page-15-13).

Algorithm 1: Compute Whitney (a) irregular points

Input: Two prime homogeneous ideals $I, J \subset \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ such that $Y := \mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{C}}(J)$ is contained in the singular locus of $X := \mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{C}}(I).$

Output: A list of homogeneous prime ideals $L_a = \{P_1, \ldots, P_k\}$ such that $W = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{C}}(P_i)$ contains the points in $\text{Reg}(Y)$ where $(\text{Reg}(X), \text{Reg}(Y))$ does not satisfy Whitney's condition (a).

- 1. Compute the vanishing ideals $\mathcal{I}_{C(X)}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{C(Y)}$ of $C(X)$ and $C(Y)$ respectively.
- 2. Let $\mathcal{I}_{C(X) \cap C(Y)} = \mathcal{I}_{C(X)} + \mathcal{I}_{C(Y)}$ and let $\mathcal{I}_{\kappa_X^{-1}(Y)} = \mathcal{I}_{C(X)} + J$ by considering J as an ideal in the ring of $\mathcal{I}_{C(X)}$. Compute the saturation $Q_W = \sqrt{\mathcal{I}_{\kappa_X^{-1}(Y)}} : \mathcal{I}_{C(X) \cap C(Y)}^{\infty}.$
- 3. Compute the minimal primes $\{Q_1, \ldots, Q_\ell\}$ of Q_W .
- 4. For $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$, compute the elimination ideal $P_i = Q_i \cap \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, and put P_i into the list L_a .
- 5. Remove the redundant ideals from L_a so that the ideals in L_a are distinct and the corresponding varieties are not empty sets; return L_a .

Theorem 5.6. Algorithm [2](#page-11-1) terminates in finite steps and outputs a list of prime ideals satisfying the output specifications.

Algorithm 2: Compute Whitney (a) stratification of a projective variety.

-
- $_{\rm the}$ ideals in L_{j+1} are distinct and the corresponding varieties are not empty.

```
4. Return L.
```
Proof. Algorithm [2](#page-11-1) terminates in finite steps because every ideal concerned in the algorithm is finite-dimensional and has finitely many minimal primes.

The correctness of Algorithm [2](#page-11-1) follows from the correctness of Algo-rithm [1,](#page-10-1) which is established by Lemma [5.5.](#page-9-3) \Box

The main cost of our algorithm is the computation of the vanishing ideals of conormal spaces and prime decomposition of ideals; we refer to [\[11,](#page-15-19) Theorem 8.4] for the detailed complexity estimate for the algorithm "WhitStrat".

For a real algebraic set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, let $X(\mathbb{C})$ be the complexification of X. According to [\[13,](#page-15-22) Theorem 3.3], one can obtain a Whitney stratification of X by intersecting each stratum (F_i) of the Whitney stratification of $X(\mathbb{C})$ with \mathbb{R}^n .

6. Examples

We compute two examples to support Theorem [4.2.](#page-6-0) Each example contains an extreme point whose dual variety is an irreducible component of the algebraic boundary of the dual convex body, and this point can be discovered by Whitney (a) stratification but can not be identified by computing iterated singular loci. We utilized Macaulay2 and Maple2023 for the computation.

Example 6.1. The variety $V(x^4 + z^3 - yz^2)$ is called *Xano* from the famous illustration of Hauser [\[10\]](#page-15-23). One can verify that Xano is locally convex by computing the Hessian matrix of $y = z + \frac{x^4}{z^2}$ $rac{x^4}{z^2}$:

$$
H_{x,z}(y) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{12x^2}{z^2} & -\frac{8x^3}{z^3} \\ -\frac{8x^3}{z^3} & \frac{6x^4}{z^4} \end{pmatrix},
$$

which is a positive semidefinite matrix. After changing the coordinates of Xano, we have a convex semi-algebraic set, which contains the origin as an interior point, defined by

$$
K = \{ (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid f \le 0, y \le 1, -1 \le z \},\
$$

where $f = (x^4 + (z+1)^3 - (y+2)(z+1)^2) \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y, z]$. The real variety of

FIGURE 2. Shifted Xano defined by $f = (x^4 + (z+1)^3 - (y+1))$ $2(x+1)^2(y-1)$, and its dual defined by $f^* = (2v_1 + v_2 1) \left(v_0^4 + 128v_1^4 + 320v_1^3v_2 + 256v_1^2v_2^2 + 64v_1v_2^3 - 64v_1^3 - 128v_1^2v_2 64v_1v_2^2$).

a shifted Xano $X = V(f)$ with a plane defined by $V(y-1)$ is as Figure [2.](#page-12-0) The algebraic boundary $\partial_a K$ is $V(f)$. The singular locus of $\partial_a K$ is the union of $\mathbf{V}(I_1), \mathbf{V}(I_2)$ where

$$
I_1 = \langle z+1, x \rangle,
$$

\n
$$
I_2 = \langle y-1, x^4 + z^3 - 3z - 2 \rangle.
$$

The 2nd singular locus of $V(f)$ is $V(I_3)$ where

 $I_3 = \langle x, y - 1, z + 1 \rangle$.

The extreme point $p = (0, -2, -1)$ of K lies on the line defined by I_1 , but p is not contained in any irreducible component the iterated singular loci. The dual of p is the hyperplane $\mathbf{V}(2v_1 + v_2 + 1)$, which is an irreducible component of $\partial_a(K)^\circ$ [\[27,](#page-15-7) Corollary 3.9]. Let \overline{X} be the projective closure of X. We have $\overline{X} = V(g)$ with $g = (x^4 + (z+w)^3w - (y+2w)(z+w)^2w)(y -$

 $w) \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y, z, w]$. We follow the steps of Algorithm [2](#page-11-1) for computing the Whitney (a) stratification of the shifted Xano defined by g .

1-2. Using the prime decomposition, we obtain

$$
I = \langle g \rangle = \{ \langle y - w \rangle \cap \langle x^4 + (z + w)^3 w - (y + 2w)(z + w)^2 w \rangle, I_{\text{sing}} = \langle z + w, x \rangle \cap \langle y - w, x^4 + z^3 w - 3zw^3 - 2w^4 \rangle \cap \langle w, y - z, x \rangle.
$$

Then we have $L = \{L_0, L_1\}$, with

$$
L_0 = \{ \langle y - w \rangle, \langle x^4 + (z + w)^3 w - (y + 2w)(z + w)^2 w \rangle \},
$$

\n
$$
L_1 = \{ \langle z + w, x \rangle, \langle y - w, x^4 + z^3 w - 3zw^3 - 2w^4 \rangle, \langle w, y - z, x \rangle \}.
$$

3. By iterating and calling Algorithm [1,](#page-10-1) we have

$$
L_2 = \{ \langle z+w, y-w, x \rangle, \langle w, z, x \rangle, \langle z+w, y+2w, x \rangle \} \}.
$$

4. Return

$$
L = \{ \{ \langle y - w \rangle, \langle x^4 + (z + w)^3 w - (y + 2w)(z + w)^2 w \rangle \},\
$$

$$
\{ \langle z + w, x \rangle, \langle y - w, x^4 + z^3 w - 3zw^3 - 2w^4 \rangle, \langle w, y - z, x \rangle \},\
$$

$$
\{ \langle z + w, y - w, x \rangle, \langle w, z, x \rangle, \langle z + w, y + 2w, x \rangle \} \}
$$

Finally, taking $w = 1$, we get a Whitney (a) stratification of $V(f)$:

(1). $F_0 = V(f)$, (2). $F_1 = \mathbf{V}(x, z+1) \cup \mathbf{V}(y-1, x^4 + z^3 - 3z - 2),$ (3). $F_2 = \{(0, 1, -1), (0, -2, -1)\}.$

The extreme point $p = (0, -2, -1)$ is in F_2 .

6.2. Teardrop.

Example 6.2. Let $f = (z^2 + y^2 - (x+1)(x-1)^2)(y-5(x-1))(y+5(x-1))$ 1)) + $\frac{1}{10}(x-1)yz^2 \in \mathbb{Q}[x,y,z], I = \langle f \rangle, X = \mathbf{V}(I)$ and $\overline{X} = \mathbf{V}(g)$, with $g = (z^2w + y^2w - (x+w)(x-w)^2)(y-5(x-w))(y+5(x-w)) + \frac{1}{10}w(x-w)yz^2$ $\mathbb{Q}[x, y, z, w]$. The following convex set K' is a perturbation of the teardrop:

$$
K' = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid f \le 0, 5(x - 1) \le y \le -5(x - 1)\}.
$$

The algebraic boundary of K' is $V(f)$. The singular locus of $\partial_a K'$ is the union of $V(I_1)$, $V(I_2)$, $V(I_3)$ where

$$
I_1 = \langle y, x - 1 \rangle,
$$

\n
$$
I_2 = \langle z, -115 + y, x - 24 \rangle,
$$

\n
$$
I_3 = \langle z, 115 + y, x - 24 \rangle.
$$

The 2nd singular locus of $V(f)$ is an empty set. The extreme point $p = (1, 0, 0)$ lies on the line defined by I_1 , but p is not contained in any irreducible component of the iterated singular loci. The dual of p is the hyperplane $\mathbf{V}(x+1)$, which is an irreducible component of $\partial_a(K)^\circ$ [\[27,](#page-15-7) Corollary 3.9. For this example, computing the ideal of $C(X)$ suffers from coefficient swell, we use Macaulay2 and Maple to compute a Whitney (a) stratification of X . Here are the compuation results:

1-2. Using the prime decomposition, we obtain

 $I = \langle q \rangle$, $I_{\text{sing}} = \langle y, x - w \rangle \cap \langle w, x, y^2 + z^2 \rangle$ $\bigcap \langle z, y - 115w, x - 24w \rangle \bigcap \langle z, y + 115w, x - 24w \rangle$

Then we have $L = \{L_0, L_1\}$, with

$$
L_0 = \{ \langle g \rangle \},
$$

\n
$$
L_1 = \{ \langle y, x - w \rangle, \langle w, x, y^2 + z^2 \rangle, \langle z, y - 115w, x - 24w \rangle, \langle z, y + 115w, x - 24w \rangle \}.
$$

3. By iterating and calling Algorithm [1,](#page-10-1) we have

$$
L_2 = \{ \langle w - x, y, z \rangle, \langle w, y, x \rangle \} \}.
$$

4. Return

$$
L = \{ \langle g \rangle \},
$$

\n
$$
\{ \langle y, x - w \rangle, \langle w, x, y^2 + z^2 \rangle, \langle z, y - 115w, x - 24w \rangle, \langle z, y + 115w, x - 24w \rangle \},
$$

\n
$$
\{ \langle w - x, y, z \rangle, \langle w, y, x \rangle \} \}
$$

Finally, taking $w = 1$, we get a Whitney (a) stratification of $V(f)$:

(1). $F_0 = V(f)$, (2). $F_1 = \mathbf{V}(x-1, y) \cup \{(24, 115, 0)\} \cup \{(24, -115, 0)\},\$ (3). $F_2 = \{(1,0,0)\}.$

The extreme point $p = (1, 0, 0)$ is in F_2 .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Zijia Li and Lihong Zhi are supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2023YFA1009401) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (12071467). Zhi-Hong Yang is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (12201425). Zijia Li is partially supported by the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences 0640000 & XDB0640200. The authors acknowledge the support of the Institut Henri Poincaré (UAR 839 CNRS-Sorbonne Université) and LabEx CARMIN (ANR-10-LABX-59-01). We would like to express our sincere gratitude to Prof. Rainer Sinn for clarifying the question arising from the example of Teardrop. We thank the reviewers for their valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Blekherman, P. A. Parrilo, and R. R. Thomas. Semidefinite optimization and convex algebraic geometry. SIAM, 2012.
- [2] J. Bochnak, M. Coste, and M.-F. Roy. Real algebraic geometry, volume 36 of Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. Translated from the 1987 French original, Revised by the authors.
- [3] J. Canny. Computing roadmaps of general semi-algebraic sets. Comput. J., 36(5):504– 514, 1993.
- [4] D. A. Cox, J. Little, and D. O'Shea. *Ideals, varieties, and algorithms*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, fourth edition, 2015.
- [5] A. G. Flores and B. Teissier. Local polar varieties in the geometric study of singularities. Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6), 27(4):679–775, 2018.
- [6] I. M. Gelfand, M. M. Kapranov, and A. V. Zelevinsky. Discriminants, resultants and multidimensional determinants. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2008. Reprint of the 1994 edition.
- [7] J. Gouveia and R. Thomas. Convex hulls of algebraic sets. Handbook on semidefinite, conic and polynomial optimization, pages 113–138, 2012.
- [8] J. a. Gouveia, P. A. Parrilo, and R. R. Thomas. Theta bodies for polynomial ideals. SIAM J. Optim., 20(4):2097–2118, 2010.
- [9] F. Guo, M. Safey El Din, C. Wang, and L. Zhi. Optimizing a parametric linear function over a non-compact real algebraic variety. In ISSAC'15—Proceedings of the 2015 ACM International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation, pages 205–212. ACM, New York, 2015.
- [10] H. Hauser. Gallery of singular algebraic surfaces. Available at [https://homepage.](https://homepage.univie.ac.at/herwig.hauser/gallery.html) [univie.ac.at/herwig.hauser/gallery.html](https://homepage.univie.ac.at/herwig.hauser/gallery.html).
- [11] M. Helmer and V. Nanda. Conormal spaces and Whitney stratifications. Found. Comput. Math., 23(5):1745–1780, 2023.
- [12] M. Helmer and V. Nanda. Correction to: Conormal spaces and whitney stratifications. Found. Comput. Math., pages 1–8, 2023.
- [13] M. Helmer and V. Nanda. Effective whitney stratification of real algebraic varieties. arXiv preprint [arXiv:2307.05427](http://arxiv.org/abs/2307.05427), 2023.
- [14] J.-P. Henry and M. Merle. Limites de normales, conditions de Whitney et éclatement d'Hironaka. 40:575–584, 1983.
- [15] S. T. Đinh and Z. Jelonek. Thom isotopy theorem for nonproper maps and computation of sets of stratified generalized critical values. Discrete Comput. Geom., 65(1):279–304, 2021.
- [16] N. Li, Z. Li, Z.-H. Yang, and L. Zhi. The integral closure of a primary ideal is not always primary. J. Symbolic Comput., 125:102315, 2024.
- [17] J. Mather. Notes on topological stability. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), 49(4):475– 506, 2012.
- [18] T. Mostowski and E. Rannou. Complexity of the computation of the canonical Whitney stratification of an algebraic set in \mathbb{C}^n . In Applied algebra, algebraic algorithms and error-correcting codes (New Orleans, LA, 1991), volume 539 of Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., pages 281–291. Springer, Berlin, 1991.
- [19] D. Mumford and T. Oda. Algebraic geometry II, volume 73 of Texts and Readings in Mathematics. Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2015.
- [20] J. Nie, K. Ranestad, and B. Sturmfels. The algebraic degree of semidefinite programming. Math. Program., 122(2):379–405, 2010.
- [21] K. Ranestad and B. Sturmfels. The convex hull of a variety. In Notions of positivity and the geometry of polynomials, Trends Math., pages 331–344. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011.
- [22] K. Ranestad and B. Sturmfels. On the convex hull of a space curve. Adv. Geom., 12(1):157–178, 2012.
- [23] E. Rannou. The complexity of stratification computation. Discrete Comput. Geom., 19(1):47–78, 1998.
- [24] R. T. Rockafellar. Convex analysis. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997. Reprint of the 1970 original, Princeton Paperbacks.
- [25] P. Rostalski and B. Sturmfels. Dualities in convex algebraic geometry. Rend. Mat. Appl. (7), 30(3-4):285–327, 2010.
- [26] P. Rostalski and B. Sturmfels. Chapter 5: Dualities. In Semidefinite Optimization and Convex Algebraic Geometry, pages 203–249. SIAM, 2012.
- [27] R. Sinn. Algebraic boundaries of convex semi-algebraic sets. Res. Math. Sci., 2:Art. 3, 18, 2015.
- [28] B. Teissier. Varietes polaires ii: Multiplicités polaires, sections planes, et conditions de whitney. In J. M. Aroca, R. Buchweitz, M. Giusti, and M. Merle, editors, Algebraic Geometry, pages 314–491, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1982. Springer.
- [29] D. Trotman. Stratification theory. In Handbook of geometry and topology of singular*ities. I*, pages 243–273. Springer, Cham, [2020] ©2020.
- [30] N. N. Vorobjov. Effective stratification of regular real algebraic varieties. In Real algebraic geometry (Rennes, 1991), volume 1524 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 402–415. Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [31] C. Wang, Z.-H. Yang, and L. Zhi. Global optimization of polynomials over real algebraic sets. J. Syst. Sci. Complex., 32(1):158–184, 2019.
- [32] H. Whitney. Elementary structure of real algebraic varieties. Ann. of Math. (2), 66:545–556, 1957.
- [33] H. Whitney. Local properties of analytic varieties. In *Differential and Combinatorial* Topology (A Symposium in Honor of Marston Morse), pages 205–244. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1965.
- [34] H. Whitney. Tangents to an analytic variety. Ann. of Math. (2), 81:496-549, 1965.

KLMM, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China E-mail address: <daizihao@amss.ac.cn>; <lizijia@amss.ac.cn>; <lzhi@mmrc.iss.ac.cn>

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central South University,Changsha,China E-mail address: <yangzhihong@csu.edu.cn>