

SPECTRAL ANALYSIS OF A COMPLEX SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR IN THE SEMICLASSICAL LIMIT

YANIV ALMOG AND RAPHAËL HENRY

ABSTRACT. We consider the Dirichlet realization of the operator $-h^2\Delta + iV$ in the semi-classical limit $h \rightarrow 0$, where V is a smooth real potential with no critical points. For a one dimensional setting, we obtain the complete asymptotic expansion, in powers of h , of each eigenvalue. In two dimensions we obtain the left margin of the spectrum, under some additional assumptions.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the operator

$$(1.1a) \quad \mathcal{A}_h = -h^2\Delta + iV,$$

defined on

$$(1.1b) \quad D(\mathcal{A}_h) = H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \cap H^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}),$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^2 .

We seek an approximation for $\inf \operatorname{Re} \sigma(\mathcal{A}_h)$ in the limit $h \rightarrow 0$. The domain Ω is smooth, i.e., $\partial\Omega \subset C^3$ and the potential V is at least in $C^3(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R})$. Let $\partial\Omega_\perp$ denote a subset of $\partial\Omega$ where $\nabla V \perp \partial\Omega$. Note that in view of the continuity of V on $\partial\Omega$, we must have $\partial\Omega_\perp \neq \emptyset$. Let $x_0 \in \partial\Omega_\perp$ satisfy

$$c_m = |\nabla V(x_0)| = \min_{x \in \partial\Omega_\perp} |\nabla V(x)|.$$

Denote by \mathcal{S} the set

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{S} = \{x \in \partial\Omega_\perp : |\nabla V(x)| = |\nabla V(x_0)|, V(x) = V(x_0)\}.$$

(Note that in the case where x_0 is not unique, \mathcal{S} depends on the choice of x_0 .) For every $x \in \mathcal{S}$ set

$$c(x) = \nabla V(x) \cdot \nu(x) = \pm c_m,$$

and

$$\alpha(x) = t \cdot D^2V(x)t - \kappa(x) \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu}(x) \quad t \cdot \nu(x) = 0, |t| = 1,$$

where ν is the outward normal and κ denotes the local curvature. (Note that $\alpha = \partial^2 V / \partial s^2$ where s is the arclength on $\partial\Omega$.) We now assume that

$$(1.3) \quad \alpha(x)c(x) > 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Without any loss of generality we may then assume $\alpha(x) > 0$ in \mathcal{S} , otherwise we may consider $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_h$ instead of \mathcal{A}_h .

The spectral analysis of (1.1) has several applications in Mathematical Physics, among them are the Orr-Sommerfeld equations in fluid dynamics [12], the Ginzburg-Landau equation in the presence of electric current (when magnetic field effects are neglected), and the null controllability of Kolomogorov type equations [6]. In [3, 10] it has been established that

$$(1.4) \quad \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} h^{-2/3} \inf \operatorname{Re} \sigma(\mathcal{A}_h) \geq \frac{|\mu_1|}{2} c_m^{2/3},$$

where μ_1 is the rightmost zero of Airy's function [1].

We note that (1.4) has been obtained without the need to assume (1.3). In the present contribution we seek an upper bound for $\inf \operatorname{Re} \sigma(\mathcal{A}_h)$. It is to this end that we make that additional assumption. Our main result is the following

Theorem 1.1. *Let \mathcal{A}_h denote the Dirichlet realization of a Schrödinger operator with a purely imaginary potential $V \in C^3(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, satisfying $\nabla V \neq 0$ in $\bar{\Omega}$, given by (1.1). Suppose that V satisfies (1.3). Then, there exists $\lambda(h) \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}_h)$ satisfying*

$$(1.5) \quad \left| \lambda - iV(x_0) - e^{i\pi/3} |\mu_1| (c_m h)^{2/3} - \sqrt{2\alpha} e^{i\pi/4} h \right| \sim o(h) \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\alpha = \alpha(x_0)$.

An immediate corollary follows

Corollary 1.2. *Under the above assumptions we have that*

$$(1.6) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h^{-2/3} \inf \operatorname{Re} \sigma(\mathcal{A}_h) = \frac{|\mu_1|}{2} c_m^{2/3},$$

Remark 1.3. *While we do not prove that here, it appears that (1.6) can be extended to higher dimensions. Let $D_{\parallel}^2 V$ denote the Hessian matrix of V with respect to a local curvilinear coordinate system defined on $\partial\Omega$ (including, of course, curvature effects). Suppose that $D_{\parallel}^2 V(x)$ is either positive or negative. Then, we set α in the following manner*

$$\alpha(x) = \operatorname{sign}(D_{\parallel}^2 V(x)) \inf_{\substack{t \cdot \nu(x) = 0 \\ |t|=1}} |t \cdot D_{\parallel}^2 V(x) t|,$$

and assume (1.3) once again.

Remark 1.4. *Let \mathcal{A}_h^N denote the Neumann realization of \mathcal{A}_h . By using the same techniques as in the sequel, one can obtain an upper bound for $\inf \operatorname{Re} \sigma(\mathcal{A}_h^N)$. In this case, μ_1 will be replaced by the rightmost critical point Airy's function.*

Finally, we note that it has been established in [10] that for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exist positive M_ϵ and h_ϵ such that for all $h \in (0, h_\epsilon)$ we have the following upper bound for the semigroup associated with $-\mathcal{A}_h$,

$$\|e^{-t\mathcal{A}_h}\| \leq M_\epsilon \exp\{-(c_m^{2/3} |\mu_1| / 2 - \epsilon) h^{2/3} t\}.$$

From (1.5) we can now establish that for some positive M , C and h_0 the following lower bound for the semigroup holds for all $h \in (0, h_0)$

$$\|e^{-t\mathcal{A}_h}\| \geq M \exp \left\{ -c_m^{2/3} \frac{|\mu_1|}{2} h^{2/3} (1 + Ch^{1/3}) t \right\}.$$

The rest of this contribution is arranged as follows: in the next section we consider a one-dimensional version of (1.1). Assuming that $V \in C^\infty([0, a], \mathbb{R})$ we obtain the complete asymptotic expansion, as $h \rightarrow 0$, of any eigenvalue $\lambda_k \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}_h)$ (k is fixed in the limit). In Section 3 we construct the quasimode associated with the eigenvalue given in (1.5), and in the last section provide a rigorous proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. THE ONE-DIMENSIONAL CASE

2.1. Statement of the results. Let $a > 0$ and $V \in C^\infty([0, a]; \mathbb{R})$ such that V has no critical point in $[0, a]$. Consider then the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator \mathcal{A}_h defined on $(0, a)$ by

$$\mathcal{A}_h = -h^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + i(V - V(0)),$$

with domain

$$D(\mathcal{A}_h) = H_0^1([0, a], \mathbb{C}) \cap H^2([0, a], \mathbb{C}).$$

The main result we prove in this section is the following:

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that, for all $x \in [0, a]$, $V'(x) \neq 0$. Then, for all $n \geq 1$, there exists a complex sequence $(\alpha_{j,n})_{j \geq 1}$ and an eigenvalue $\lambda_n(h) \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}_h)$ such that, as $h \rightarrow 0$,*

$$(2.1) \quad h^{-2/3} \lambda_n(h) \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{\sim} e^{\sigma i \pi / 3} |V'(0)|^{2/3} |\mu_n| + \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_{j,n} h^{2j/3} + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty),$$

where σ is the (constant) sign of the function V' .

Similarly, one could also prove the existence of another sequence $(\nu_n(h))_{n \geq 1}$ of eigenvalues satisfying an asymptotic expansion of the form

$$(2.2) \quad \nu_n(h) \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{\sim} i(V(a) - V(0) - a) + e^{\sigma i \pi / 3} |V'(a)|^{2/3} |\mu_n| h^{2/3} + \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \beta_{j,n} h^{2(j+1)/3} + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$$

by applying the transformation $x \rightarrow a - x$. Similar results have previously been obtained in the particular cases $V(x) = x$ and $V(x) = x^2$, see [12] and [6].

Remark 2.2. *Theorem 2.1 establishes existence of two sequences of eigenvalues of \mathcal{A}_h , respectively obeying (2.1) and (2.2). The fact that these sequences constitute the entire spectrum of \mathcal{A}_h for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq Mh^{2/3}$ for any positive M follows from [10, Proposition 6.1].*

Let $\varepsilon = h^{2/3}$. It is more convenient to obtain the spectrum of \mathcal{A}_h by first applying the dilation operator $U : L^2(0, a) \rightarrow L^2(0, a/\varepsilon)$ defined by

$$(Uu)(\cdot/\varepsilon) = u(\cdot).$$

Let

$$V_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{V(\varepsilon x)}{\varepsilon}.$$

Then by applying the above dilation we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{\varepsilon} U^{-1} \mathcal{A}_h U = \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + i \left(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} \right),$$

defined on

$$D(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon) = (H_0^1 \cap H^2)((0, a/\varepsilon), \mathbb{C}).$$

2.2. Quasimode construction. In the following we construct quasimodes and approximate eigenvalues for \mathcal{A}_ε in the neighborhood of the boundary point $x = 0$. In particular, we obtain the asymptotic expansion (2.1) for each approximate eigenvalue.

Proposition 2.3. *Assume that, for all $x \in [0, a]$, $V'(x) \neq 0$. Let $n \geq 1$ and σ denote the sign of V' . Then there exists $\psi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon)$ and a complex sequence $(\nu_j)_{j \geq 2}$ such that*

$$(2.4) \quad \|(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \nu(\varepsilon))\psi_\varepsilon\| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty)\|\psi_\varepsilon\|,$$

where

$$(2.5) \quad \nu(\varepsilon) = e^{\sigma i \pi / 3} |V'(0)|^{2/3} |\mu_n| + \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \nu_j \varepsilon^j + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty)$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. We approximate \mathcal{A}_ε at any order N by the operator

$$A_N(\varepsilon) = A_0 + \sum_{j=1}^N V_j \varepsilon^j \quad \text{on } (0, +\infty),$$

where

$$A_0 = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + i\beta_0 x, \quad \beta_0 = V'(0),$$

$$V_j = i\beta_j x^{j+1}, \quad \beta_j = \frac{V^{(j+1)}(0)}{(j+1)!}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, for all $N \geq 1$, we look for a quasimode $u^N(x, \varepsilon)$ and an approximate eigenvalue $\lambda^N(\varepsilon)$ in the form

$$(2.6) \quad u^N(x, \varepsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^N u_j(x) \varepsilon^j, \quad \lambda^N(\varepsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^N \lambda_j \varepsilon^j,$$

satisfying

$$\left(A_0 + \sum_{j=1}^N V_j \varepsilon^j\right) u^N(x, \varepsilon) = \lambda^N(\varepsilon) u^N(x, \varepsilon) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{N+1}).$$

To this end, we need to successively solve the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} (A_0 - \lambda_0)u_0 &= 0, \\ (A_0 - \lambda_0)u_1 &= -(V_1 - \lambda_1)u_0, \\ (2.7) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.8) \qquad (A_0 - \lambda_0)u_k = -\sum_{j=1}^k (V_j - \lambda_j)u_{k-j}, \quad k = 1, \dots, N.$$

Consider the first equation. If $\beta_0 > 0$, we can use the scale change $x \mapsto \beta_0^{1/3}x$ and the well-known properties of the complex Airy operator [3] to obtain

$$\sigma(A_0) = \{\beta_0^{1/3} \mu_n e^{-2i\pi/3} : n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

where μ_n denotes the n -th zero of the Airy function Ai . The associated eigenfunctions are

$$x \mapsto Ai(\beta_0^{1/3} e^{i\pi/6} x + \mu_n).$$

If $\beta_0 < 0$, then the operator A_0 is the adjoint of $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + i|\beta_0|x$. Hence,

$$\sigma(A_0) = \{|\beta_0|^{1/3} \mu_n e^{+2i\pi/3} : n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

and the eigenfunctions are given by

$$x \mapsto \overline{Ai(\beta_0^{1/3} e^{i\pi/6} x + \mu_n)}.$$

Therefore, for any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we choose

$$(2.9) \qquad \lambda_0 = \lambda_{0,n} = |\beta_0|^{1/3} \mu_n e^{\sigma 2i\pi/3},$$

and $u_0 = u_{0,n}$ to be a corresponding eigenfunction.

Next, consider the second equation. To ensure the existence of a u_1 , we first select λ_1 such that

$$(V_1 - \lambda_1)u_0 \in \text{Im}(A_0 - \lambda_0) = \ker(A_0^* - \bar{\lambda}_0)^\perp.$$

Since $\ker(A_0^* - \bar{\lambda}_0) = \langle \bar{u}_0 \rangle$ we may conclude that

$$(2.10) \qquad \lambda_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} u_0(x)^2 dx = i\beta_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} x^2 u_0(x)^2 dx.$$

Furthermore, as $u_0(x) = Ai(\beta_0^{1/3} e^{i\pi/6} x + \mu_n)$ (respectively $u_0(x) = \overline{Ai(\beta_0^{1/3} e^{i\pi/6} x + \mu_n)}$) for $\beta_0 > 0$ (respectively $\beta_0 < 0$), Cauchy Theorem and the decay of Ai in the sector $\{|\arg z| \leq \pi/3\}$ immediately yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} u_0(x)^2 dx = e^{-i\pi/6} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} Ai^2(\beta_0^{1/3} x + \mu_n) dx \neq 0.$$

Thus, we may select

$$(2.11) \quad \lambda_1 = i\beta_1 \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} x^2 u_0(x)^2 dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} u_0(x)^2 dx} = i\beta_1 e^{-i\pi/3} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} x^2 A i^2 (\beta_0^{1/3} x + \mu_n) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} A i^2 (\beta_0^{1/3} x + \mu_n) dx},$$

and there exists $u_1 \in D(A_0)$ such that

$$(A_0 - \lambda_0)u_1 = -V_1 u_0.$$

Assuming that the first k equations are solved by $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{k-1}$, u_0, \dots, u_{k-1} , we have to choose such λ_k so that a solution u_k to the $(k+1)$ -th equation exists. It easily follows that the solvability condition is

$$-\sum_{j=1}^k (V_j - \lambda_j)u_{k-j} \in \ker(A_0^* - \bar{\lambda}_0)^\perp,$$

yielding

$$(2.12) \quad \lambda_k = \frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} u_0(x)^2 dx} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (i\beta_j x^{j+1} - \lambda_j) u_{k-j}(x) u_0(x) dx + i\beta_k \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} x^{k+1} u_0(x)^2 dx \right).$$

For this value of λ_k , there exists $u_k \in \mathcal{D}(A_0)$ satisfying (2.8). Invoking inductive arguments, we assume that each function u_0, \dots, u_{k-1} is in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Then, it easily follows that $u_k \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+)$. We can then set $u(x, \varepsilon)$ and $\lambda(\varepsilon)$ to be some appropriate Borel sums of the formal series $\sum u_j(x)\varepsilon^j$ and $\sum \lambda_j \varepsilon^j$, respectively.

We now construct from $u(\cdot, \varepsilon)$ a quasimode satisfying the desired boundary conditions. Let $c_0 > 0$ and $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty((-c_0, c_0); [0, 1])$ be such that $\chi(y) = 1$ for all $y \in [-c_0/2, c_0/2]$, and such that χ', χ'' are bounded. We set

$$\chi_\varepsilon(x) = \chi(\varepsilon^{1-\rho} x).$$

Then, for $p = 1, 2$, we have

$$(2.13) \quad \mathbb{R}_+ \cap \text{Supp } \chi_\varepsilon^{(p)} \subset [c_0 \varepsilon^{\rho-1}/2, c_0 \varepsilon^{\rho-1}],$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\chi_\varepsilon^{(p)}(x)| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{p(1-\rho)}).$$

We next define

$$\psi_\varepsilon(x) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+}(x) \chi_\varepsilon(x) u(x, \varepsilon).$$

Then, we write

$$\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon = A_0 + \sum_{j=1}^N V_j(x) \varepsilon^j + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} R_{N+1}(\varepsilon, x),$$

where R_{N+1} denotes the remainder term in the $(N+1)$ -th order Taylor expansion of V near $x=0$ (so that $\varepsilon^{-1}R_{N+1}(\varepsilon x)$ is of order $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{N+1})$).

Then, we have

$$(2.15) \quad (\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon))\psi_\varepsilon = \chi_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon))u(\cdot, \varepsilon) + [\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, \chi_\varepsilon]u(\cdot, \varepsilon).$$

We seek an estimate for both terms on the right-hand side. Consider the first term, for which we have

$$(2.16) \quad \|\chi_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon))u(\cdot, \varepsilon)\| \leq \left\| \left(A_0 + \sum_{j=1}^N V_j \varepsilon^j - \lambda(\varepsilon) \right) u(\cdot, \varepsilon) \right\| + \|\varepsilon^{-1}R_{N+1}(\varepsilon, \cdot)u(\cdot, \varepsilon)\|.$$

By the construction of u and λ , the first term on the right-hand side is of order $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{N+1})$. Furthermore, there exists $c_N > 0$ such that

$$(2.17) \quad \|\varepsilon^{-1}R_{N+1}(\varepsilon \cdot)u(\cdot, \varepsilon)\| \leq c_N \varepsilon^{N+1} \|x^{N+2}u(\cdot, \varepsilon)\| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{N+1}),$$

where we made use of the fact that $u(\cdot, \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore, there exists $K_N > 0$ such that

$$(2.18) \quad \|\chi_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon))u(\cdot, \varepsilon)\| \leq K_N \varepsilon^{N+1}.$$

Consider, next, the commutator term in (2.15). Since $u(\cdot, \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, (2.13) and (2.14) yield

$$(2.19) \quad \|[\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, \chi_\varepsilon]u(\cdot, \varepsilon)\| \leq 2\|\chi'_\varepsilon \partial_x u(\cdot, \varepsilon)\| + \|\chi''_\varepsilon u(\cdot, x)\| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty)\|\psi_\varepsilon\|.$$

Finally, by (2.15), (2.18) and (2.19), we have

$$\|(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon))\psi_\varepsilon\| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty)\|\psi_\varepsilon\|.$$

■

2.3. Proof of Theorem 2.1. Once the quasimodes associated with the approximate eigenvalues (2.1) have been found, it remains necessary to prove that such eigenvalues indeed exist in $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_h)$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and λ_n be given by the expansion (2.1). Let $\lambda = \lambda_n + r e^{i\theta}$ where $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Then for $\alpha \in (1, 4/3)$, there exist $\delta > 0$, $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and r satisfying $\varepsilon^\alpha < r < \delta$, we have*

$$(2.20) \quad \|(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C}{r}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^2(0, a/\varepsilon)$ and $u \in D(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon)$ satisfy

$$(2.21) \quad (\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda)u = f.$$

Let $\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon$ satisfy

$$\chi_\varepsilon^2 + \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon^2 = 1$$

and

$$(2.22) \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\nabla \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon(x)| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{(1-\rho)}).$$

Taking the inner product in $L^2(0, a/\varepsilon)$ of (2.21) with $\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon^2 u$ we obtain from the real part

$$\|\nabla(\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u)\|_2^2 = \operatorname{Re} \langle \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u, \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon f \rangle + \|u \nabla \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \operatorname{Re} \lambda \|\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u\|_2^2.$$

Hence,

$$(2.23) \quad \|\nabla(\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u)\|_2 \leq C(\varepsilon^{-(1-\rho)} \|\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon f\|_2 + \|\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u\|_2 + \varepsilon^{1-\rho} \|u\|_2).$$

From the imaginary part of the above inner product we obtain that

$$\langle \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon (V_\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{-1} V(0)) u, \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u \rangle = \operatorname{Im} \langle \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u, \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon f \rangle + \operatorname{Im} \langle \nabla(\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u), u \nabla \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon \rangle + \operatorname{Im} \lambda \|\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u\|_2^2.$$

Since

$$\min_{x \in (0, a/\varepsilon)} |\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon (V_\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{-1} V(0))| \geq C \varepsilon^{\rho-1},$$

We obtain that

$$\|\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u\|_2^2 \leq C \varepsilon^{1-\rho} [\|\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon f\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{2(1-\rho)} \|\nabla(\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u)\|_2^2 + \|u\|_2^2].$$

With the aid of (2.23) we then obtain

$$(2.24) \quad \|\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u\|_2 \leq C \varepsilon^{(1-\rho)/2} (\|u\|_2 + \|f\|_2).$$

We next seek an estimate for $\|\chi_\varepsilon u\|_2$. To this end we write

$$(2.25) \quad (A_0 - \lambda)(\chi_\varepsilon u) = \chi_\varepsilon f - i \left(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x \right) \chi_\varepsilon u + [\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, \chi_\varepsilon] u.$$

Denote by v_n the eigenfunction of A_0 associated with the eigenvalue $e^{i\pi/3} \beta_0^{1/3} \mu_n$. For any $g \in L^2(0, a/\varepsilon)$ let

$$\Pi_n g = \langle \bar{v}_n, g \rangle v_n.$$

Let further

$$w_n = (I - \Pi_n)(\chi_\varepsilon u).$$

By (2.25) we easily obtain that

$$(A_0 - \lambda)w_n = (I - \Pi_n) \left(\chi_\varepsilon f - i \left(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x \right) \chi_\varepsilon u + [\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, \chi_\varepsilon] u \right).$$

By the Riesz-Schauder theory for compact operators (cf. [2] for instance) we have that

$$(A_0 - \lambda)^{-1} = \frac{\Pi_n}{\lambda - \lambda_{0,n}} + T_n(\lambda),$$

where $T_n(\lambda)$ is holomorphic, and hence bounded, in some fixed neighborhood of $\lambda_{0,n}$. Consequently, there exists $C(n, \beta_0)$ such that $\|(A_0 - \lambda)^{-1}(I - \Pi_n)\| \leq C$, and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_n\|_2 &\leq C \left\| \left(\chi_\varepsilon f - i \left(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x \right) \chi_\varepsilon u + [\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, \chi_\varepsilon] u \right) \right\|_2 \\ &\leq C \left(\|f\|_2 + \left\| \left(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x \right) \chi_\varepsilon u \right\|_2 + \|[\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, \chi_\varepsilon] u\|_2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|w_n\|_2 \leq C(\|f\|_2 + [\varepsilon^{2\rho-1} + \varepsilon^{2(1-\rho)}]\|u\|_2 + \varepsilon^{1-\rho}\|\nabla u\|_2),$$

and since

$$(2.26) \quad \|\nabla u\|_2^2 = \operatorname{Re} \langle u, f \rangle + \operatorname{Re} \lambda \|u\|_2^2,$$

we obtain that

$$(2.27) \quad \|w_n\|_2 \leq C(\|f\|_2 + [\varepsilon^{2\rho-1} + \varepsilon^{1-\rho}]\|u\|_2).$$

To complete the proof, we seek an estimate for $\Pi_n(\chi_\varepsilon u)$. Taking the inner product of (2.25) with $\chi_\varepsilon \bar{v}_n$ yields

$$(2.28) \quad (e^{i\pi/3}\beta_0^{1/3}\mu_n - \lambda)\gamma_n = \langle \bar{v}_n, f \rangle + \langle [A_0, \chi_\varepsilon]\bar{v}_n, \chi_\varepsilon u \rangle - \langle \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon \bar{v}_n, \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon f \rangle + \\ i \langle \bar{v}_n, \left(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x \right) \chi_\varepsilon u \rangle + \langle \chi_\varepsilon \bar{v}_n, [A_0, \chi_\varepsilon] u \rangle + \\ (e^{i\pi/3}\beta_0^{1/3}\mu_n - \lambda) \langle \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon v_n, \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u \rangle - i \left\langle (1 - \chi_\varepsilon) \bar{v}_n, \left(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x \right) \chi_\varepsilon u \right\rangle,$$

where

$$\gamma_n = \langle \bar{v}_n, \chi_\varepsilon u \rangle.$$

By the exponential decay of v_n and (2.26) we have that

$$(2.29) \quad \left| \langle [A_0, \chi_\varepsilon]\bar{v}_n, \chi_\varepsilon u \rangle - \langle \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon \bar{v}_n, \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon f \rangle + (e^{i\pi/3}\beta_0^{1/3}\mu_n - \lambda) \langle \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon v_n, \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u \rangle - \right. \\ \left. i \left\langle (1 - \chi_\varepsilon) \bar{v}_n, \left(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x \right) \chi_\varepsilon u \right\rangle \right| \leq C e^{-\varepsilon^{-3(1-\rho)/2}} (\|u\|_2 + \|f\|_2).$$

We next write

$$\left\langle \bar{v}_n, \left(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x \right) \chi_\varepsilon u \right\rangle = \varepsilon \gamma_n \langle \bar{v}_n, \beta_1 x^2 v_n \rangle \\ + \left\langle \bar{v}_n, \left(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x \right) w_n \right\rangle + \gamma_n \left\langle \bar{v}_n, \left(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x - \varepsilon \beta_1 x^2 \right) v_n \right\rangle.$$

We now observe that

$$\left\| \bar{v}_n \left(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x \right) \right\|_2 \leq C \varepsilon,$$

and that

$$\left| \left\langle \bar{v}_n, \left(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x - \varepsilon \beta_1 x^2 \right) v_n \right\rangle \right| \leq C \varepsilon^2.$$

As $|\gamma_n| \leq \|u\|_2$, we obtain with the aid of (2.27) that

$$\left| \left\langle \bar{v}_n, \left(V_\varepsilon - \frac{V(0)}{\varepsilon} - \beta_0 x \right) \chi_\varepsilon u \right\rangle - \varepsilon \gamma_n \langle \bar{v}_n, \beta_1 x^2 v_n \rangle \right| \leq C \varepsilon (\|f\|_2 + [\varepsilon^{2\rho-1} + \varepsilon^{1-\rho}]\|u\|_2).$$

Substituting the above, together with (2.29) into (2.28) yields

$$|(e^{i\pi/3}\beta_0^{1/3}\mu_n + i\varepsilon\gamma_n\langle\bar{v}_n, \beta_1 x^2 v_n\rangle - \lambda)\gamma_n| \leq C(\|f\|_2 + [\varepsilon^{2\rho} + \varepsilon^{2-\rho}]\|u\|_2)$$

Consequently, we must have

$$(2.30) \quad |\gamma_n| \leq \frac{C}{r} (\|f\|_2 + [\varepsilon^{2\rho} + \varepsilon^{2-\rho}]\|u\|_2).$$

We now choose $\rho = 2/3$. Since

$$\|u\|_2 \leq C(|\gamma_n| + \|w_n\|_2 + \|\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon u\|_2),$$

(2.20) easily follows from (2.24), (2.27), and (2.30). ■

Lemma 2.5. *Let $1 < \alpha < 4/3$. Let further*

$$(2.31) \quad \Lambda_{n,N}(\varepsilon) = e^{\sigma i \pi / 3} |\beta_0|^{2/3} |\mu_n| + \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_{j,n} \varepsilon^j.$$

Then, for sufficiently small ε there exists $\lambda_n(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$(2.32) \quad \sigma(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon) \cap B(\Lambda_{n,1}, 2\varepsilon^\alpha) = \{\lambda_n(\varepsilon)\}.$$

Furthermore, the eigenspace associated with $\lambda_n(\varepsilon)$ is of dimension 1.

Proof. We follow the same procedure used in [5, 4] to prove existence of eigenvalues. Let $u_{n,N}$ be given by (2.6) and set $\psi_{n,N} = \chi_\varepsilon u_{n,N}$. Let $\varepsilon^\alpha < r < 2\varepsilon^\alpha$ be such that $\partial B(\Lambda_{n,N}, r) \in \rho(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon)$. Let further $\lambda \in \partial B(\Lambda_{n,N}, r)$. Then, by (2.4) we have

$$(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda)\psi_{n,N} = (\Lambda_{n,N} - \lambda)\psi_{n,N} + \varepsilon^{N+1}f,$$

where $\|f\|_2 \leq C$, for some $C > 0$ which is independent of ε . Applying $(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda)^{-1}$ to both sides of the above equation yields

$$(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda)^{-1}\psi_{n,N} = \frac{1}{\Lambda_{n,N} - \lambda} [\psi_{n,N} - \varepsilon^{N+1}(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda)^{-1}f].$$

Integrating the above identity with respect to λ along $\partial B(\Lambda_{n,N}, r)$ yields

$$P_n \psi_{n,N} = \psi_{n,N} - \oint_{\partial B(\Lambda_{n,N}, r)} \frac{\varepsilon^{N+1}(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda)^{-1}f}{2\pi i(\Lambda_{n,N} - \lambda)} d\lambda,$$

where P_n is the spectral projection

$$(2.33) \quad P_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial B(\Lambda_{n,N}, r)} (\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda.$$

With the aid of (2.20) we then obtain that

$$(2.34) \quad \|(I - P_n)\psi_{n,N}\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{N+1-\alpha}.$$

By Cauchy Theorem we now readily obtain that

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon) \cap B(\Lambda_{n,1}, 2\varepsilon^\alpha) \neq \emptyset.$$

We now prove that $P_n L^2(0, a/\varepsilon)$ is one dimensional. To this end suppose that for some $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in B(\Lambda_{n,1}, 2\varepsilon^\alpha)$ (which can be equal or not) and $w_1, w_2 \in D(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon)$ we have

$$(2.35) \quad (\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \nu_j)w_j = 0 \quad j = 1, 2$$

such that $\|w_1\|_2 = \|w_2\|_2 = 1$ and

$$(2.36) \quad \langle \bar{w}_1, w_2 \rangle = 0.$$

Let further

$$(2.37) \quad f_j = (A_0 - \Lambda_{n,0})(\chi_\varepsilon w_j) \quad j = 1, 2.$$

A simple calculation yields

$$(2.38) \quad f_j = \chi_\varepsilon(\nu_j - \Lambda_{n,0})w_j - i(V_\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{-1}V(0) - \beta_0 x)\chi_\varepsilon w_j + [A_0, \chi_\varepsilon]w_j \quad j = 1, 2.$$

We now turn to estimate the various terms on the right-hand-side of (2.38). Let $j \in \{1, 2\}$. For the first term we easily obtain, since $\nu_j \in B(\Lambda_{n,1}, 2\varepsilon^\alpha)$ that

$$(2.39) \quad \|\chi_\varepsilon(\nu_j - \Lambda_{n,0})w_j\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon.$$

For the second term we have that

$$(2.40) \quad \|(V_\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{-1}V(0) - \beta_0 x)\chi_\varepsilon w_j\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{1-2\rho}.$$

To estimate the last term we take the inner product of (2.35) with w_j to obtain from the real part that

$$\|\nabla w_j\|_2 \leq C.$$

Consequently, we have that

$$\|[A_0, \chi_\varepsilon]w_j\|_2 \leq \|\Delta \chi_\varepsilon w_j\|_2 + 2\|\nabla \chi_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla w_j\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\rho}.$$

Substituting the above, together with (2.39) and (2.40) into (2.38) then yields

$$(2.41) \quad \|f_j\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{1-2\rho}.$$

We now write

$$\chi_\varepsilon w_j = (\chi_\varepsilon w_j)_\parallel + (\chi_\varepsilon w_j)_\perp,$$

where

$$(\chi_\varepsilon w_j)_\parallel = \langle \bar{u}_0, \chi_\varepsilon w_j \rangle u_0.$$

Applying Riesz-Schauder theory to A_0 yields, by (2.37) and (2.38),

$$\|(\chi_\varepsilon w_j)_\perp\| \leq C\varepsilon^{1-2\rho}.$$

Consequently,

$$|\langle \chi_\varepsilon \bar{w}_1, \chi_\varepsilon w_2 \rangle| \geq 1 - C\varepsilon^{1-2\rho}.$$

Hence, by (2.36) we have that

$$(2.42) \quad |\langle \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon \bar{w}_1, \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon w_2 \rangle| \geq 1 - C\varepsilon^{1-2\rho}.$$

To complete the proof we take again the inner product of (2.35) with w_j to obtain, this time from the imaginary part, that

$$\|(V_\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{-1}V(0))w_j\|_2 \leq C.$$

Hence,

$$\|w_j\|_{L^2(\varepsilon^{\rho-1}, a/\varepsilon)} \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\rho},$$

from which we easily conclude that

$$|\langle \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon \bar{w}_1, \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon w_2 \rangle| \leq \|w_1\|_{L^2(\varepsilon^{\rho-1}, a/\varepsilon)} \|w_2\|_{L^2(\varepsilon^{\rho-1}, a/\varepsilon)} \leq C\varepsilon^{2(1-\rho)},$$

contradicting (2.42) and therefore (2.36). ■

Proof of Theorem 2.1 . Recall that by (2.4) we have

$$(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \Lambda_{n,N})\psi_{n,N} = \varepsilon^{N+1}f,$$

where $\|f\|_2$ is uniformly bounded as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. We now apply the spectral projection P_n , defined in (2.33) to both side of the above equations. It can be easily verified that $[P_n, \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon] = 0$. Consequently

$$(2.43) \quad (\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \Lambda_{n,N})P_n\psi_{n,N} = \varepsilon^{N+1}P_nf.$$

By (2.32) we have that

$$(2.44) \quad (\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon - \Lambda_{n,N})P_n\psi_{n,N} = (\lambda_n - \Lambda_{n,N})P_n\psi_{n,N}.$$

By (2.34) we have that

$$\|P_n\psi_{n,N}\|_2 \geq 1 - C\varepsilon^{N+1}.$$

Substituting the above, together with (2.44) into (2.43) then yields

$$|\lambda_n - \Lambda_{n,N}| \leq C\varepsilon^{N+1}$$

Theorem 2.1 now easily follows from (2.3) ■

3. TWO DIMENSIONS: QUASIMODE CONSTRUCTION

Let $\Omega \subset \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a C^3 domain and $V \in C^3(\bar{\Omega})$. Let $\partial\Omega_\perp$ denote the portion of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ where ∇V is orthogonal to $\partial\Omega$. (Note that $\partial\Omega_\perp$ may be finite, but is never empty by the continuity of V on $\partial\Omega$.) Let $x_0 \in \partial\Omega_\perp$ such that

$$|\nabla V(x_0)| = \min_{x \in \partial\Omega_\perp} |\nabla V(x)|,$$

and let $V_0 = V(x_0)$. We look for an approximation of the principal eigenvalue and the corresponding eigenfunction of the operator

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{A}_h = -h^2\Delta + i(V - V_0),$$

defined over

$$D(\mathcal{A}_h) = H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \cap H^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}).$$

Define in a vicinity of $\partial\Omega$ a curvilinear coordinate system (t, s) such that $t = d(x, \partial\Omega)$ and $s(x)$ denotes the distance (or arclength) along $\partial\Omega$ connecting x_0 and the projection of x on $\partial\Omega$. We have

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta = \left(\frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(g \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right),$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad g = 1 - t\kappa(s),$$

and $\kappa(s)$ is the curvature at s on $\partial\Omega$. Expanding Δ near x_0 ($t^2 + s^2 \ll 1$) yields for some $u \in D(\mathcal{A}_h)$

$$(3.4) \quad \Delta u = u_{tt} + u_{ss} + \Upsilon u,$$

where

$$(3.5) \quad \Upsilon u = \left(\frac{1}{g^2} - 1\right)u_{ss} + \frac{t\kappa'}{g^3}u_s - \frac{\kappa}{g}u_t.$$

We next expand V near x_0

$$(3.6) \quad V(s, t) - V_0 = ct + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha s^2 + \beta t^2 + 2\sigma st) + \mathcal{O}((s^2 + t^2)^{3/2}),$$

where

$$c = V_t(x_0) \quad ; \quad \alpha = V_{ss}(x_0) \quad ; \quad \beta = V_{tt}(x_0) \quad ; \quad \sigma = V_{st}(x_0).$$

We note that $V_s(x_0) = 0$ since $x_0 \in \partial\Omega_\perp$. We confine the discussion, in view of (1.3) to the case where $\alpha c > 0$. Without any loss of generality we may assume $c > 0$ (and hence $\alpha > 0$ as well), otherwise we can consider the spectrum of the complex conjugate of \mathcal{A}_h .

We search for an approximate eigenpair (u, λ) of \mathcal{A}_h . Previous works [3, 10] suggest that one should look for such u which is localized near x_0 . Applying the transformation

$$(3.7) \quad \tau = \left(\frac{c}{h^2}\right)^{1/3} t \quad ; \quad \xi = \left(\frac{\alpha}{h^2}\right)^{1/4} s$$

to (3.6) and (3.4) leads to the following approximation for every $u \in D(\mathcal{A}_h)$

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2} \mathcal{A}_h u = -u_{\tau\tau} + i\tau u + \varepsilon^{1/2} \left(-u_{\xi\xi} + \frac{i}{2}\xi^2 u \right) + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}\right)^{3/4} i\sigma\xi\tau u + Ru,$$

where

$$(3.9) \quad \varepsilon = \alpha(h^2/c^4)^{1/3},$$

$\|u\|_2 = 1$, and the operator R satisfies, for all $u \in D(\mathcal{A}_h)$

$$(3.10) \quad Ru = c^{2/3} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{g^2} - 1\right) u_{\xi\xi} + c^{2/3} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}\right)^{9/4} \frac{\tau c^{1/3} \kappa'}{g^3} u_\xi - \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}\right) \frac{c^{1/3} \kappa}{g} u_\tau + i \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2} \left(V(\xi, \tau) - V_0 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha} c^2 \tau - \frac{c^2 \varepsilon^{3/2}}{\alpha} \frac{1}{2} \xi^2 - \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}\right)^{7/4} c^2 \sigma \xi \tau \right).$$

It can be easily verified that for any $0 < \gamma < 1$ we have

$$(3.11) \quad \|Ru\|_{L^2(B_+(0, \varepsilon^{-\gamma}))} \leq C\varepsilon \left[\|\varepsilon^{1/2} |\tau u_{\xi\xi}| + \varepsilon^{5/4} |\tau u_\xi| + |u_\tau| \|_{L^2(B_+(0, \varepsilon^{-\gamma}))} + C\varepsilon \left[\|\tau^2 u\|_{L^2(B_+(0, \varepsilon^{-\gamma}))} + \varepsilon^{1/4} \|\xi^3 u\|_{L^2(B_+(0, \varepsilon^{-\gamma}))} \right]. \right.$$

We seek an approximate solution for $\mathcal{A}_h u = \lambda u$. To this end, we introduce the expansion

$$u \cong u_0 + \varepsilon^{1/4} u_1 + \varepsilon^{1/2} u_2 + \varepsilon^{3/4} u_3 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \quad ; \quad \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2} \lambda = \lambda_0 + \varepsilon^{1/4} \lambda_1 + \varepsilon^{1/2} \lambda_2 + \varepsilon^{3/4} \lambda_3 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon).$$

Substituting into (3.8) leads to the following $\mathcal{O}(1)$ balance

$$(3.12a) \quad \mathcal{L}_\tau u_0 \stackrel{def}{=} -\frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial \tau^2} + i\tau u_0 = \lambda_0 u_0 \quad ; \quad u_0(0, \xi) = 0,$$

where the operator \mathcal{L}_τ is defined over

$$(3.12b) \quad D(\mathcal{L}_\tau) = \{u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}) \cap H_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}) \mid \tau u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})\}.$$

The solution to (3.12) associated with the energy λ_0 having the smallest real part is given by

$$(3.13) \quad u_0(\tau, \xi) = v_0(\tau)w_0(\xi) \quad \text{where} \quad v_0(\tau) = A_i(e^{i\pi/6}\tau + \mu_1),$$

and

$$(3.14) \quad \lambda_0 = e^{-i2\pi/3}\mu_1,$$

where A_i is Airy's function and $\mu_1 < 0$ is its rightmost zero. The function $w_0(\xi)$ will be determined from the $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1/2})$ balance.

The next order, or $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1/4})$, balance in (3.8) assumes the form

$$(3.15) \quad (\mathcal{L}_\tau - \lambda_0)u_1 = \lambda_1 u_0 \quad ; \quad u_1(0, \xi) = 0,$$

Taking the inner product of (3.15) with \bar{v}_0 yields $\lambda_1 = 0$. Hence, $u_1 = v_0(\tau)w_1(\xi)$.

The next order, or $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1/2})$, balance in (3.8) assumes the form

$$(3.16) \quad (\mathcal{L}_\tau - \lambda_0)u_2 = -(\mathcal{L}_\xi - \lambda_2)u_0 \quad ; \quad u_2(0, \xi) = 0,$$

where

$$(3.17) \quad \mathcal{L}_\xi = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{i}{2}\xi^2,$$

is defined over

$$D(\mathcal{L}_\xi) = \{u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) \mid \xi^2 u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})\}$$

For fixed ξ we now take the inner product of the above equation with \bar{v}_0 , in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. After noticing that by Cauchy's Theorem

$$(3.18) \quad \int_0^\infty v_0^2(\tau) d\tau = e^{-i\pi/6} \int_0^\infty A_i^2(x + \mu_1) dx \neq 0,$$

we obtain

$$(\mathcal{L}_\xi - \lambda_2)w_0 = 0.$$

The solution of the above problem corresponding to the λ_2 with smallest real part is given by

$$(3.19) \quad w_0(\xi) = C_0 \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}\xi^2\right\} \quad ; \quad \lambda_2 = \sqrt{2}e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}.$$

The constant C_0 should be obtain, up to a product by -1 , from the normalization condition $\|u\|_2 = 1$. We allow dependence of C_0 on ε (see below). Substituting into (3.16) yields

$$u_2(\tau, \xi) = v_0(\tau)w_2(\xi).$$

For the $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{3/4})$ balance in (3.8) we have

$$(\mathcal{L}_\tau - \lambda_0)u_3 = -v_0(\mathcal{L}_\xi - \lambda_2)w_1 - \left(i\sigma\xi\tau - \lambda_3\right)v_0w_0 \quad ; \quad u_2(0, \xi) = 0.$$

We take once again the inner product of the above balance with \bar{v}_0 to obtain

$$(3.20) \quad (\mathcal{L}_\xi - \lambda_2)w_1 + \left(i\gamma\xi - \lambda_3\right)w_0 = 0,$$

where

$$\gamma = \sigma \frac{\int_0^\infty \tau v_0^2(\tau) d\tau}{\int_0^\infty v_0^2(\tau) d\tau}.$$

Note that this expression is well-defined due to (3.18). Taking the inner product, this time in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$, of (3.20) with w_0 , which is even, yields

$$\lambda_3 = 0.$$

Furthermore, w_1 is the unique solution of

$$(\mathcal{L}_\xi - \lambda_2)w_1 = -i\gamma\xi w_0 \quad ; \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_1(\xi)w_0(\xi) d\xi = 0,$$

and

$$u_3 = v_3(\xi, \tau) + v_0(\tau)w_3(\xi),$$

where v_3 is the unique solution of the problem

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{cases} (\mathcal{L}_\tau - \lambda_0)v_3 = -i\xi(\tau - \gamma)v_0w_0 & \tau > 0 \\ v_3(0, \xi) = 0 \\ \int_0^\infty v_2(\tau, \xi)v_0(\tau)d\tau = 0. \end{cases}$$

Notice that, if $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ denotes the Schwartz space of rapidly decaying functions along with all their derivatives, then the right-hand side in (3.21) belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$. As the operator $-\partial^2/\partial\tau^2 + i\tau - \lambda_0$ is globally elliptic with respect to τ , in the sense of [8, Definition 1.5.6], we have that

$$(3.22) \quad v_3 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^2),$$

(see [8, Theorem 1.6.4]). For the same reason, the $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ balance would yield $w_3 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

We have thus obtained the quasimode

$$(3.23) \quad U = \left(C_0(\varepsilon) \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}\xi^2\right\} + \varepsilon^{1/2}w_1(\xi)\right)A_i(e^{i\pi/6}\tau + \mu_1) \\ + \varepsilon^{3/4}v_3(\xi, \tau) + \varepsilon^{3/4}w_3(\xi)A_i(e^{i\pi/6}\tau + \mu_1).$$

We obtain the various constants by requiring that

$$\|U\|_2 = 1.$$

We now conclude this section by the following proposition

Proposition 3.1. *Let \mathcal{A}_h be given by (3.1) and U by (3.23). Let further*

$$(3.24) \quad \Lambda = \lambda_0 + \varepsilon^{1/2}\lambda_2.$$

Let $\eta_r = \eta_r^0(\tau)\eta_r^1(\xi)$, where $\eta_r^0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, [0, 1])$ and $\eta_r^1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1])$ are chosen so that

$$(3.25) \quad \eta_r = \begin{cases} 1 & |x - x_0| < r \\ 0 & |x - x_0| > 2r, \end{cases} \quad |\nabla \eta_r| \leq \frac{C}{r}.$$

Then,

$$(3.26) \quad \left\| \left(\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2} \mathcal{A}_h - \Lambda \right) (\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} U) \right\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon \|\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} U\|_2.$$

Proof. We first write

$$(3.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2} \mathcal{A}_h (\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} U) &= (\mathcal{L}_\tau + \varepsilon^{1/2} \mathcal{L}_\xi + \varepsilon^{3/4} i \sigma \xi \tau) (\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} U) + R \eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} U \\ &= \Lambda \eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} U + [\mathcal{L}_\tau + \varepsilon^{1/2} \mathcal{L}_\xi, \eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}] U + R \eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} U, \end{aligned}$$

where the operator R is defined by (3.10). We next seek an estimate for the commutator term in (3.27), given by

$$(3.28) \quad [\mathcal{L}_\tau, \eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}] U = -\partial_\tau^2 (\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}) U - 2\partial_\tau \eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} \partial_\tau U.$$

In order to estimate the norm of U and $\partial_\tau U$ on the support of $\partial_\tau^2 \eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}$ and $\partial_\tau \eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}$, we recall the well-known asymptotic behavior of the Airy function [1]:

$$(3.29) \quad Ai(z) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}z^{1/4}} e^{-\frac{2}{3}z^{3/2}} (1 + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/2}))$$

as $|z| \rightarrow +\infty$ in any sector of the form $|\arg z| \leq \pi - \delta$, $\delta > 0$. By (3.23), and since for all $(\tau, \xi) \in \text{Supp } \partial_\tau \eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}$ we have $\varepsilon^{-1/2} \leq \tau \leq 2\varepsilon^{-1/2}$, (3.22) and (3.29) yield

$$\|(\partial_\tau^2 \eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}) U\|_2 \leq C_1 \varepsilon,$$

for some positive constant C_1 .

Since the asymptotic behaviour of Ai' , as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ is not substantially different from (3.29) (cf. [1]), we easily obtain that

$$\|\partial_\tau \eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} \partial_\tau U\|_2 \leq C_2 \varepsilon, \quad C_2 > 0.$$

Thus (3.28) yields, for some $C > 0$,

$$(3.30) \quad \|[\mathcal{L}_\tau, \eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}] U\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon.$$

Due to the decay of the U and $\partial_\xi U$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow +\infty$ (recall that $w_3 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$), we similarly obtain

$$(3.31) \quad \|[\varepsilon^{1/2} \mathcal{L}_\xi, \eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}}] U\|_2 \leq K\varepsilon,$$

for some $K > 0$. can be estimated as follows. Using

To estimate the remaining term $R \eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} U$ we use (3.11) to obtain, for $\alpha \in (1/2, 1)$,

$$(3.32) \quad \|R \eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} U\|_2 \leq \|RU\|_{L^2(B_+(0, \varepsilon^{-\alpha}))} \leq C' \varepsilon$$

for some $C' > 0$. Finally (3.27), (3.30), (3.31) and (3.32) yield, for some positive \tilde{C} and C ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2} \mathcal{A}_h - \Lambda \right) (\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} U) \right\|_2 &\leq C' \varepsilon \\ &\leq C \varepsilon \|\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} U\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the that for some $C'' > 0$, $\|\eta_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}} U\|_2 \geq 1/C''$. ■

4. EIGENVALUE EXISTENCE

Let \mathcal{L}_τ and \mathcal{L}_ξ be respectively defined by (3.12) and (3.17). Then let

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{L}_\tau + \varepsilon^{1/2} \mathcal{L}_\xi$$

be the closed operator associated with the quadratic form

$$\langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle + i \langle u, (\tau + \varepsilon^{1/2} \xi^2) v \rangle$$

whose domain is given by $\tilde{V} \times \tilde{V}$ where

$$\tilde{V} = \{u \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{C}) \mid |(\tau^{1/2} + |\xi|)u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{C})\}.$$

It can be easily verified that

$$D(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon) = \{u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{C}) \cap H_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \mid (\tau + \xi^2)u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2), \}.$$

We begin by the following straightforward observation

Lemma 4.1. *We have*

$$(4.2) \quad \sigma(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon) = \{c^{2/3} \mu_n e^{-i2\pi/3} + (2k-1)\varepsilon^{1/2} \sqrt{2} e^{i\pi/4}\}_{n,k=1}^\infty.$$

Proof. After the scale changes $\tau \mapsto c^{1/3} \tau$ and $\xi \mapsto (|\alpha|/2)^{1/4} \xi$, we obtain from [3] and [7, Section 14.5] the following expressions for the eigenvalues of the complex Airy operator \mathcal{L}_τ and the complex harmonic oscillator \mathcal{L}_ξ :

$$\sigma(\mathcal{L}_\tau) = \left\{ c^{2/3} \mu_n e^{-i2\pi/3} : n \geq 1 \right\},$$

μ_n being the n -th (negative) zero of the Airy function Ai , and

$$\sigma(\mathcal{L}_\xi) = \left\{ (2k-1)\sqrt{2} e^{i\pi/4} : k \geq 1 \right\}.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{L}_\tau \dot{+} \varepsilon^{1/2} \mathcal{L}_\xi$ the closure of the operator $\mathcal{L}_\tau \otimes I + I \otimes (\varepsilon^{1/2} \mathcal{L}_\xi)$ whose domain is $D(\mathcal{L}_\tau) \otimes D(\mathcal{L}_\xi)$. We first need to verify that the domains of \mathcal{B}_ε and $\mathcal{L}_\tau \dot{+} \varepsilon^{1/2} \mathcal{L}_\xi$ coincide. Let $e^{-t\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon}$ denote the contraction semigroup generated by \mathcal{B}_ε , and let $\varphi \in D(\mathcal{L}_\tau)$, $\psi \in D(\mathcal{L}_\xi)$. Clearly,

$$e^{-t\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon}(\varphi \otimes \psi) = e^{-t\mathcal{L}_\tau} \varphi \otimes e^{-t(\varepsilon^{1/2} \mathcal{L}_\xi)} \psi,$$

where $e^{-t\mathcal{L}_\tau}$ and $e^{-t(\varepsilon^{1/2} \mathcal{L}_\xi)}$ denote respectively the contraction semigroups generated by \mathcal{L}_τ and $\varepsilon^{1/2} \mathcal{L}_\xi$. Thus,

$$e^{-t\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon}(D(\mathcal{L}_\tau) \otimes D(\mathcal{L}_\xi)) \subset D(\mathcal{L}_\tau) \otimes D(\mathcal{L}_\xi).$$

Consequently, due to [11, Theorem X.49] we have $\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon = \overline{(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon)_{|D(\mathcal{L}_\tau) \otimes D(\mathcal{L}_\xi)}}$, and \mathcal{B}_ε clearly coincides with $\mathcal{L}_\tau \otimes I + I \otimes (\varepsilon^{1/2} \mathcal{L}_\xi)$ on $D(\mathcal{L}_\tau) \otimes D(\mathcal{L}_\xi)$, and hence $\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{L}_\tau \dot{+} \varepsilon^{1/2} \mathcal{L}_\xi$.

Noticing that \mathcal{L}_τ and \mathcal{L}_ξ are both sectorial with respect to the same sector $\mathcal{S} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \arg z \leq \pi/2\}$, we can then apply the so-called Ichinose Lemma (see [11, Theorem XIII.35, Corollary 2]) which yields

$$\sigma(\mathcal{L}_\tau \dot{+} \varepsilon^{1/2} \mathcal{L}_\xi) = \sigma(\mathcal{L}_\tau) + \sigma(\varepsilon^{1/2} \mathcal{L}_\xi),$$

and (4.2) follows. ■

The following auxiliary lemma will be necessary in the sequel

Lemma 4.2. *Let v_n denote the (unique up to multiplication by a complex number of modulus 1) unity norm eigenfunction associated with the eigenvalue*

$$(4.3) \quad \nu_{n-1} = \mu_n e^{-i2\pi/3} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

of \mathcal{L}_τ . Let further \mathcal{V} denote the form domain of \mathcal{L}_τ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{V} = \{u \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}) \mid \tau^{1/2} u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C})\},$$

and $\mathcal{V}_n = \text{span}\{v_n\}_{n=k+1}^\infty \cap \mathcal{V}$. Set

$$(4.4a) \quad \beta_k = \inf_{\substack{u \in \mathcal{V}_n \\ \|u\|=1}} \|u_\tau\|_2^2 + \|\tau^{1/2} u\|_2^2.$$

Then,

$$(4.4b) \quad \beta_k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Let us assume by contradiction that there exists a subsequence (k_n) and a positive constant C such that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \beta_{k_n} \leq C.$$

Then there exists a sequence (u_n) of functions in $H_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C})$, $\tau^{1/2} u_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C})$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $u_n \in \text{span}\{v_j\}_{j=k_n+1}^\infty$, $\|u_n\|_2 = 1$ and

$$(4.5) \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\|\partial_\tau u_n\|_2^2 + \|\tau^{1/2} u_n\|_2^2) \leq 2C.$$

Since for any $r > 0$ we have

$$\int_r^\infty |u_n|^2 \leq \frac{1}{r} \int_r^\infty \tau |u_n|^2 \leq \frac{2C}{r},$$

we can choose such r for which

$$\int_0^r |u_n|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Since by (4.5) the $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C})$ norms of $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are bounded, we can extract a subsequence $(u_{\varphi(n)})$ such that $u_{\varphi(n)} \rightarrow u_\infty$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C})$ weakly, and in $L^2([0, r], \mathbb{C})$ strongly, for some limit function $u_\infty \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C})$. We note that

$$(4.6) \quad \int_0^r |u_\infty|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Now let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Then for all n such that $k_{\varphi(n)} \geq k$ we have

$$u_{\varphi(n)} \in \text{span}\{v_j\}_{j \geq k+1} = \left(\text{span}\{\bar{v}_n\}_{n=1}^k\right)_\perp,$$

hence, by the weak convergence in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C})$.

$$0 = \langle u_{\varphi(n)}, \bar{v}_k \rangle \longrightarrow \langle u_\infty, \bar{v}_k \rangle = 0.$$

Consequently $u_\infty \in \left(\text{span}\{\bar{v}_j\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}\right)_\perp$, thus $u_\infty = 0$ since the eigenfunctions $\{\bar{v}_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ of \mathcal{L}_τ^* form a complete family of $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C})$ (see [3]). A contradiction, in view of (4.6). ■

We next claim the following

Lemma 4.3. *There exist $r_0 > 0$, $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$, such that if $r \in (0, r_0)$, then*

$$(4.7) \quad |\lambda - \lambda_0 - \varepsilon^{1/2}\lambda_2| = r\varepsilon^{1/2} \Rightarrow \|(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon - \lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C}{r}\varepsilon^{-1/2} \quad \forall 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0.$$

Proof. Suppose that r is so chosen such that $\partial B(\lambda_0 + \varepsilon^{1/2}\lambda_2, r\varepsilon^{1/2}) \in \rho(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon)$. Let $g \in \text{span}\{v_n w_m\}_{n,m=0}^\infty$ and w denote the solution of

$$(4.8) \quad (\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon - \lambda)w = g.$$

Let further

$$\lambda - \lambda_0 - \varepsilon^{1/2}\lambda_2 = \varepsilon^{1/2}r e^{i\alpha},$$

where $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi)$. By the Riesz-Schauder Theory (cf. [2, Eq. (16.4)] for instance) we have that

$$(4.9) \quad (\mathcal{L}_\tau - \lambda)^{-1} = \frac{\Pi_0}{\lambda - \nu_0} + \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{\Pi_k}{\lambda - \nu_k} + T_k(\lambda),$$

where $\{\nu_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ are given by (4.3), and $\|T_k\| \leq C_k$ in $B(\nu_0, \tilde{r})$ for some fixed $\tilde{r} > 0$. In the above Π_k is the projection operator on $\text{span}\{v_k\}$, which is explicitly given, for any $u \in \text{span}\{v_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, by

$$\Pi_k(u) = \langle \bar{v}_k, u \rangle_\tau v_k(\tau),$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\tau$ denotes the standard $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C})$ inner product.

Let $u_k = \Pi_k(w)$. It can be easily verified that

$$u_k = \varepsilon^{-1/2}(\mathcal{L}_\xi - \lambda_2 - r e^{i\alpha} + \varepsilon^{-1/2}(\nu_k - \nu_0))^{-1} \Pi_k(g).$$

It easily follows from here that

$$(4.10) \quad \|u_0\|_2 \leq \frac{C}{r\varepsilon^{1/2}} \|\Pi_0(g)\|_2 \leq \frac{C}{r\varepsilon^{1/2}} \|g\|_2,$$

whereas

$$(4.11) \quad \|u_k\|_2 \leq C_k \|g\|_2,$$

where C_k is independent of r and ε . For every $K \geq 1$ we have

$$(4.12) \quad \|w\|_2 \leq \left(\frac{C}{r\varepsilon^{1/2}} + \sum_{k=1}^K C_k \right) \|g\|_2 + \|P_K(w)\|_2,$$

where

$$(4.13) \quad P_K = I - \sum_{k=0}^K \Pi_k.$$

To complete the proof we need an estimate for $\|P_K(w)\|_2$. Let then $u_K = P_K(w)$. Clearly,

$$(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon - \lambda)u_K = P_K(g).$$

Taking the inner product of the above equation by u_K yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial u_K}{\partial \tau} \right\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{1/2} \left\| \frac{\partial u_K}{\partial \xi} \right\|_2^2 - \operatorname{Re} \lambda \|u_K\|_2^2 &= \operatorname{Re} \langle u_K, P_K(g) \rangle \\ \|\tau^{1/2} u_K\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{1/2} \|\xi u_K\|_2^2 - \operatorname{Im} \lambda \|u_K\|_2^2 &= \operatorname{Im} \langle u_K, P_K(g) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above equations yields

$$(4.14) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial u_K}{\partial \tau} \right\|_2^2 + \|\tau^{1/2} u_K\|_2^2 - (\operatorname{Im} \lambda + \operatorname{Re} \lambda) \|u_K\|_2^2 \leq 2 \|u_K\|_2 \|P_K(g)\|_2.$$

As

$$(4.15) \quad \|P_K(g)\|_2 \leq C_K \|g\|_2,$$

we obtain by (4.4) and (4.14) that for sufficiently large K (but independent of ε)

$$\|u_K\|_2 \leq C_K \|g\|_2.$$

The lemma is now proved by the above and (4.12) for any $g \in \operatorname{span}\{v_n w_m\}_{n,m=0}^\infty$, and hence for any $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{C})$ via a density argument. ■

Note that r may depend on ε . As a matter of fact (4.7) remains valid independently of the pace at which $r \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Corollary 4.4. *Under the conditions of 4.3 we have that*

$$(4.16) \quad \|(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon - \lambda)^{-1} P_1\| \leq C,$$

where C is independent of ε .

The corollary follows immediately from (4.11) and (4.15).

Recall now the definition of \mathcal{S} from the introduction

$$\mathcal{S} = \{x \in \partial\Omega_\perp : |\nabla V(x)| = |\nabla V(x_0)|, V(x) = V(x_0)\}.$$

By (1.3), \mathcal{S} is a finite set of isolated points $\{x_j\}_{j \in J_{\mathcal{S}}}$. Recall the definition of the curvilinear coordinate system (s, t) from the previous section, and then let $x_j = (s_j, 0)$. Let further $f \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ be supported on $\Omega \cap \bigcup_{j \in J_{\mathcal{S}}} B(x_j, \delta)$ and satisfy

$$(4.17) \quad |f| \leq C \|f\|_2 \varepsilon^{7/8} e^{-\gamma_1 \varepsilon^{-3/2} [(s-s_j)^2 + t^{3/2}]} \quad \text{in } B(x_j, \delta) \cap \Omega \quad \forall j \in J_{\mathcal{S}},$$

for some fixed and positive γ_1 and C .

We seek an estimate for the resolvent of \mathcal{A}_h . To this end a few auxiliary estimates, beyond (4.7), are necessary. Set then

$$\Omega_+ = \{x \in \Omega \mid V(x) > V(x_0)\} \quad ; \quad \Omega_- = \{x \in \Omega \mid V(x) < V(x_0)\},$$

and

$$\Gamma = \{x \in \Omega \mid V(x) = V(x_0)\}.$$

Define then the cutoff function $\chi_{\varepsilon, n}^+ \in C^\infty(\Omega, [0, 1])$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, in the following manner

$$(4.18) \quad \chi_{\varepsilon, n}^+(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in \Omega_- \\ 1 & x \in \Omega_+ \cap \{V(x) - V(x_0) \leq 2^{n-1} \varepsilon^\rho\} \\ 0 & x \in \Omega_+ \cap \{V(x) - V(x_0) \geq 2^n \varepsilon^\rho\}, \end{cases} \quad \|\nabla \chi_{\varepsilon, n}^+\|_\infty \leq \frac{C_n}{\varepsilon^\rho}$$

where $0 < \rho < 1$. We further set

$$(4.19) \quad (\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon, n}^+)^2 + (\chi_{\varepsilon, n}^+)^2 = 1.$$

In a similar manner we then define $\chi_{\Gamma, \varepsilon, n}^-$:

$$\chi_{\varepsilon, n}^-(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in \Omega_+ \\ 1 & x \in \Omega_- \cap \{V(x_0) - V(x) \leq 2^{n-1} \varepsilon^\rho\} \\ 0 & x \in \Omega_- \cap \{V(x_0) - V(x) \geq 2^n \varepsilon^\rho\}. \end{cases}$$

The complementary cutoff function $\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon, n}^-$ is then given by

$$(\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon, n}^-)^2 = 1 - (\chi_{\varepsilon, n}^-)^2$$

We begin with the following estimate

Lemma 4.5. *Let f satisfy (4.17) and*

$$(4.20) \quad (\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda^*)w = f,$$

where

$$|\lambda^*| \leq C\varepsilon$$

Then, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_n > 0$ and $\gamma_2 > 0$ such that for sufficiently small ε we have

$$(4.21a) \quad \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon, n}^- w\|_2 + \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon, n}^+ w\|_2 \leq C_n (\varepsilon^{n\rho-1} \|w\|_2 + e^{-\gamma_2 \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\rho)}} \|f\|_2).$$

Furthermore, we have that

(4.21b)

$$\|\nabla(\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w)\|_2 + \|\nabla(\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^- w)\|_2 + \varepsilon^2(\|D^2(\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w)\|_2 + \|D^2(\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^- w)\|_2) \leq C_n \varepsilon^{n\rho-1}(\|w\|_2 + \|f\|_2).$$

Proof. In the following the constants C and γ_2 depend on n . Taking the inner product of (4.20) with $(\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+)^2 w$ yields

$$(4.22a) \quad \begin{cases} \|\nabla(\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w)\|_2^2 - \|w \nabla \tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+\|_2^2 = \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon^3 c^4} (\operatorname{Re} \lambda^* \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w\|_2^2 + \operatorname{Re} \langle \tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w, \tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ f \rangle) \\ \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon^3 c^4} \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ |V - V(x_0)|^{1/2} w\|_2^2 + \operatorname{Im} \langle w \nabla \tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+, \nabla(\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w) \rangle \end{cases}$$

$$(4.22b) \quad \begin{cases} = \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon^3 c^4} (\operatorname{Im} \lambda^* \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w\|_2^2 + \operatorname{Im} \langle \tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w, \tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ f \rangle). \end{cases}$$

From the definition of $\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+$ and (4.22b) we get

$$(4.23) \quad \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w\|_2^2 \leq C \varepsilon^{3-\rho} \left(\|\nabla(\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w)\|_2^2 + \|w \nabla \tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{-4} \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ f\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{-2} \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w\|_2^2 \right).$$

By (4.22a) we have

$$(4.24) \quad \|\nabla(\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w)\|_2^2 \leq C \left(\|w \nabla \tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{-4} \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ f\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{-2} \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w\|_2^2 \right).$$

Substituting the above into (4.23) then yields

$$\|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w\|_2^2 \leq C \varepsilon^{3-\rho} \left(\|w \nabla \tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{-4} \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ f\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{-2} \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w\|_2^2 \right),$$

from which we easily obtain, for sufficiently small ε ,

$$(4.25) \quad \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w\|_2^2 \leq C \varepsilon^{3-\rho} \left(\|w \nabla \tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{-4} \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ f\|_2^2 \right).$$

By (4.17) we have that for sufficiently small γ_2 and ε ,

$$(4.26) \quad \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ f\|_2 \leq C e^{-\gamma_2 \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\rho)}} \|f\|_2.$$

Furthermore, by (4.18) and (4.19) we have that

$$\|w \nabla \tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+\|_2 \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^\rho} \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n-1}^+ w\|_2.$$

Combining the above, (4.26), and (4.25) then yields

$$\|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w\|_2 \leq C \left(\varepsilon^\rho \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n-1}^+ w\|_2 + e^{-\gamma_2 \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\rho)}} \|f\|_2 \right).$$

Similarly we obtain that

$$\|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^- w\|_2 \leq C \left(\varepsilon^\rho \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n-1}^+ w\|_2 + e^{-\gamma_2 \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\rho)}} \|f\|_2 \right).$$

The above pair of inequalities, when recursively applied, readily yield (4.21a).

We begin the proof of (4.21b) by combining (4.24) and (4.21a) to obtain

$$(4.27) \quad \|\nabla(\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w)\|_2 \leq C_n (\varepsilon^{n\rho-1} \|w\|_2 + e^{-\gamma_2 \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\rho)}} \|f\|_2).$$

Furthermore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ \Delta w\|_2 &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^3} \|(V - V(x_0))\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w\|_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w\|_2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^3} \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ f\|_2 \leq C_n(\varepsilon^{n\rho-3} \|w\|_2 + e^{-\gamma_2 \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\rho)}} \|f\|_2). \end{aligned}$$

As,

$$\|\Delta(\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w)\|_2 \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^\rho} \|\nabla(\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n-1}^+ w)\|_2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{2\rho}} \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n-1}^+ w\|_2 + \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ \Delta w\|_2,$$

we readily conclude that

$$\|\Delta(\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w)\|_2 \leq C_n(\varepsilon^{n\rho-3} \|w\|_2 + e^{-\gamma_2 \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\rho)}} \|f\|_2).$$

Standard elliptic estimates, together with (4.27) then yield (4.21b), after repeating the same argument for $\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^- w$. ■

Before we attempt to estimate $(\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda^*)^{-1} f$ we need yet the following auxiliary estimate.

Lemma 4.6. *Under the same conditions of Lemma 4.5 we have that*

$$(4.28a) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|\nabla w\|_2 \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \|w\|_2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \|f\|_2, \\ (4.28b) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|D^2 w\|_2 \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{3-\rho}} \|w\|_2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^3} \|f\|_2, \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.$$

where $w = (\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda^*)^{-1} f$ and $0 < \rho < 1$.

Proof. As

$$\|\nabla w\|_2^2 = \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon^3 c^4} (\lambda^* \|w\|_2^2 + \operatorname{Re} \langle w, f \rangle),$$

we readily obtain (4.28a). To prove (4.28b) we first note that

$$(4.29) \quad \|\Delta w\|_2 \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^3} (\|(V - V(x_0))w\|_2 + \lambda^* \|w\|_2 + \|f\|_2)$$

Let

$$\zeta^2 = 1 - (\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^-)^2 - (\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+)^2.$$

By (4.21) we have, for sufficiently large n ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(V - V(x_0))w\|_2 &\leq C(\|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^- w\|_2 + \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon,n}^+ w\|_2) + \|\zeta(V - V(x_0))w\|_2 \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon^{n\rho-1} \|w\|_2 + e^{-\gamma_2 \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\rho)}} \|f\|_2 + \varepsilon^\rho \|w\|_2) \leq C(\varepsilon^\rho \|w\|_2 + e^{-\gamma_2 \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\rho)}} \|f\|_2), \end{aligned}$$

which, when substituted into (4.29), yields (4.28) with the aid of standard elliptic estimates. ■

Lemmas 4.3 and 4.5 can now be used to estimate $(\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda^*)^{-1}f$ in the close vicinity of x_0 where $\lambda^* \in \partial B(\Lambda_0, (c^2 r \varepsilon^{3/2}/\alpha))$, $r \in (0, 1)$ being chosen so that $\partial B(\Lambda_0, (c^2 r \varepsilon^{3/2}/\alpha)) \subset \rho(\mathcal{A}_h)$, where

$$(4.30) \quad \Lambda_0 = \frac{\varepsilon c^2}{\alpha}(\lambda_0 + \varepsilon^{1/2} \lambda_2).$$

Lemma 4.7. *Let $f \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ satisfy (4.17), and $7/8 < \rho < 1$. Let $w = (\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda^*)^{-1}f^*$ and ζ_0 be given by*

$$(4.31) \quad \zeta_0^*(\varepsilon, \rho) = [1 - (\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon, n}^-)^2 - (\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon, n}^+)^2] \mathbf{1}_{B(x_0, \delta) \cap \Omega},$$

where $\delta > 0$ is so chosen so that $B(x_0, \delta) \cap \Gamma = \{x_0\}$. Then,

$$(4.32) \quad \|\zeta_0^* w^*\|_2 \leq \frac{C}{r} (\varepsilon^{-3/2} \|f\|_2 + \varepsilon^{1/8} \|w^*\|_2).$$

Proof. Clearly,

$$(\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda^*)(\zeta_0^* w^*) = \zeta_0^* f^* + [\mathcal{A}_h, \zeta_0^*] w^*$$

We next write

$$\mathcal{A}_h = \mathcal{A}_0 + \mathcal{D}^*,$$

where \mathcal{A}_0 is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = -\frac{\varepsilon^3 c^4}{\alpha^3} (\partial_{tt} + \partial_{ss}) + i(ct + \alpha s^2),$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}^* = -\frac{\varepsilon^3 c^4}{\alpha^3} \Upsilon + i(V - V(x_0) - ct - \frac{1}{2} \alpha s^2),$$

where Υ is given by (3.5). Then,

$$(\mathcal{A}_0 - \lambda^*)(\zeta_0^* w^*) = \zeta_0^* f^* - \mathcal{D}^*(\zeta_0^* w^*) + [\mathcal{A}_h, \zeta_0^*] w^*.$$

Applying the transformation (3.7) yields

$$(4.33) \quad (\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon - \lambda)(\zeta_0 w) = \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2} \zeta_0 f + [\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \zeta_0] w - R(\zeta_0 w).$$

where f , ζ_0 , and w are respectively obtained from f^* , ζ_0^* , and w^* via the dilation $\cdot(\xi, \tau) = \cdot^*(s, t)$, in which (ξ, τ) are given by (3.7), R is given by (3.10) and $\lambda = \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2} \lambda^*$.

We next apply to (4.33) the operator P_1 defined in (4.13). Since \mathcal{B}_ε and P_1 commute, we easily obtain from (4.16) that

$$(4.34) \quad \|P_1(\zeta_0 w)\|_2 \leq C(\varepsilon^{-1} \|f\|_2 + \|[\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \zeta_0] w\|_2 + \|R(\zeta_0 w)\|_2).$$

We now attempt to estimate $\|R(\zeta_0 w)\|_2$. We first note that R is given by (3.10). We then observe that

$$(4.35) \quad \left| \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2} [V - V(x_0)] - \tau - \varepsilon^{1/2} \frac{1}{2} \xi^2 \right| \leq C(\varepsilon^{5/4} \xi^3 + \varepsilon^{3/4} \tau \xi + \varepsilon \tau^2) \quad \forall x \in B(x_0, \delta),$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\tau + \frac{\varepsilon^{1/2}}{2} \xi^2 \right) \leq \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2} |V(x) - V(x_0)| \leq 2\varepsilon^{-(1-\rho)} \quad \forall x \in \text{supp}(\zeta_0),$$

we obtain that for some $C > 0$

$$(4.36) \quad \text{supp } \zeta_0 \subset \{(\xi, \tau) \mid |\xi| \leq C\varepsilon^{-3/4+\rho/2}, 0 \leq \tau < C\varepsilon^{-(1-\rho)}\}.$$

Consequently, by (4.35) we have that

$$\zeta_0 \left| \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2} [V - V(x_0)] - \tau - \varepsilon^{1/2} \frac{1}{2} \xi^2 \right| \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{3\rho}{2}-1}.$$

Hence,

$$(4.37) \quad \left\| \left(\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2} [V - V(x_0)] - \tau - \varepsilon^{1/2} \frac{1}{2} \xi^2 \right) \zeta_0 w \right\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{3\rho}{2}-1} \|\zeta_0 w\|_2.$$

To complete the estimation of $R(\zeta_0 w)$, it is necessary to bound

$$(4.38) \quad \tilde{R}(\zeta_0 w) = \varepsilon^{3/2} \left\| \tau(\zeta_0 w)_{\xi\xi} \right\|_2 + \varepsilon^{9/4} \|\tau(\zeta_0 w)_\xi\|_2 + \varepsilon \|(\zeta_0 w)_\tau\|_2.$$

Since by (4.36) we have that

$$\|\zeta_0\|_{C^{2,0}} \leq C,$$

we have by (3.7), (4.28), and (4.36) that

$$(4.39) \quad \left\| \tau(\zeta_0 w)_{\xi\xi} \right\|_2 \leq C \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{3/2-\rho}} \|w\|_2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{5/2-\rho}} \|f\|_2 \right).$$

Furthermore,

$$(4.40) \quad \|\tau(\zeta_0 w)_\xi\|_2 \leq C \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/4}} \|w\|_2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{9/4-\rho}} \|f\|_2 \right),$$

and

$$\|(\zeta_0 w)_\tau\|_2 \leq C(\|w\|_2 + \varepsilon^{-1} \|f\|_2).$$

Substituting the above together with (4.40) and (4.39) into (4.38) then yields

$$(4.41) \quad \tilde{R}(\zeta_0 w) \leq C(\varepsilon^\rho \|w\|_2 + \|f\|_2).$$

Combining the above with (4.37) yields

$$(4.42) \quad \|R(\zeta_0 w)\|_2 \leq C(\varepsilon^{\frac{3\rho}{2}-1} \|w\|_2 + \|f\|_2).$$

We now turn to estimate $[\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \zeta_0]w$. From (4.21) we learn that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists some $\varepsilon_0(n)$, such that for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0(n)$ we have

$$(4.43) \quad \begin{aligned} \|[\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \zeta_0]w\|_2 &= \frac{\alpha}{c} \varepsilon^{-7/8} \left\| \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2} [\mathcal{A}_h, \zeta_0^*] w^* \right\|_2 \leq \\ &C\varepsilon^{9/8} [\varepsilon^{-2\rho} (\|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon, n-1}^- w^*\|_2 + \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon, n-1}^+ w^*\|_2) + \varepsilon^{-\tilde{\rho}} (\|\nabla(\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon, n-1}^- w^*)\|_2 \\ &+ \|\nabla(\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon, n-1}^+ w^*)\|_2)] \leq C_n (\varepsilon^{n\rho-15/8} \|w^*\|_2 + e^{-\gamma_2 \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\rho)}} \|f^*\|_2) \\ &\leq C_n (\varepsilon^{n\rho-1} \|w\|_2 + e^{-\gamma_2 \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\rho)}} \|f\|_2). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above together with (4.42) into (4.34) yields

$$(4.44) \quad \|P_1(\zeta_0 w)\|_2 \leq C(\varepsilon^{\frac{3\rho}{2}-1} \|w\|_2 + \|f\|_2).$$

We now turn to estimate $\Pi_0(w)$. Taking the inner product of (4.33) in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C})$ with \bar{v}_0 yields

$$(4.45) \quad (\mathcal{L}_\xi - \tilde{\lambda})w_0 = \varepsilon^{-1/2} \left\langle \bar{v}_0, \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2} \zeta_0 f - R(\zeta_0 w) + [\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \zeta_0]w \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+},$$

where $w_0 = \langle \bar{v}_0, \zeta_0 w \rangle$, and $\tilde{\lambda} = \varepsilon^{-1/2}(\lambda - \lambda_0)$. (Note that $\Pi_0(\zeta_0 w) = w_0(\xi)v_0(\tau)$.) Multiplying (4.45) by \bar{w}_0 and integrating by parts yields, from the imaginary part

$$\|\xi w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq C(\|w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \varepsilon^{-1/2} |\langle \bar{v}_0 w_0, \varepsilon^{-1} \zeta_0 f - R(\zeta_0 w) + [\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \zeta_0]w \rangle|).$$

We now use (4.41), (4.43), and (4.35) to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &\leq C(\|w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \varepsilon^{-3/2}\|f\|_2 + \varepsilon^{\rho-1/2}\|w\|_2 + \\ &\quad \varepsilon^{3/4}\|\xi^3 \zeta_0 w\|_2 + \varepsilon^{1/4}\|\tau \xi \zeta_0 w\|_2 + \varepsilon^{1/2}\|\tau^2 \zeta_0 w\|_2) \end{aligned}$$

In view of (4.36) we then have

$$(4.46) \quad \|\xi w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C(\|w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \varepsilon^{-3/2}\|f\|_2 + \varepsilon^{\rho-1/2}\|w\|_2 + \varepsilon^{1/4}\|\xi \zeta_0 w\|_2).$$

We now use (4.44) to obtain

$$\|\xi \zeta_0 w\|_2 \leq \|\xi P_1(\zeta_0 w)\|_2 + \|\xi w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C(\varepsilon^{2\rho-7/4}\|w\|_2 + \varepsilon^{-3/2}\|f\|_2) + \|\xi w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Substituting the above into (4.46) then yields

$$\|\xi w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C(\|w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \varepsilon^{2\rho-\frac{3}{2}}\|w\|_2 + \varepsilon^{-3/2}\|f\|_2),$$

and hence,

$$\|\xi \zeta_0 w\|_2 \leq C(\|w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \varepsilon^{2\rho-\frac{7}{4}}\|w\|_2 + \varepsilon^{-3/2}\|f\|_2).$$

From the above and (4.44) once again we can conclude that

$$(4.47) \quad \|\xi^3 \zeta_0 w\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{-3/2+\rho}\|\xi \zeta_0 w\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{-3/2+\rho}(\|w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \varepsilon^{2\rho-\frac{7}{4}}\|w\|_2 + \varepsilon^{-3/2}\|f\|_2).$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\|\xi \tau \zeta_0 w\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{-(1-\rho)}(\|w_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \varepsilon^{2\rho-\frac{7}{4}}\|w\|_2 + \varepsilon^{3/2}\|f\|_2).$$

The above, together with (4.47), (4.35), and (4.36) yield the following improvement of (4.37) (recall that $\|\Pi_0(w)\|_2 \leq C\|w\|_2$)

$$\left\| \left[\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^2} [V - V(x_0)] - \tau - \varepsilon^{1/2} \frac{1}{2} \xi^2 \right] \zeta_0 w \right\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{\rho-1/4}(\|w\|_2 + \varepsilon^{-3/2}\|f\|_2).$$

We now combine the above inequality with (4.41) to obtain an improved version of (4.42)

$$(4.48) \quad \|R(\zeta_0 w)\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{\rho-1/4}(\varepsilon^{\tilde{\rho}}\|w\|_2 + \varepsilon^{3/2}\|f\|_2).$$

Returning to (4.33) we obtain from (4.7) that

$$\|\zeta_0 w\|_2 \leq \frac{C}{r\varepsilon^{1/2}}(\varepsilon^2\|f\|_2 + \|[\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \zeta_0]w\|_2 + \|R(\zeta_0 w)\|_2).$$

With the aid of (4.43) and (4.48) we then obtain

$$\|\zeta_0 w\|_2 \leq \frac{C}{r\varepsilon^{1/2}}(\varepsilon^{-1}\|f\|_2 + \varepsilon^{5/8}\|w\|_2),$$

from which (4.32) easily follows. ■

Remark 4.8. Clearly, (4.32) can be extended to the neighborhood of each point in \mathcal{S} . Thus, if we set for any $x_j \in \mathcal{S}$

$$(4.49) \quad \zeta_j^*(\varepsilon, \rho) = [1 - (\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon, n}^-)^2 - (\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon, n}^+)^2] \mathbf{1}_{B(x_j, \delta) \cap \Omega},$$

where $\delta > 0$ is so chosen so that $B(x_j, \delta) \cap \Gamma = \{x_j\}$ for all $j \in J_{\mathcal{S}}$. Then,

$$(4.50) \quad \|\zeta_j^* w^*\|_2 \leq \frac{C}{r} (\varepsilon^{3/2} \|f\|_2 + \varepsilon^{1/8} \|w^*\|_2).$$

We can now estimate $\|(\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda^*)^{-1} f\|$ in the simplest possible case where $\Gamma = \{x_0\}$.

Corollary 4.9. Let $f \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ satisfy (4.17). Let $\lambda^* \in \partial B(\Lambda_0, r\varepsilon^{-1/2}) \subset \rho(\mathcal{A}_h)$, where Λ_0 is given by (4.30), for some $\varepsilon^{1/8} \ll r < 1$. Then, there exists $C > 0$ such that for sufficiently small ε we have

$$(4.51) \quad \|(\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda^*)^{-1} f\|_2 \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{3/2} r} \|f\|_2.$$

Proof. Since $\Gamma = \{x_0\}$ we may set with any loss of generality $\Omega = \Omega_+$. Hence, we have that $\chi_{\varepsilon, n}^+ = \zeta_0^*$, where ζ_0^* is defined by (4.31). Let $w = (\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda)^{-1} f$. Then,

$$\|w\|_2^2 = \|\chi_{\varepsilon, n}^+ w\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon, n}^+ w\|_2^2 = \|\zeta_0^* w\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon, n}^+ w\|_2^2.$$

The corollary now easily follows from (4.21a) and (4.32). ■

Consider next the general case where $\Gamma \setminus \{x_0\} \neq \emptyset$. We begin by defining some local approximations of the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_h$. Let $\rho \in (7/8, 1)$, and then define two sets of indices $J_{\partial\Omega} = J_{\partial\Omega}(\varepsilon)$ and $J_\Omega = J_\Omega(\varepsilon)$. Set then $J = J_{\partial\Omega} \cup J_\Omega$ and let $\delta > 0$ be the same as in (4.31). Next, choose a sequence of points $(x_j)_{j \in J} = (x_j(\varepsilon))_{j \in J} \subset \bar{\Omega} \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J_{\mathcal{S}}} B(x_j, \delta)$, where $x_j \in \partial\Omega$ (respectively $x_j \in \Omega$) if $j \in J_{\partial\Omega}$ (respectively $j \in J_\Omega$), such that

$$\bar{\Omega} \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J_{\mathcal{S}}} B(x_j, \delta) \subset \bigcup_{j \in J} B(x_j, \varepsilon^\rho).$$

Let $(\eta_j)_{j \in J}$ be a family of cutoff functions associated with the partition above, namely $\eta_j(x) = 1$ if $x \in B(x_j, \varepsilon^\rho/2)$, $\text{Supp } \eta_j \subset B(x_j, \varepsilon^\rho)$, and

$$\forall x \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J_{\mathcal{S}}} B(x_j, \delta), \quad \sum_{j \in J} \eta_j(x)^2 = 1.$$

We further assume that for all $j \in J$, $\|\nabla \eta_j\|_\infty = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-\rho})$ and $\|\Delta \eta_j\|_\infty = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-2\rho})$. Finally we set, for all $j \in J$,

$$\chi_j = \eta_j \mathbf{1}_{\bar{\Omega}}.$$

In the neighborhood of each point x_j , $j \in J_\Omega$, we shall approximate \mathcal{A}_h by the following operator:

$$(4.52a) \quad \mathcal{A}_{j, h} := -\frac{\varepsilon^3 c^4}{\alpha^3} \Delta + i(\mathbf{c}_j \cdot x + V(x_j) - V(x_0)), \quad \mathbf{c}_j = (c_j^1, c_j^2) = \nabla V(x_j),$$

whose domain is given by

$$(4.52b) \quad D(\mathcal{A}_{j,h}) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, |x|^2 dx; \mathbb{C}).$$

In the neighborhood of the boundary points x_j , $j \in J_{\partial\Omega}$, we use different approximate operators, depending on the local behaviour of V . To this end, denote by $J_{\partial\Omega}^1 \subset J_{\partial\Omega}$ the set of indices j such that $x_j \in \partial\Omega_{\perp}$ and

$$|\nabla V(x_j)| = |\nabla V(x_0)| = \min_{x \in \partial\Omega_{\perp}} |\nabla V(x)|.$$

Notice that $J_{\partial\Omega}^1$ may be an empty set, since $x_0 \notin \bar{\Omega} \setminus B(x_0, \delta)$. We then let $J_{\partial\Omega}^2 = J_{\partial\Omega} \setminus J_{\partial\Omega}^1$ and $J_{\partial\Omega}^3 = J_{\partial\Omega}^1 \setminus J_S$. In the neighborhood of the boundary points x_j for $j \in J_{\partial\Omega}^2$, we use the following approximation of \mathcal{A}_h . Let (t, s) be the same curvilinear coordinate system as defined in Section 3, centered at x_j . In these coordinates the leading order approximation of \mathcal{A}_h reads

$$(4.53a) \quad \mathcal{A}_{j,h} = -\frac{\varepsilon^3 c^4}{\alpha^3} \Delta + i(\mathbf{c}_j \cdot (t, s) + V(x_j) - V(x_0)), \quad \mathbf{c}_j = (c_j^1, c_j^2) = \nabla V(x_j),$$

with the following domain

$$(4.53b) \quad D(\mathcal{A}_{j,h}) = H_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2; \mathbb{C}) \cap H^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2; \mathbb{C}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2, (t^2 + s^2) dt ds; \mathbb{C}).$$

In the following we provide resolvent estimates on the approximate operators $\mathcal{A}_{j,h}$ introduced above. These estimates are stated in the following lemma

Lemma 4.10. *There exists $r_0 > 0$ such that, for all $r \in (0, r_0)$ and $j \in J$, $\partial B(\Lambda_0, r\varepsilon^{-1/2}) \subset \rho(\mathcal{A}_{j,h})$, where Λ_0 is given by (4.30). Moreover, there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $\lambda^* \in \partial B(\Lambda_0, r\varepsilon^{-1/2})$ and for all $j \in J_{\Omega} \cup J_{\partial\Omega}^2$,*

$$(4.54) \quad \|(\mathcal{A}_{j,h} - \lambda^*)^{-1}\|_2 \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. Let $j \in J_{\Omega}$. Recall that the operator $\mathcal{A}_{j,h}$ is given in this case by (4.53). It has been established in [3, 9] that $\mathcal{A}_{j,h}$ has empty spectrum, and for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists $C_{\omega} > 0$ such that

$$(4.55) \quad \sup_{\operatorname{Re} z \leq \omega} \|(-\Delta + i\mathbf{c}_j \cdot x - z)^{-1}\| \leq C_{\omega}.$$

Since the scale change $x \mapsto \alpha/(\varepsilon c^{4/3})x$ gives

$$(4.56) \quad \|(\mathcal{A}_{j,h} - \lambda^*)^{-1}\| = \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^{4/3}} \left\| \left(-\Delta + i \left[\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^{4/3}} (V(x_j) - V(x_0)) + \mathbf{c}_j \cdot x \right] - \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon c^{4/3}} \lambda^* \right)^{-1} \right\|.$$

and since $\alpha/(\varepsilon c^{4/3})\lambda^*$ remains bounded as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, (4.55) and (4.56) easily yield (4.54) for any $j \in J_{\Omega}$.

The same argument can be used in the case where $j \in J_{\partial\Omega}^2$ with $x_j \notin \partial\Omega_{\perp}$, since the operator $-\Delta + ic_j^1 t + ic_j^2 s$ on \mathbb{R}_+^2 has empty spectrum and satisfies (4.55) as well as soon as $c_j^2 \neq 0$, see Theorem A.3.

We next consider the case where $j \in J_{\partial\Omega}^2$ and $x_j \in \partial\Omega_{\perp}$. Then,

$$\mathcal{A}_{j,h} = -\frac{\varepsilon^3 c^4}{\alpha^3} \Delta + i(c_j t + V(x_j) - V(x_0))$$

where $c_j := c_j^1$. The domain $D(\mathcal{A}_{j,h})$ is given by ((4.53)b). Suppose that $c_j > 0$ (otherwise apply the same argument to the operator $\mathcal{A}_{j,h}^*$). Denote by \mathcal{A}_0^\perp the Dirichlet realization on \mathbb{R}_+^2 of the operator $-\Delta + it$. Then, the scale change

$$(t, s) \mapsto \frac{\alpha c_j^{1/3}}{\varepsilon c^{4/3}}(t, s)$$

gives

$$(4.57) \quad \|(\mathcal{A}_{j,h} - \lambda^*)^{-1}\| = \frac{\alpha c_j^{1/3}}{\varepsilon c^{4/3}} \left\| \left(\mathcal{A}_0^\perp + i \frac{\alpha c_j^{1/3}}{\varepsilon c^{4/3}} (V(x_j) - V(x_0)) - \frac{\alpha c_j^{1/3}}{\varepsilon c^{4/3}} \lambda^* \right)^{-1} \right\|.$$

By the definition of $J_{\delta_0}^2$, we have $c_j < c$. Hence for any fixed $\delta_0 \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\frac{\alpha c_j^{1/3}}{\varepsilon c^{4/3}} \lambda^* = \left(\frac{c}{c_j} \right)^{2/3} \lambda_0 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1/2}) \leq (1 - \delta_0) \lambda_0$$

for all sufficiently small ε . It has been established in [9] that

$$\sup_{\operatorname{Re} z \leq (1 - \delta_0) \lambda_0} \|(\mathcal{A}_0^\perp - z)^{-1}\| < +\infty.$$

Consequently, (4.54) follows from (4.57) and the above estimate. ■

We now extend (4.51) to the general case

Proposition 4.11. *Let $\varepsilon^{1/8} \ll r < 1$. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, (4.51) holds for any $f \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ satisfying (4.17), and $\lambda^* \in \partial B(\Lambda_0, r\varepsilon^{-1/2})$.*

Proof. Let $w = (\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda^*)^{-1} f$. Let $j \in J_{\delta_0}^2 \cup J_\Omega$. Clearly

$$(4.58) \quad (\mathcal{A}_{j,h} - \lambda^*)(\chi_j w) = [\mathcal{A}_h, \chi_j] w - (\mathcal{A}_h - \mathcal{A}_{j,h})(\chi_j w).$$

We now attempt to estimate the right-hand-side of (4.58). Clearly,

$$(4.59) \quad \|[\mathcal{A}_h, \chi_j] w\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{-2\rho} \|w\|_{L^2(B(x_j, \varepsilon^\rho))} + C\varepsilon^{-\rho} \|\nabla(\chi_j w)\|_2.$$

As

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle \chi_j^2 w, (\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda^*) w \rangle = \|\nabla(\chi_j w)\|_2^2 - \lambda^* \|\chi_j w\|_2^2 - \|w \nabla \chi_j\|_2^2 = 0,$$

we obtain that

$$(4.60) \quad \|\nabla(\chi_j w)\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{-1} \|w\|_{L^2(B(x_j, \varepsilon^\rho))},$$

which, when substituted into (4.59) yields

$$(4.61) \quad \|[\mathcal{A}_h, \chi_j] w\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{-(1+\rho)} \|w\|_{L^2(B(x_j, \varepsilon^\rho))}.$$

We now attempt to estimate $(\mathcal{A}_h - \mathcal{A}_{j,h})(\chi_j w)$. By (4.53) and (4.52) we have that

$$\mathcal{A}_h - \mathcal{A}_{j,h} = i \frac{\alpha^3}{\varepsilon^3 c^4} (V(x) - V(x_j) - \mathbf{c}_j \cdot (x - x_j)).$$

Consequently,

$$\|(\mathcal{A}_h - \mathcal{A}_{j,h})(\chi_j w)\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{-3+2\rho} \|w\|_{L^2(B(x_j, \varepsilon^\rho))}.$$

Combining the above with (4.61), (4.58), and (4.54) yields

$$(4.62) \quad \|\chi_j w\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{2\rho-1} \|w\|_{L^2(B(x_j, \varepsilon^\rho))}.$$

Consider next the case where $j \in J_{\partial\Omega}^3$. Here we have

$$\operatorname{Im} \langle \chi_j^2 w, (\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda^*) w \rangle = \frac{\alpha^3 c_j}{\varepsilon^3 c^4} \| |V(\cdot) - V(x_0)|^{1/2} \chi_j w \|_2^2 - \operatorname{Im} \lambda^* \|\chi_j w\|_2^2 + 2 \operatorname{Im} \langle w \nabla \chi_j, \chi_j \nabla w \rangle = 0.$$

By (1.3), there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $|V(x_j) - V(x_0)| > \delta_1$. Consequently,

$$\|\chi_j w\|_2^2 \leq C[\varepsilon \|\chi_j w\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^3 \|w \nabla \chi_j\|_2 \|\chi_j \nabla w\|_2].$$

With the aid of (4.60), which is valid for every $j \in J$, we then obtain

$$(4.63) \quad \|\chi_j w\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\rho/2} \|w\|_{L^2(B(x_j, \varepsilon^\rho))}.$$

Combining (4.63) and (4.62) then yields

$$(4.64) \quad \|w\|_{L^2(\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J_S} B(x_j, \delta))} \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\rho/2} \sum_{j \in J_\Omega \cup J_{\partial\Omega}^2} \|w\|_{L^2(B(x_j, \varepsilon^\rho))} \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\rho/2} \|w\|_2.$$

We conclude the proof by recalling that for all $j \in J_S$ we have, by (4.50)

$$(4.65) \quad \|\zeta_j^* w\|_2 \leq \frac{C}{r} (\varepsilon^{3/2} \|f\|_2 + \varepsilon^{1/8} \|w\|_2).$$

Furthermore, let

$$\tilde{\zeta}_j^{*2} + (\zeta_j^*)^2 = \mathbf{1}_{B(x_j, \delta)}.$$

Then, by (4.21a)

$$\|\tilde{\zeta}_j^* w\|_2^2 \leq \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon, n}^+ w\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{\chi}_{\varepsilon, n}^- w\|_2^2 \leq C_n (\varepsilon^{n\rho-1} \|w\|_2 + e^{-c\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\rho)}} \|f\|_2).$$

which, together with (4.65) and (4.64) yields (4.17). ■

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let U be given by (3.23) and Λ_0 be given by (4.30). Let $f = (\mathcal{A}_h - \Lambda_0)(\eta_{\varepsilon^{1/2}} U)$. Then, for $\lambda^* \in \partial B(\Lambda_0, r\varepsilon^{-1/2}) \subset \rho(\mathcal{A}_h)$ where $\varepsilon^{1/8} \ll r < 1$,

$$(\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda^*)(\eta_{\varepsilon^{1/2}} U) = f + (\Lambda_0 - \lambda)\eta_{\varepsilon^{1/2}} U.$$

Hence

$$\langle \eta_{\varepsilon^{1/2}} U, (\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda^*)^{-1}(\eta_{\varepsilon^{1/2}} U) \rangle = -\frac{1}{\lambda - \Lambda_0} [1 - \langle \eta_{\varepsilon^{1/2}} U, (\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda)^{-1} f \rangle]$$

By (4.51) and (3.26) we then obtain that

$$\|(\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda)^{-1} f\|_2 \leq C \frac{\varepsilon^{-3/2}}{r} \|f\|_2 \leq C \frac{\varepsilon^{1/2}}{r} \leq C\varepsilon^{1/4}.$$

Consequently

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial B(\Lambda_0, r\varepsilon^{-3/2})} \langle \eta_{\varepsilon^{1/2}} U, (\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda)^{-1}(\eta_{\varepsilon^{1/2}} U) \rangle \leq -1 + C\varepsilon^{1/4}.$$

Hence $(\mathcal{A}_h - \lambda)^{-1}$ is not holomorphic in $B(\Lambda_0, r\varepsilon^{-3/2})$ and the Theorem is proved via (3.9). ■

APPENDIX A. SPECTRAL ANALYSIS OF (4.53))

In the following we provide the spectrum, semigroup estimates, and resolvent estimates for the operator $\mathcal{A}_{j,h}$ given by (4.53). This operator has already been investigated in [3, 9], but since resolvent estimates have not been obtained there we derive them here.

Let $\mathbf{c} = (c^1, c^2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $c^2 \neq 0$. We study here the spectrum and the resolvent of the Dirichlet realization in $\mathbb{R}_+^2 = \{(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : t > 0\}$ of $-\Delta + i(c^1 t + c^2 s)$, whose domain is given by (4.53b). The imaginary part of the potential

$$\ell(t, s) = \mathbf{c} \cdot (t, s)$$

does not have a constant sign, hence we are unable to use the variational approach to define the operator. We shall instead define the operator by separation of variables.

Let

$$(A.1) \quad \mathcal{A}_s = -\partial_s^2 + ic^2 s,$$

and let \mathcal{A}_t^+ be the Dirichlet realization in \mathbb{R}_+ of the complex Airy operator

$$(A.2) \quad -\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + ic^1 t.$$

Both \mathcal{A}_s and \mathcal{A}_t^+ are maximally accretive and hence they serve as generators of contraction semigroups $(e^{-t\mathcal{A}_s})_{t>0}$ and $(e^{-t\mathcal{A}_t^+})_{t>0}$ respectively. One can easily verify that the family $(e^{-t\mathcal{A}_s} \otimes e^{-t\mathcal{A}_t^+})_{t>0}$ is a contraction semigroup on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$. Thus, we can define the desired operator as follows:

Definition A.1. \mathcal{A}_+ is the generator of the semigroup $(e^{-t\mathcal{A}_s} \otimes e^{-t\mathcal{A}_t^+})_{t>0}$.

Let $D = D(\mathcal{A}_s) \otimes D(\mathcal{A}_t^+)$ be the set of all finite linear combinations of functions of the form $f \otimes g = f(s)g(t)$, where $f \in D(\mathcal{A}_s)$ and $g \in D(\mathcal{A}_t^+)$. Then it is clear that D satisfies the conditions of [11, Theorem X.49], hence $\mathcal{A}_+ = \overline{\mathcal{A}_+|_D}$. Consequently, we may characterize $D(\mathcal{A}_+)$ as follows:

$$(A.3) \quad \begin{aligned} D(\mathcal{A}_+) &= \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2) : \exists (u_j)_{j \geq 1} \subset D, u_j \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow +\infty} u, \\ &\quad (\mathcal{A}_+ u_j)_{j \geq 1} \text{ is a Cauchy sequence} \}. \end{aligned}$$

In the following lemma we give a more constructive description of $D(\mathcal{A}_+)$.

Lemma A.2. *We have*

$$(A.4) \quad D(\mathcal{A}_+) = H_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \cap H^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2; |\ell(t, s)|^2 dt ds),$$

and there exists $C > 0$ such that, for all $u \in D(\mathcal{A}_+)$,

$$(A.5) \quad \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)}^2 + \|\ell u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)}^2 \leq \|\mathcal{A}_+ u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)}^2 + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)}.$$

Proof: Let $u \in D(\mathcal{A}_+)$ and $(u_j)_{j \geq 1} \subset D$ such that $u_j \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow +\infty} u$ and $(\mathcal{A}_+ u_j)_{j \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Then, using the identity

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle \mathcal{A}_+ u_j, u_j \rangle = \|\nabla u_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)}^2,$$

which holds for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain that $(\nabla u_j)_{j \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ and hence

$$(A.6) \quad u_j \xrightarrow[j \rightarrow +\infty]{H^1} u,$$

and $u \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$.

To prove (A.5), we write (hereafter $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{C})$ norm)

$$(A.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{A}_+ u_j\|^2 &= \langle (-\Delta + i\ell)u_j, (-\Delta + i\ell)u_j \rangle \\ &= \|\Delta u_j\|^2 + \|\ell u_j\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Im} \langle -\Delta u_j, \ell u_j \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

As

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im} \langle -\Delta u_j, \ell u_j \rangle &= \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \nabla u_j(t, s) \cdot \overline{\nabla(\ell u_j)(t, s)} dt ds \\ &= \operatorname{Im} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \ell(t, s) |\nabla u_j(t, s)|^2 dt ds + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \nabla u_j(t, s) \cdot \overline{\nabla \ell(t, s) u_j(t, s)} dt ds \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \mathbf{c} \cdot \nabla u_j(t, s) \overline{u_j(t, s)} dt ds, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that for some $C > 0$,

$$|\operatorname{Im} \langle -\Delta u_j, \ell u_j \rangle| \leq C \|\nabla u_j\| \|u_j\|.$$

Thus, by (A.7), (A.5) holds for u_j for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, $(u_j)_{j \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $H^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ and in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2; |\ell(t, s)|^2 dt ds)$. Hence, (A.4) follows, and so does (A.5) for every $u \in D(\mathcal{A}_+)$. \square

We now obtain the spectrum of \mathcal{A}_+ . Since \mathcal{A}_s has an empty spectrum (see [3, 9]), we expect $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_+)$ to be empty as well [3]. To establish this fact we employ semigroup estimates.

Theorem A.3. *We have $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_+) = \emptyset$. Moreover, for every $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $C_\omega > 0$ such that*

$$(A.8) \quad \sup_{\operatorname{Re} z \leq \omega} \|(\mathcal{A}_+ - z)^{-1}\| \leq C_\omega.$$

Finally, the semigroup generated by \mathcal{A}_+ satisfies

$$(A.9) \quad \forall t > 0, \|e^{-t\mathcal{A}_+}\| \leq e^{-t^3/12}.$$

Proof: Recall that $e^{-t\mathcal{A}_+} = e^{-t\mathcal{A}_s} \otimes e^{-t\mathcal{A}_t^+}$, where \mathcal{A}_s and \mathcal{A}_t^+ are respectively defined by (A.1) and (A.2). Recall further the following estimates (see [9]):

$$(A.10) \quad \forall t > 0, \|e^{-t\mathcal{A}_s}\| = e^{-t^3/12},$$

and for all $\omega < |\mu_1|/2$ (μ_1 being the rightmost zero of Airy's function), there exists $M_\omega > 0$ such that

$$(A.11) \quad \forall t > 0, \|e^{-t\mathcal{A}_t^+}\| \leq M_\omega e^{-\omega t}.$$

Thus, (A.9) follows, and the formula

$$(A.12) \quad (\mathcal{A}_+ - z)^{-1} = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t(\mathcal{A}_+ - z)} dt,$$

which holds *a priori* for $\operatorname{Re} z < 0$, can be extended to the entire complex plane. Hence the resolvent of \mathcal{A}_+ is an entire function, and we must have $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_+) = \emptyset$ together with (A.8). \square

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to Bernard Helffer for his valuable comments. Y. Almog was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1109030. R. Henry acknowledges the support of the ANR project NOSEVOL.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. ABRAMOWITZ AND I. A. STEGUN, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Dover, 1972.
- [2] S. AGMON, *Lectures on elliptic boundary value problems*, Prepared for publication by B. Frank Jones, Jr. with the assistance of George W. Batten, Jr. Van Nostrand Mathematical Studies, No. 2, D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, N.J.-Toronto-London, 1965.
- [3] Y. ALMOG, *The Stability of the Normal State of Superconductors in the Presence of Electric Currents*, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, 40 (2008), pp. 824–850.
- [4] Y. ALMOG, B. HELFFER, AND X.-B. PAN, *Superconductivity near the normal state in a half-plane under the action of a perpendicular electric current and an induced magnetic field, Part II: The large conductivity limit*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 44 (2012), pp. 3671–3733.
- [5] ———, *Superconductivity near the normal state in a half-plane under the action of a perpendicular electric current and an induced magnetic field*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 365 (2013), pp. 1183–1217.
- [6] K. BEAUCHARD, B. HELFFER, R. HENRY, AND L. ROBBIANO, *Degenerate parabolic operators of Kolmogorov type with a geometric control condition*, To appear in ESAIM: COCV, (2014).
- [7] E. B. DAVIES, *Linear operators and their spectra*, vol. 106 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [8] B. HELFFER, *Thorie spectrale pour des oprateurs globalement elliptiques*, Astrisque, 1984.
- [9] B. HELFFER, *On spectral problems related to a time dependent model in superconductivity with electric current*, in Proceedings of Evian conference., June 2009.
- [10] R. HENRY, *On the semiclassical analysis of Schrödinger operators with purely imaginary electric potentials in a bounded domain*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1405.6183.
- [11] M. REED AND B. SIMON, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. IV. Analysis of operators*, Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1978.
- [12] A. A. SHKALIKOV, *Spectral portraits of the Orr-Sommerfeld operator at large Reynolds numbers*, Sovrem. Mat. Fundam. Napravl., 3 (2003), pp. 89–112.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70803

E-mail address, Y. Almog: `almog@math.lsu.edu`

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES D’ORSAY, UNIV. PARIS-SUD, CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SACLAY, 91405 ORSAY, FRANCE.

E-mail address, R. Henry: `raphael.henry@math.u-psud.fr`