# Fast Maintenance of Rectilinear Centers

Sergei Bespamyatnikh<sup>1</sup> and Michael Segal<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Computer Science, University of British Columbia, Vancouver V6T 1Z4, Canada besp@cs.ubc.ca, http://www.cs.ubc.ca/spider/besp <sup>2</sup> Department of Communication Systems Engineering, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva 84105, Israel segal@cs.bgu.ac.il, http://www.cs.bgu.ac.il/~segal

**Abstract.** We address the problem of dynamic maintenance of 2-centers in the plane under rectilinear metric. We present two algorithms for the continuous and discrete versions of the problem. We show that rectilinear 2-centers can be maintained in  $O(\log^2 n)$  time. We give an algorithm for semi-dynamic (either insertions only or deletions only) maintenance of the discrete 2-centers in  $O(\log n \log m)$  amortized time where n is the number of customer points and m is the number of possible locations of centers.

### 1 Introduction

Given two sets S, C of points in the plane of size n and m, respectively we wish to maintain dynamically (under insertions and/or deletions of points of S)

- 1. Rectilinear 2-center: two squares that cover S such that the radius of maximal square is minimized.
- 2. Discrete Rectilinear 2-center: two squares that cover S centered at points of C such that the radius of maximal square is minimized.

We also consider the generalization of problem 2 for the case of rectangles, where one wants to minimize the largest perimeter. There are several results for the static version of the problems above. A linear time algorithm for the planar rectilinear 2-center problem is given by Drezner [4]. The  $O(n \log n)$  time solution for the discrete rectilinear 2-center was given by Bespamyatnikh and Segal [3] and the optimality of their algorithm has been shown by Segal [6]. To our best knowledge nothing has been done regarding the dynamic version of the rectilinear 2-center problem. Bespamyatnikh and Segal [3] considered also a dynamic version of the discrete rectilinear 2-center. They have been able to achieve an  $O(\log n)$ update time, though the actual query time is only  $O(m \log n(\log n + \log m))$ .

For the dynamic rectilinear 2-center problem we present a scheme which allows us to maintain an optimal solution under insertions and deletions of points of S in  $O(\log^2 n)$  time (both update and query), after  $O(n \log n)$  preprocessing time. For the semi-dynamic discrete rectilinear 2-center problem we give an algorithm for maintaining the optimal pair of squares under insertions only (resp. deletions only) of points of S in amortized  $O(\log n \log m)$  time (both update and query), after  $O(n \log n)$  preprocessing time. Our solution for the semi-dynamic

V.N. Alexandrov et al. (Eds.): ICCS 2001, LNCS 2073, pp. 633–639, 2001.

<sup>©</sup> Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2001



Fig. 1. Subdivision of the bounding box into the ranges.

discrete rectilinear 2-center improves the best previous result by almost linear factor, thus providing first sublinear semi-dynamic algorithm for dynamic main-tenance of the discrete rectilinear 2-center.

## 2 Dynamic Rectilinear 2-Center

Denote by |pq| the  $L_{\infty}$  distance between two points p, q in the plane. We observe as in [2] that two pairs of the diagonal vertices of the bounding box of S play a crucial role in defining two minimal squares that cover S. More precisely, let us consider a pair of diagonal vertices A and C of the bounding box of S in Figure 1. For the vertex A we find the farthest neighbor point  $p' \in S$  (in  $L_{\infty}$  metric) among the points that are closer to A than to C. We repeat the similar procedure for vertex C, obtaining point p''. It can be done efficiently by constructing a rectilinear bisector  $l_4qrl_3$  and dividing the obtained regions into the wedges, see Figure 1. The main property of such subdivision is that the largest distance from a point  $p_i \in W$  (W is a wedge) to corresponding vertex (A or C) is either x- or y-distance between  $p_i$  and the corresponding vertex. For example, consider the diagonal vertex C in Figure 1 and associated with Cwedges:  $l_4ql_6$ ,  $l_6qrl_1$ ,  $l_1rl_2$ ,  $l_2rl_3$  (we should consider all these wedges since it may happen that points q and r will be inside of the bounding box of S). We can use the orthogonal range tree data structure [1] in order to find the required largest distance. For the case of wedge  $l_1 r l_2$ , only the y-coordinate of any point of S lying in this wedge determines the distance from this point to C. We construct a range tree T in the new system of coordinates corresponding to the directions of  $l_1$  and  $l_2$ . The main structure of T is a balanced binary tree according to the "x"-coordinate of points. Each node v of this tree corresponds to the balanced binary tree (secondary tree) according to the "y"-coordinate of points whose "x"coordinate belongs to the subtree rooted at v. We augment this data structure by keeping an additional value for each node w in the secondary data structures as the minimal value of the actual x-coordinates of the points corresponding to

the nodes in the subtree rooted at w. In order to find the farthest  $l_{\infty}$  neighbor of C in the wedge  $l_1pl_2$ , we perform a query on t by taking this wedge as a range. At most  $O(\log^2 n)$  nodes of the secondary data structure are taken into account and we collect all the minimal x-values that are kept in these nodes. A point that has a minimal x-coordinate is a farthest neighbor of C in the wedge  $l_1pl_2$ .

We apply the similar technique for the remaining wedges. The entire update and query procedure takes  $O(\log^2 n)$  time after initial  $O(n \log n)$  time for the construction of the orthogonal range trees. In this way we can compute points p' and p''. Let  $\delta_1$  be the maximal value between |Ap'| and |Cp''|. Using the same searching farthest neighbor technique for a different pair of diagonal vertices Band D, we obtain points  $q', q'' \in S$  such that  $|Bq'| = \max_{q \in S, |Bq| \leq |Dq|} |Bq|$  and  $|Dq''| = \max_{q \in S, |Dq| < |Bq|} |Dq|$ . Let  $\delta_2 = \max(|Bq'|, |Dq''|)$ . Finally, the smallest value between  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  defines the size of the squares and their position in the optimal solution of the rectilinear 2-center problem.

#### 3 Dynamic Discrete Rectilinear 2-Center



Fig. 2. Different configurations of bounding boxes defined by two optimal discrete squares.

First, we consider an optimal solution for the static discrete rectilinear 2center problem. Let  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  be two optimal discrete squares centered at points of C that cover S. Consider the bounding boxes  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  of points covered by  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ , respectively. Three different configurations of  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are possible, see Figure 2. (In fact, in our analysis a few more different configurations appear, but they are symmetrically opposite to the configurations described below). Denote by bb(S) the bounding box of S. We call a point of S a determinator if it lies on one of the edges of bb(S). Normally, bb(S) has four determinator points  $r, l, t, b \in S$  that lie onto the right, left, top and bottom sides of bb(S), respectively. Configuration (a) is characterized by fact that each one of the bounding boxes  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  has two opposite determinators on its sides, e.g., r and l lie on the edges of  $B_1$  while b and t lie on the edges of  $B_2$ . In configurations (b) and (c), each one of the bounding boxes  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  has two adjacent determinators on its sides, e.g., l and b lie on the edges of  $B_1$  while r and t lie on the edges of  $B_2$ . The main difference between these two configurations is that in case (b)  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are totally disjoint, while in case (c)  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  intersect.

For each configuration we find an optimal pair of discrete squares as follows. First, we consider case (a). We show that one of the squares  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  contains three determinators. Without loss of generality we assume that the width of the bounding box of S is greater or equal to its height. Suppose that  $B_1$  contains left and right determinators. Then  $s_1$  contains either upper or lower (or both) determinator. Therefore we may assume that  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are totally disjoint; moreover, one of them contains three determinators. This case can be solved easily by applying a binary search on the sorted list of x-coordinates (y-coordinates) of the points of S. Each step of the binary search splits the points of S into two subsets  $S_1, S_2 \subset S$ . For each subset  $S_i$ , we compute its bounding box  $B_i, i = 1, 2$  (we can assume that one of the bounding boxes contains three determinators).

Now, we need to find two smallest discrete squares  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  that cover  $B_1$ and  $B_2$ , respectively. Consider the bounding box  $B_1$  and its center  $c_1$ . Without loss of generality the width of  $B_1$  is greater or equal to its height. Our goal is to find the closest  $L_{\infty}$  neighbor point  $q \in C$  to the vertical segment  $A_1A_2$ (note that that is defined as follows.  $A_1A_2$  passes through center  $c_1$ , the ray emanating from left-bottom corner of  $B_1$  in direction to  $A_2$  makes  $45^\circ$ , and  $A_1$ lies on  $(-45^\circ)$ -ray from left-top corner of  $B_1$ , (see Figure 3). This point q will define the center of the discrete square  $s_1$ . We can find q using orthogonal range trees by the similar technique described in the previous section. We divide the search region into the wedges as shown in the Figure 3, such that the smallest distance from a point  $q_i \in W$  (W is a wedge) to  $A_1A_2$  is either x- or y-distance (depending on the wedge) between  $q_i$  and  $A_1A_2$ .

After we found the locations and sizes of  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  we guide a binary search in order to get an optimal size for the squares for this configuration. Notice that the configuration (b) can be solved by the same method by applying two binary searches on the points (according to x- and y-coordinates) of S. In each step of a binary search we obtain disjoint boxes  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ . We find a minimal discrete square that covers  $B_i$ , i = 1, 2 using orthogonal range trees. The total time required for case(b) (and case(a)) is  $O(\log n \log m)$ .

The case (c) is most interesting and it can be solved using the following approach. The bounding boxes  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  form two orthogonal corners with four points  $a, b, c, d \in S$ , see Figure 2(c). The additional property is that  $B_1 \cap B_2 \neq \emptyset$ . We conclude that the points a, b form a single link in the upper-left staircase chain of the points of S and the points c, d form a single link in the lower-right staircase chain of the points of S. These two chains correspond the maximal upper-left (north-west) and lower-right (south-east) points of S (similar to set of maxima of S and set of minima of S, Chapter 4 [5]). Each pair of corners: one from the upper-left staircase and one from the lower-right staircase define a configuration with two discrete squares that cover S. For each corner on the upper-left staircase we find the best corresponding corner (in terms of the largest



**Fig. 3.** Regions for point  $q \in C$ .

size of two obtained squares) on the lower-right staircase and put a *pointer* between them, see Figure 4.



Fig. 4. Pointers between staircases.

We perform the similar operation for the corners in the lower-right staircase. Thus, we have a collection of at most 2n pointers. It may happen that two or more pointers refer to the same corner; in this case we store only one pointer that defines the best two discrete squares. In fact, we keep the sizes of squares in the heap (as an appropriate pointer with associated size of square). Notice that, for a particular corner c as a source, we can find its pointer in  $O(\log n \log m)$  using a binary search with orthogonal range trees. For fixed c, the bounding box  $B_1$  (and  $B_2$ ) has three fixed sides.  $B_1$  changes monotonically when we traverse corners on the opposite staircase. Therefore the size of the discrete square covering  $B_1$ changes monotonically and we can apply binary search. For any corner on the opposite staircase, the discrete square containing  $B_1$  can be obtained in  $O(\log m)$  time using range trees. The binary search finds two corners c' and c'' such that corresponding sizes  $s'_1, s'_2$  and  $s''_1, s''_2$  of the squares satisfy the following property:  $s'_1 \leq s'_2$  and  $s''_1 \geq s''_2$ . The total time for finding a pointer is  $O(\log n \log m)$ .

Consider the insertion of a new customer point p. If p lies between two staircases, then it does not make any change to the staircases and our current solution (case (c)). Suppose that p is above left staircase (the case of right staircase is symmetric), see Figure 5. First, we update the staircase. We find the sequence of corners that are no longer valid. Otherwise, we update a corresponding staircase, remove non-valid pointers and compute two new pointers from the corners defined by the new inserted point and its neighbors in the staircase. If only insertions are allowed (or deletions) the total number of changes in the staircases is O(n) and, therefore, we achieve an amortized  $O(\log n \log m)$  time for updates and queries.



**Fig. 5.** Insertion of point p. Corners  $t_2, t_3, t_4$  and their pointers are deleted and two corners  $t_1$  and  $t_5$  with pointers are inserted.

**Theorem 1.** Rectilinear 2-centers can be maintained in amortized  $O(\log n \log m)$  time in semi-dynamic data structure of linear size.

#### 4 Future Work

In the extended version of this paper we also show how to maintain an  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ approximated solution for the discrete two-center problem in  $O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\log(n+m)\right)$ time by supporting both deletions and insertions. We also show how to solve
efficiently the discrete two-center rectangular problem. A possible future directions for research are containing the extension of the results obtained in this
paper to higher dimensions, making algorithms fully dynamic and considering
the Euclidean metric.

# References

- M. de Berg, M. van Kreveld, M. Overmars, O. Schwartzkopf Computational Geometry, Algorithms and Applications, Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- S. Bespamyatnikh and D. Kirkpatrick, "Rectilinear 2-center problems", in Proc. of 11th Can. Conf. Comp. Geom., pp. 68–71, 1999.
- 3. S. Bespamyatnikh and M. Segal, "Rectilinear static and dynamic discrete 2-center problems", in *Int. Jour. of Math. Algorithms*, to appear.
- 4. Z. Drezner, "On the rectangular p-center problem", Naval Res. Logist. Q., 34, pp. 229–234, 1987.
- 5. F. P. Preparata and M. I. Shamos, "Computational Geometry: An Introduction", *Springer-Verlag*, 1990.
- 6. M. Segal, "Lower bounds for covering problems", manuscript, 1999.